ENTROPY OF DISCRETE FUZZY MEASURES

J.-L. Marichal M. Roubens

University of Liège Belgium Suppose we have n states of nature.

How can we define the uncertainty related to these states?

Classical view:

probability distribution:

$$p(i) \ge 0, i \in \{1, \dots, n\} = N$$

$$\sum_{i} p(i) = 1$$

Fuzzy measure (set function)

$$v(S), S \subset N$$

 $v(\emptyset) = 0, v(N) = 1$
 $S \subset T \Rightarrow v(S) \le v(T)$

Particular fuzzy measures

- additive (probabilistic) $v(S \cup T) = v(S) + v(T) \text{ when } S \cap T = \emptyset$ $\Rightarrow \exists p(i) \text{ such that } v(S) = \sum_{i \in S} p(i)$
- cardinality-based $|S| = |T| \Rightarrow v(S) = v(T)$ $\Rightarrow \exists : 0 = c_0 \le c_1 \le \cdots \le c_n = 1$ with $v(S) = c_{|S|}$.
- binary $v(S) \in \{0, 1\}$
- Dirac $v(S) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ iff } S \in i \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$

To summarize v(S) in terms of a probabilistic measure, one can define the power indices called

Shapley values Sh(i)

$$Sh(i) = \sum_{T \subset n \setminus i} \gamma_t \delta_i v(T \cup i), \quad t = |t|$$

 $\delta_i v(T \cup i) = v(T \cup i) - v(T)$: contribution of i when joining the coalition T

$$\gamma_t = \frac{(n-t-1)!t!}{n!}$$
, Shapley coefficients

The real power of i, Sh(i) is such that

$$\sum_{i \in N} Sh(i) = 1$$

$$v(S)$$
 $Sh(i)$

additive
$$p(i)$$
 cardinality-based $\frac{1}{n}$ Dirac $\exists i: Sh(i) = 1$ $\forall j \neq i: Sh(j) = 0$

<u>Classical measure of uncertainty</u>: Shannon entropy

probabilistic measure : $\{p(i)\}_{i \in N}$

Shannon entropy: H(p)

$$H(p) = -\sum_{i} p(i) \ln p(i) = \sum_{i} h(p(i))$$

with $h(x) = -x \ln x$

$$H(p) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ iff } p \text{ is deterministic } \frac{1}{k} \\ \ln n \text{ iff } p \text{ is uniform } \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 \le H(p) \le \ln n \end{cases}$$

Extension to v: H(v)

Such that if v is probabilistic

H(v) corresponds to the Shannon entropy

First proposal:

$$H_{u}(v) = H(Sh), \quad \text{Yager (1999)}$$

$$= -\sum_{i} Sh(i) \ln Sh(i)$$

$$= \sum_{i} h[Sh(i)] \quad (h(x) = -x \ln x)$$

$$= \sum_{i} h \left[\sum_{T \subset N \setminus i} \gamma_{t} \delta_{i} v(T \cup i) \right]$$

$$0 \le H_{u}(v) \le \ln n$$

We have the Jensen inequality

$$h\left(\sum_{k} \lambda_k t_k\right) \ge \sum_{k} \lambda_k h(t_k)$$

if h is strictly concave and $\sum_k \lambda_k = 1$.

$$\sum_{i} h[\sum_{T \subset N \setminus i} \gamma_{t} \delta_{i} v(T \cup i)] \geq \sum_{i} \sum_{T \subset N \setminus i} \gamma_{t} h[\delta_{i} v(T \cup i)]$$

$$\uparrow$$
 \uparrow second proposal $H_u(v)$ $H_\ell(v)$

$$H_{\ell}(v) = -\sum_{i \in N} \sum_{T \subset N \setminus i} \gamma_t \delta_i v(T \cup i) \ln \delta_i v(T \cup i)$$

$$0 \le H_{\ell}(v) \le \ln n$$

$$H_{\ell}(v) \leq H_{u}(v)$$
lower entropy upper entropy(Yager 99)

$$H_{\ell}(v) = H_{u}(v)$$
 iff v is additive (probabilistic)
non-additivity $\Rightarrow H_{\ell}(u) < H_{u}(v)$

What to choose between H_{ℓ} and H_{u} ?

Depends on limit characterizations.

We remember that

$$H(p) = 0$$
 iff p is deterministic $H(p) = \ln n$ iff p is uniform.

Let us extend these definitions

$$H(v) = 0 \text{ iff } \begin{cases} v \text{ is a binary fuzzy measure} \\ v \text{ is a Dirac fuzzy measure} \end{cases}$$

$$H(v) = \ln n \text{ iff } \begin{cases} v(S) = \frac{|S|}{n} \\ \psi \\ v \text{ is cardinality-based} \\ \psi \\ Sh(i) = \frac{1}{n}(*) \end{cases}$$

(*) does not characterize the v's!

v's such that

$$Sh(i) = \frac{1}{n}$$

have been characterized by Marichal (1998)

$$v(S) = \frac{|S|}{n} + \sum_{\substack{T \subset N \\ |T| \ge 2}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{|T \cap S|} \left(\begin{array}{c} |T \cap S| \\ j \end{array} \right) B_{|T|-j} \right] c(T)$$

where c(T) are reals satisfying constraints

$$\frac{1}{n} + \sum_{\substack{T \ni i \\ |T| > 2}} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{|T \cap S|} \left(\begin{array}{c} |T \cap S| \\ j \end{array} \right) B_{|T|-j-1} \right] c(T) \ge 0$$

 $\{B_n\}_{\in N_0}$ are the Bernoulli numbers:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n+1}{k} B_k = 0, \quad n \in N_0$$

 $B_0 = 1$

Cardinality-based fuzzy measure

$$H_{\ell}(v) = \ln n \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad v(S) = \frac{|S|}{n}$$
$$(\Rightarrow H_{u}(v) = \ln n)$$

 \downarrow

$$H_{\ell}(v): ext{Shannon}$$
 with

$$w_{n-i} = c_{i+1} - c_i$$

and $(H_u(v) = \ln n)$

$$H_u(v) = \ln n$$

 $H_{\ell}(v)$: Shannon $\Leftarrow v$: card.based fuzzy measure

$$(v(S) = c_{|S|})$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$Sh(i) = \frac{1}{n}$$

About Choquet integrals

$$C(x_1, \dots, x_u), \qquad x_{(1)} \le x_{(2)} \le \dots \le x_{(x)}$$

$$= \sum_{i} x_{(i)} [v((i), \dots, (n)) - v((i+1), \dots, (n))]$$

$$= \sum_{i} x_{(i)} \delta_i v((i), \dots, (n))$$

OWA operator is a particular Choquet integral where

$$v(S) = c_{|S|}$$
, cardinality-based fuzzy measure

$$Let w_{n-i} = c_{i+1} - c_i$$

$$OWA(x_1, ..., x_n) = w_1 x_{(i)} + \cdots + w_n x_{(n)}$$

$$\begin{cases} H_{\ell}(w) = H(w) = -\sum_{i} w_{i} \ln w_{i} \text{ (Shannon)} \\ H_{u}(w) = \ln n \end{cases}$$

 H_{ℓ} : degree to which the aggregator uses the arguments.

$$C(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$$

 $\Leftrightarrow v \text{ is binary } \Leftrightarrow H_{\ell}(v) = 0$

Properties common to H_{ℓ} and H_{u}

Symmetry

$$H_{\ell}(\pi v) = H_{\ell}(v)$$
 , $H_{u}(\pi v) = H_{u}(v)$

 π is a permutation of $N = \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Expansibility

For Shannon,

$$H(p_1,\ldots,p_{n-1},0)=H(p_1,\ldots,p_{n-1}).$$

Let us consider a null element for $v:\{i\}$

$$v(T \cup i) = v(T)$$
 for all $T \subset N \setminus \{i\}$

 v_{-i} : restriction of v to $N \setminus \{i\}$.

We have

$$H_{\ell}(v) = H_{\ell}(v_{-i})$$
 , $H_{u}(v) = H_{u}(v_{-i})$

Ordinal fuzzy measures and entropy

Suppose v is defined on an ordinal scale L

$$L:\{\ell_1,\ldots,\ell_m\}$$

$$H_L(v) = \ell_{|R|-1}, \qquad R : \{v(S) \mid S \subset N\}$$

 H_L is a measure of diversity of the coefficients of the fuzzy measure (extension of the ordinal entropy defined by Yager, 1999).

Properties

Symmetry: $H_L(v) = H_L(\pi v)$

Expansibility: $H_L(v) = H_L(v_{-i})$ if $\{i\}$ is a null element of v

$$\ell_1 \le H_L(v) \le \ell_K \qquad k = \min(2^n, m) - 1$$

 $H_L(v) = \ell_1 \pmod{\min}$ index on the L scale) iff $v(S) \in \{\ell_1, \ell_m\}$

 $H_L(v) = \ell_m \pmod{\max}$ index on the L scale) iff $R = \{v(S), | S \subset N\} = L$ (If $m \geq 2^n$, all v's are distinct).

If one consider the Sugeno integral:

$$S(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigvee_{i=1}^n [x_{(i)} \wedge v(A_{(i)})]$$

$$A_i := \{(i), \ldots, (n)\}$$

$$v(S) \in \{\ell_1, \ell_m\} \Leftrightarrow S(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$$

H(v) is useful in MCDM

Consider the following MC problem:

$$egin{array}{c|cccc} M & arphi & L \\ \hline a & 18 & 16 & 10 \\ b & 10 & 12 & 18 \\ c & 14 & 15 & 15 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

- \bullet Students good in M and L should be favoured or φ and L
- M and φ give the same information about the profile of a student
- M and φ are more important than L $\Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(M) = p(\varphi) > p(L) \\ c > a > b \end{array} \right.$

If weighted mean is used:

$$W(x) = x(M)p(M) + x(\varphi)p(\varphi) + x(L)p(L)$$

We end with a contradiction

$$c > a \text{ and } (p(M) = p(\varphi))$$

 $\Rightarrow (14 + 15)p(M) + 15p(L) > (18 + 16)p(M) + 10p(L)$
 $\Rightarrow p(L) > p(M) !!!$

Use of Choquet integral can help

If

$$\begin{cases} v(M,\varphi) = .5 < v(M) + v(\varphi) = .9 & : \text{redondancy} \\ v(M,L) = v(\varphi,L) = .9 > v(M) + v(L) = .75 : & : \text{synergy} \\ v(M) = v(\varphi) = .45 \\ v(L) = .3 \end{cases}$$

$$M \quad \varphi \quad L$$
 Choquet Weighted mean $a \quad 18 \quad 16 \quad 10 \quad 13.9 \quad 15.25$ $b \quad 10 \quad 12 \quad 18 \quad 13.6 \quad 12.75$ $c \quad 14 \quad 15 \quad 15 \quad 14.6 \quad 14.625$
$$c \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad b \quad \underline{a > c > b} \quad \underline{a > c > b} \quad \underline{a > c > b} \quad \underline{p(L) = 2/8}$$

However:

$$\frac{v(M)}{v(L)} = \frac{p(M)}{p(L)} = \frac{3}{2} \quad !$$

 $H_{\ell}(v) = .82$ v rather well distributed over the total capacity.

 H_{ℓ} can be used as a (non-linear) objective to determine the v's.

v's ?

$$\max H_{\ell}(v)$$

under given constraints

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} v(M,\varphi) > v(M) + v(\varphi) \\ v(M,L) < v(M) + v(L) \\ \vdots \end{array} \right.$$

Re. paper by Marichal and Roubens

"Determination of weights of interacting criteria from a reference set"

EJOR, 00, to appear.