

Filtrage non linéaire de diffusions faiblement bruitées dans le cas d'un système avec bruits corrélés

Jang SCHILTZ

Université du Luxembourg
SMA

8. COLLOQUE FRANCO-ROUMAIN DE MATHEMATIQUES APPLIQUEES

CHAMBERY
28 AOUT - 1 SEPTEMBRE 2006

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a complete probability space and $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ a right-continuous increasing family of sub- σ -algebras of \mathcal{F} .

Let w and v be two independent \mathcal{F}_t -Brownian motions with values in \mathbb{R}^d and \mathbb{R}^m .

If x_t is a semimartingale on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, P)$, $\circ dx_t$ (respectively dx_t) denotes its Stratonovitch (respectively Itô) differential.

Let us consider the nonlinear filtering problem associated with the system signal/observation pair $(x_t, y_t) \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^2$ solution of the following stochastic differential system:

$$\begin{cases} x_t = x_0 + \int_0^t b(x_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(x_s) dw_s + \int_0^t g(x_s) dv_s \\ y_t = \int_0^t h(x_s) ds + \varepsilon v_t, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

verifying the following hypotheses:

- (H₁) x_0 is an \mathbb{R}^m -valued, \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable independent of w and v with finite moments of all orders.
- (H₂) b and h are $\mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m)$ functions with bounded first and second derivatives.
- (H₃) σ and g are bounded $\mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m \otimes \mathbb{R}^d)$ respectively $\mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m \otimes \mathbb{R}^m)$ functions with bounded first derivatives.
- (H₄) The function $a = \sigma\sigma^\tau + gg^\tau$ is uniformly elliptic.
- (H₅) The functions $a^{-\frac{1}{2}}b$ and $h'b$ are Lipschitzian.

Definition 1 For all t in $[0, T]$ denote by π_t the filter associated with the system (1), defined for all functions ψ in $\mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ by

$$\pi_t \psi = E[\psi(x_t) / \mathcal{Y}_t], \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{Y}_t = \sigma(y_s / 0 \leq s \leq t)$.

Moreover we consider the following class of suboptimal filters:

$$m_t = m_0 + \int_0^t b(m_s) ds + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t h'^{-1}(m_s) K_s (dy_s - h(m_s) ds), \quad (3)$$

where $m_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is arbitrary and $\{K_t, t \leq 0\}$ is a \mathcal{Y}_t -progressively measurable bounded process such that for all (t, w) in $[0, T] \times \Omega$, $K_t(w)$ is a uniformly elliptic bounded function.

Remarks:

- (i) The definition of the sub-optimal filters implies that the signal and the observation are of the same dimension.
- (ii) In the following, if f is a vectorial function of the variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, f' will denote the Jacobian matrix of f ; if f is scalar, f' will be a line vector; this notation is extended to functions which may also depend upon other parameters.

Proposition 2 For each $t_0 > 0$ and $p \geq 1$, we have:

$$\sup_{t \geq t_0} \|x_t - m_t\|_p = O(\sqrt{\varepsilon}) \quad (4)$$

Proof:

Itô's formula implies that

$$h(x_t) = h(x_0) + \int_0^t Lh(x_s) ds + \int_0^t (h'\sigma)(x_s) dw_s + \int_0^t (h'g)(x_s) dv_s,$$

where L is the second order differential operator defined for any function f in $\mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m)$ by

$$(Lf)^l(x) = b^i(x) \frac{\partial f^l}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^d (\sigma(x))^i_k (\sigma(x))^j_k \frac{\partial^2 f^l}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m (g(x))^i_k (g(x))^j_k \frac{\partial^2 f^l}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x).$$

Likewise,

$$h(m_t) = h(m_0) + \int_0^t \tilde{L}_s h(m_s) ds + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t K_s (h(x_s) - h(m_s)) ds + \int_0^t K_s dv_s,$$

where \tilde{L}_t is the second order differential operator defined for any function f in $\mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m)$ by

$$(\tilde{L}_t f)^l(x) = b^i(x) \frac{\partial f^l}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m (h'^{-1}(x) K_t)^i_k (h'^{-1}(x) K_t)^j_k \frac{\partial^2 f^l}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} h(x_t) - h(m_t) &= h(x_0) - h(m_0) + \int_0^t (Lh(x_s) - \tilde{L}_s h(m_s)) ds + \int_0^t (h'\sigma)(x_s) dw_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (h'g)(x_s) dv_s - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t K_s dv_s - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t K_s (h(x_s) - h(m_s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since K_t is uniformly elliptic, we can prove (4) as in [11].

By applying Itô's formula, we compute $|x_t - m_t|^k$ for even integers k , then, having taken the expectation of the two members of the obtained relation, we use some estimates on ordinary inequations.

□

Let γ be the function defined by $\gamma = (h'(\sigma\sigma^\tau + gg^\tau)h'^\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

For any t in $[0, T]$, we set

$$K_t = \gamma(m_t). \quad (5)$$

Theorem 3 *For any $t_0 > 0$ and $p \geq 1$, we have:*

$$\sup_{t \geq t_0} \|m_t - \pi_t I\|_p = O(\varepsilon). \quad (6)$$

Proof:

Consider the process

$$\bar{w}_t = \int_0^t (\gamma^{-1}h'\sigma)(x_s) dw_s + \int_0^t (\gamma^{-1}h'g)(x_s) dv_s. \quad (7)$$

Levy's theorem then implies that \bar{w}_t is an \mathbb{R}^m -valued (\mathcal{F}_t, P) -Brownian motion and

$$dx_t = b(x_t)dt + h'^{-1}\gamma(x_t) d\bar{w}_t. \quad (8)$$

For any t in $[0, T]$, set

$$Z_t = \exp\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t x_s^\tau dy_s - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} \int_0^t |x_s|^2 ds\right) \quad (9)$$

and

$$\Lambda_t = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t (h(x_s) - h(m_s))^\tau d\bar{w}_s + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} \int_0^t |h(x_s) - h(m_s)|^2 ds\right). \quad (10)$$

Novikov's criterion then implies that the processes Z_t^{-1} and Λ_t^{-1} are exponential martingales. So we can apply Girsanov's theorem and define some reference probability measures which allow us to show the desired estimates via Kallianpur-Striebel's formula.

Let us define the probability measures \mathring{P} and \tilde{P} by the Radon-Nicodym derivatives

$$\left. \frac{d\mathring{P}}{dP} \right|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = Z_t^{-1}, \quad (11)$$

and

$$\left. \frac{d\tilde{P}}{d\mathring{P}} \right|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \Lambda_t^{-1}. \quad (12)$$

Hence, by Girsanov's theorem, under \tilde{P} , $\tilde{w}_t = \bar{w}_t - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t (h(x_s) - h(m_s)) ds$ and $y_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}$ are two independent standard Brownian motions.

$$dx_t = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (h'^{-1}\gamma)(x_t)(h(x_t) - h(m_t)) dt + b(x_t) dt + (h'^{-1}\gamma)(x_t) d\tilde{w}_t. \quad (13)$$

Let F be the function defined for each x, m in \mathbb{R}^m , by

$$F(x, m) = (h(x) - h(m))^\tau \gamma^{-1}(m) (h(x) - h(m)). \quad (14)$$

Then, Itô's formula implies that

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_t, m_t) &= F(x_0, m_0) + 2 \int_0^t (h(x_s) - h(m_s))^\tau \gamma^{-1}(m_s) h'(x_s) dx_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (h(x_s) - h(m_s))^\tau \frac{\partial \gamma^{-1}}{\partial m_i}(m_s) (h(x_s) - h(m_s)) dm_s^i \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^t (h(x_s) - h(m_s))^\tau (\gamma^{-1} h')(m_s) dm_s + \int_0^t (A_x F + A_{x,m}(F) + A_m F)(x_s, m_s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $A_x, A_{x,m}$ and A_m are the second order differential operators defined for any function f in $\mathcal{C}^2((\mathbb{R}^m)^2)$ by

$$A_x f(x, m) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^d (\sigma(x))_k^i (\sigma(x))_k^j \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x, m) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m (g(x))_k^i (g(x))_k^j \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x, m),$$

$$A_{x,m} f(x, m) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^d (\gamma(m))_k^i (\sigma(x))_k^j \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial m_i \partial x_j}(x, m)$$

and

$$A_m f(x, m) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^d (\gamma(x))_k^i (\gamma(x))_k^j \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial m_i \partial m_j}(x, m).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F(x_t, m_t) &= F(x_0, m_0) - 2 \int_0^t (h(x_s) - h(m_s))^\tau (\gamma^{-1}(x_s) - \gamma^{-1}(m_s)) h'(x_s) dx_s \\
&\quad + 2 \int_0^t (h(x_s) - h(m_s))^\tau d\bar{w}_s - \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t (h(x_s) - h(m_s))^\tau [dy_s - h(m_s) ds] \\
&\quad + 2 \int_0^t (h(x_s) - h(m_s))^\tau ((\gamma^{-1} h' b)(x_s) - (\gamma^{-1} h' b)(m_s)) ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t (A_x F + A_{x,m} F + A_m F)(x_s, m_s) ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t (h(x_s) - h(m_s))^\tau \frac{\partial \gamma^{-1}}{\partial m_i}(m_s) (h(x_s) - h(m_s)) dm_s^i.
\end{aligned}$$

So, we finally have,

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_t \Lambda_t &= \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\varepsilon} (F(x_t, m_t) - F(x_0, m_0)) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \chi_1(x_s, m_s) ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \chi_2^\tau(x_s, m_s) dm_s + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \chi_3^\tau(x_s, m_s) dx_s \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_0^t h^\tau(m_s) dy_s - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} \int_0^t |h(m_s)|^2 ds \right), \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_1(x, m) &= (h(x) - h(m))^\tau ((\gamma^{-1} h' b)(x) - (\gamma^{-1} h' b)(m)) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} (A_x F + A_{x,m} F + A_m F)(x, m), \\
\chi_2^i(x, m) &= \frac{1}{2} (h(x) - h(m))^\tau \frac{\partial \gamma^{-1}}{\partial m_i}(m) (h(x) - h(m)), \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\
\chi_3(x, m) &= -(h')^\tau(x) (\gamma^{-1}(x) - \gamma^{-1}(m))^\tau (h(x) - h(m)).
\end{aligned}$$

In the following, if f denotes a function of (x, m) , we denote $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ by f' .

By the rules of the Malliavin Calculus, if \bar{D} (respectively \tilde{D}) denotes the derivation operator in the direction of \bar{w} (respectively \tilde{w}), (13) implies that for all $0 \leq s \leq t$,

$$\tilde{D}_s x_t = \zeta_{st} (h'^{-1} \gamma)(x_s), \quad (16)$$

where $\{\zeta_{st}, t \geq s\}$ is the solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{st} = 1 &+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_s^t \zeta_{sr} (h'^{-1} \gamma)'(x_r) (h(x_r) - h(m_r)) dr + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_s^t \zeta_{sr} (h'^{-1} \gamma h')(x_r) dr \\ &+ \int_s^t \zeta_{sr} b'(x_r) dr + \int_s^t \zeta_{sr} (h'^{-1} \gamma)'(x_r) d\tilde{w}_r + \int_s^t \zeta_{sr} g'(x_r) dv_r. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Since

$$\tilde{D}_s y_t = \tilde{D}_s m_t = 0 \quad (18)$$

it follows from (15) and the chain rule that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_s \log(Z_t \Lambda_t) &= -\frac{1}{2\varepsilon} F'(x_t, m_t) \tilde{D}_s x_t + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_s^t \chi'_1(x_r, m_r) \tilde{D}_s x_r dr \\ &+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_s^t dm_r^\tau \chi'_2(x_r, m_r) \tilde{D}_s x_r + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_s^t dx_r^\tau \chi'_3(x_r, m_r) \tilde{D}_s x_r \\ &+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_s^t \chi_3(x_r, m_r) \tilde{D}_s x_r dr. \end{aligned}$$

By integrating that last equality between 0 and t , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}F'(x_t, m_t) &= -\frac{\varepsilon}{t} \int_0^t \tilde{D}_s \log(Z_t \Lambda_t) (\tilde{D}_s x_t)^{-1} ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \int_s^t \chi'_1(x_r, m_r) \tilde{D}_s x_r (\tilde{D}_s x_t)^{-1} dr ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \int_s^t dm_r^\tau \chi'_2(x_r, m_r) \tilde{D}_s x_r (\tilde{D}_s x_t)^{-1} ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \int_s^t dx_r^\tau \chi'_3(x_r, m_r) \tilde{D}_s x_r (\tilde{D}_s x_t)^{-1} ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \int_s^t \chi_3(x_r, m_r) \tilde{D}_s x_r (\tilde{D}_s x_t)^{-1} dr ds
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

On the other hand, by the definition of the function F ,

$$\frac{1}{2}F'(x_t, m_t) = (h(x_t) - h(m_t))^\tau \gamma^{-1}(m_t) h'(x_t), \tag{20}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
E\left[\frac{1}{2}F'(x_t, m_t)/\mathcal{Y}_t\right] &= E[(h(x_t) - h(m_t))^\tau / \mathcal{Y}_t] (\gamma^{-1} h')(m_t) \\
&\quad + E[(h(x_t) - h(m_t))^\tau \gamma^{-1}(m_t) (h'(x_t) - h'(m_t)) / \mathcal{Y}_t].
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, proposition 1.2 implies that

$$E\left[\frac{1}{2}F'(x_t, m_t)/\mathcal{Y}_t\right] = E[(h(x_t) - h(m_t))^\tau / \mathcal{Y}_t] (\gamma^{-1} h')(m_t) + O(\varepsilon).$$

Hence, it follows from the assumptions (H_3) and (H_4) that the theorem will be proved if we show that for any $t_0 > 0$, $p \geq 1$,

$$\sup_{t \geq t_0} \left\| E\left[\frac{1}{2}F'(x_t, m_t)/\mathcal{Y}_t\right] \right\|_p = O(\varepsilon). \tag{21}$$

So, by equality (19) it suffices to show

- (i) $\sup_{t \geq t_0} \frac{1}{t} \left\| E \left[\int_0^t \tilde{D}_s \log(Z_t \Lambda_t) (\tilde{D}_s x_t)^{-1} ds / \mathcal{Y}_t \right] \right\|_p \leq c_p,$
- (ii) $\sup_{t \geq t_0} \frac{1}{t} \left\| E \left[\int_0^t \int_s^t \chi_1'(x_r, m_r) \tilde{D}_s x_r (\tilde{D}_s x_t)^{-1} dr ds / \mathcal{Y}_t \right] \right\|_p = O(\varepsilon),$
- (iii) $\sup_{t \geq t_0} \frac{1}{t} \left\| E \left[\int_0^t \int_s^t dm_r^\tau \chi_2'(x_r, m_r) \tilde{D}_s x_r (\tilde{D}_s x_t)^{-1} ds / \mathcal{Y}_t \right] \right\|_p = O(\varepsilon),$
- (iv) $\sup_{t \geq t_0} \frac{1}{t} \left\| E \left[\int_0^t \int_s^t dx_r^\tau \chi_3'(x_r, m_r) \tilde{D}_s x_r (\tilde{D}_s x_t)^{-1} ds / \mathcal{Y}_t \right] \right\|_p = O(\varepsilon),$
- (v) $\sup_{t \geq t_0} \frac{1}{t} \left\| E \left[\int_0^t \int_s^t \chi_3(x_r, m_r) \tilde{D}_s x_r (\tilde{D}_s x_t)^{-1} dr ds / \mathcal{Y}_t \right] \right\|_p = O(\varepsilon).$

Lemma 4 For each $\varepsilon > 0, p \geq 1$, there exist strictly positive constants $a(p)$ and $\tilde{a}(p)$ such that

$$\|\zeta_{ts}\|_p \leq a(p) \exp\left[-\frac{\tilde{a}(p)}{\varepsilon}(t-s)\right], \quad 0 \leq s \leq t. \quad (22)$$

Proof:

By (17) and the definition of \tilde{w}_t , $\{\zeta_{st}, t \geq s\}$ is the unique solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$\zeta_{st} = 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_s^t \zeta_{sr} (h'^{-1} \gamma h')(x_r) dr + \int_s^t \zeta_{sr} b'(x_r) dr + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_s^t \zeta_{sr} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (h'^{-1} \gamma)(x_r) d\tilde{w}_r^i. \quad (23)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} E \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\zeta_{st}|^p &\leq E \left\{ 1 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(\left| \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_s^t \zeta_{sr} (h'^{-1} \gamma h')(x_r) dr \right|^p + \left| \int_s^t \zeta_{sr} b'(x_r) dr \right|^p \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \left| \sum_{i=1}^m \int_s^t \zeta_{sr} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (h'^{-1} \gamma)(x_r) d\tilde{w}_r^i \right|^p \right) \right\} \\ &\leq c \left\{ E \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_s^T |\zeta_{sr} (h'^{-1} \gamma h')(x_r)|^p dr \right] + E \left[\int_s^T |\zeta_{sr} b'(x_r)|^p dr \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + E \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \int_s^T \left| \zeta_{sr} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (h'^{-1} \gamma)(x_r) \right|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

by Burkholder's inequality. Since γ is hypoelliptic, the assumptions $(H_2) - (H_4)$ imply that there exist some strictly positive constants c et c' independant of ε such that

$$\|\zeta_{st}\|_p \leq \frac{c}{\varepsilon} \int_s^T \|\zeta_{sr}\|_p dr + c' \int_s^T \|\zeta_{sr}\|_p dr. \quad (24)$$

The lemma then follows from Gronwall's theorem and the fact that $\zeta_{ts} = \zeta_{st}^{-1}$. \square

Lemma 5 For any $p \geq 1$, there exists a constant $c(p)$ such that

$$\|\bar{D}_s \zeta_{t0}\|_p \leq c(p), \quad (25)$$

and

$$\|\tilde{D}_s \zeta_{t0}\|_p \leq c(p). \quad (26)$$

Proof:

By means of relation (25), we get for any t in $[0, T]$,

$$\zeta_{0t} = 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \zeta_{0r} (h'^{-1} \gamma h')(x_r) dr + \int_0^t \zeta_{0r} b'(x_r) dr + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t \zeta_{0r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (h'^{-1} \gamma)(x_r) d\bar{w}_r^i. \quad (27)$$

Itô's formula then implies

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{t0} = & 1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t (h'^{-1} \gamma h')(x_r) \zeta_{r0} dr - \int_0^t b'(x_r) \zeta_{r0} dr \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (h'^{-1} \gamma)(x_r) \zeta_{r0} d\bar{w}_r^i + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (h'^{-1} \gamma h') \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (h'^{-1} \gamma h') \right)^\tau(x_r) \right) \zeta_{r0} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{D}_s \zeta_{ts} = & 1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t (h'^{-1} \gamma h')'(x_r) \zeta_{r0} dr - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t (h'^{-1} \gamma h')(x_r) \bar{D}_s \zeta_{r0} dr \\ & - \int_0^t b''(x_r) \zeta_{r0} dr - \int_0^t b'(x_r) \bar{D}_s \zeta_{r0} dr \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (h'^{-1} \gamma h') \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (h'^{-1} \gamma h') \right)^\tau(x_r) \right)' \zeta_{r0} dr \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (h'^{-1} \gamma h') \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (h'^{-1} \gamma h') \right)^\tau(x_r) \right) \bar{D}_s \zeta_{r0} dr. \end{aligned}$$

By using lemma 1.4., the assumptions $(H_2) - (H_4)$ and Burkholder's inequality, one then can show like in the proof of the previous lemma that there exist some strictly positive constants c and c' such that

$$\|\bar{D}_s \zeta_{t0}\|_p \leq c' + c \int_0^t \|\bar{D}_s \zeta_{r0}\|_p dr \quad (28)$$

Hence relation (30). Relation (31) can be obtained by similar computations. \square

Let us now pass to the proof of the expressions (i) to (vii).

Some computations imply

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{t} E \left[\int_0^t \tilde{D}_s \log(Z_t \Lambda_t) (\tilde{D}_s x_t)^{-1} ds / \mathcal{Y}_t \right] &= \frac{1}{t} E \left[\int_0^t (\gamma^{-1} h')(x_s) \zeta_{0s} (\bar{D}_s \zeta_{t0} - \tilde{D}_s \zeta_{t0}) ds / \mathcal{Y}_t \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\varepsilon t} E \left[\int_0^t (h(x_s) - h(m_s)) \zeta_{ts} (\gamma^{-1} h') ds / \mathcal{Y}_t \right]. \end{aligned}$$

and (i) then follows from the lemmas 4 and 5.

Moreover, the boundedness of the functions $\|\chi'_1\|_p$ and γ respectively lemma 1.5 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_s^t \chi'_1(x_r, m_r) \tilde{D}_s x_r (\tilde{D}_s x_t)^{-1} dr ds &\leq c(p) \int_0^t \int_s^t \zeta_{sr} \zeta_{ts} dr ds \tag{29} \\ &\leq c(p) \int_0^t \int_s^t \exp\left[\frac{\tilde{a}(p)}{\varepsilon}(r-s)\right] \exp\left[-\frac{\tilde{a}(p)}{\varepsilon}(t-s)\right] dr ds \\ &\leq \varepsilon c(p) \int_0^t (\exp\left[\frac{\tilde{a}(p)}{\varepsilon}(t-s)\right] - 1) \exp\left[\frac{-\tilde{a}(p)}{\varepsilon}(t-s)\right] ds \\ &= \varepsilon t c(p). \end{aligned}$$

hence we have (ii).

Similar calculations imply the expressions (iii)-(v). (Let us notice that in expression (iii) there appears an integral with respect to m_t , which is of order $O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}})$. But, $\|\chi'_2\|_p$ is of order $O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$, so there is no problem to conclude).

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

References

- [1] A. BENSOUSSAN. *On some approximation techniques in nonlinear filtering*. Stochastic Differential Systems, Stochastic Control and Applications. (Minneapolis 1986), IMA vol.10, Springer 1986.
- [2] B.Z. BOBROVSKY - M. ZAKAI. *Asomptotic a priori estimates for the error in the nonlinear filtering problem*. IEEE Trans. Inform. Th. **28** (1982) 371-376.
- [3] A.H. JAZWINSKI. *Stochastic filtering theory*. Applications of Mathematics. Springer : Berlin, Heidelberg, New-York, 1980.
- [4] G. KALLIANPUR. *Stochastic filtering theory*. (1980) Springer-Verlag: Berlin, Heidelberg, New-York.
- [5] I. KARATZAS - S.E. SHREVE. *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*. 2nd ed. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Volume **113**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, Heidelberg, 1999.
- [6] R. KATZUR - B.Z. BOBROVSKY - Z. SCHUSS. *Asymptotic analysis of the optimal filtering problem for one-dimensional diffusions measured in a low noise channel*. Siam J. Appl. Math. **44** (1984) 591-604 et 1176-1191.
- [7] P. MALLIAVIN. *Stochastic Analysis*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Volume **313**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, Heidelberg, 1997.
- [8] D. NUALART. *The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics*. Applications of Mathematics, Springer 1995.
- [9] E. PARDOUX. *Filtrage non linéaire et équations aux dérivées partielles stochastique associées*. Dans : Ecole d Et de Probabilités de Saint Flour. Lect. Notes Math. vol **1464** 69-163, Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer 1989.
- [10] J. PICARD. *Non linear filtering of one-dimensional diffusions in the case of a high signal to noise ratio*. Siam J. Appl. Math. **46** (1986) 1098- 1125.
- [11] J. PICARD. *Filtrage de diffusions vectorielles faiblement bruitées*. Dans : Analysis and Optimisation of Systems. Lect. Notes Control and Info. Scie. **83**, Springer 1986.
- [12] J. PICARD. *Nonlinear filtering and smoothing with high signal-to- noise ratio*. Dans : Stochastic Processes in Physics and Engineering, D. Reidel 1986.
- [13] J.PICARD. *Estimation of the quadratic variation of nearly observed semimartingales with application to filtering*. Siam J. Control and Optimization **31** (1993) 494-517.
- [14] M. ZAKAI. *On the optimal filtering of diffusion processes*. Z.F.W. **11** (1969), 230-243.