

# Pivotal decompositions of aggregation functions

Jean-Luc Marichal and Bruno Teheux

Mathematics Research Unit  
University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg  
{jean-luc.marichal,bruno.teheux}@uni.lu

## 1 Preliminaries

A remarkable (though immediate) property of Boolean functions is the so-called *Shannon decomposition* [9], also called *pivotal decomposition* [1]. This property states that, for every  $n$ -ary Boolean function  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  and every  $k \in [n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ , the following decomposition formula holds

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{x}_k f(\mathbf{x}_k^0) + x_k f(\mathbf{x}_k^1), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^n, \quad (1)$$

where  $\bar{x}_k = 1 - x_k$  and  $\mathbf{x}_k^0$  (resp.  $\mathbf{x}_k^1$ ) is the  $n$ -tuple whose  $i$ -th coordinate is 0 (resp. 1), if  $i = k$ , and  $x_i$ , otherwise. Here the ‘+’ sign represents the classical addition for real numbers.

As it is well known, repeated applications of (1) show that any  $n$ -ary Boolean function can always be expressed as the multilinear polynomial function

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} f(\mathbf{1}_S) \prod_{i \in S} x_i \prod_{i \in [n] \setminus S} \bar{x}_i, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^n, \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{1}_S$  is the characteristic vector of  $S$  in  $\{0, 1\}^n$ , that is, the  $n$ -tuple whose  $i$ -th coordinate is 1, if  $i \in S$ , and 0, otherwise.

One can easily show that, if  $f$  is nondecreasing (in each variable), decomposition formula (1) reduces to

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \text{med}(f(\mathbf{x}_k^0), x_k, f(\mathbf{x}_k^1)), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^n, \quad (3)$$

or, equivalently,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{x}_k (f(\mathbf{x}_k^0) \wedge f(\mathbf{x}_k^1)) + x_k (f(\mathbf{x}_k^0) \vee f(\mathbf{x}_k^1)), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^n. \quad (4)$$

where  $\wedge$  (resp.  $\vee$ ) is the minimum (resp. maximum) operation and  $\text{med}$  is the ternary median operation.

Actually, any of the decomposition formulas (3)–(4) exactly expresses the fact that  $f$  should be nondecreasing and hence characterizes the subclass of nondecreasing  $n$ -ary Boolean functions.

Decomposition property (1) also holds for functions  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , called  *$n$ -ary pseudo-Boolean functions*. As a consequence, these functions also have the representation given in (2). Moreover, formula (4) clearly characterizes the subclass of nondecreasing  $n$ -ary pseudo-Boolean functions.

The *multilinear extension* of an  $n$ -ary pseudo-Boolean function  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the function  $\hat{f}: [0,1]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by (see Owen [7, 8])

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} f(\mathbf{1}_S) \prod_{i \in S} x_i \prod_{i \in [n] \setminus S} (1 - x_i), \quad \mathbf{x} \in [0,1]^n.$$

Thus defined, one can easily see that the class of multilinear extensions and that of nondecreasing multilinear extensions can be characterized as follows.

**Proposition 1.** *A function  $f: [0,1]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a multilinear extension if and only if it satisfies*

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = (1 - x_k) f(\mathbf{x}_k^0) + x_k f(\mathbf{x}_k^1), \quad \mathbf{x} \in [0,1]^n, k \in [n].$$

**Proposition 2.** *A function  $f: [0,1]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a nondecreasing multilinear extension if and only if it satisfies*

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{x}_k (f(\mathbf{x}_k^0) \wedge f(\mathbf{x}_k^1)) + x_k (f(\mathbf{x}_k^0) \vee f(\mathbf{x}_k^1)), \quad \mathbf{x} \in [0,1]^n, k \in [n].$$

The decomposition formulas considered in this introduction share an interesting common feature, namely the fact that any variable, here denoted  $x_k$  and called *pivot*, can be isolated from the others in the evaluation of functions. This feature may be useful when for instance the values  $f(\mathbf{x}_k^0)$  and  $f(\mathbf{x}_k^1)$  are much easier to compute than that of  $f(\mathbf{x})$ . In addition to this, such (pivotal) decompositions may facilitate inductive proofs and may lead to canonical forms such as (2).

In this note we define a more general concept of pivotal decomposition for various functions  $f: [0,1]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , including certain aggregation functions. We also introduce pivotal characterizations of classes of such functions.

## 2 Pivotal decompositions of functions

The examples presented in the previous section motivate the following definition.

**Definition 1.** *We say that a function  $f: [0,1]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is pivotally decomposable if there exists a subset  $D$  of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and a function  $\Phi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , called pivotal function, such that*

$$D \supseteq \{(f(\mathbf{x}_k^0), z, f(\mathbf{x}_k^1)) : z \in [0,1], \mathbf{x} \in [0,1]^n\}, \quad k \in [n]$$

and

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi(f(\mathbf{x}_k^0), x_k, f(\mathbf{x}_k^1)), \quad \mathbf{x} \in [0,1]^n, k \in [n].$$

*In this case, we say that  $f$  is  $\Phi$ -decomposable.*

*Example 1 (Lattice polynomial functions).* Recall that a *lattice polynomial function* is simply a composition of projections, constant functions, and the fundamental lattice operations  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$ ; see, e.g., [3, 4]. An  $n$ -ary function  $f: [0,1]^n \rightarrow [0,1]$  is a lattice polynomial function if and only if it can be written in the (disjunctive normal) form

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \bigvee_{S \subseteq [n]} f(\mathbf{1}_S) \wedge \bigwedge_{i \in S} x_i, \quad \mathbf{x} \in [0,1]^n.$$

The so-called *discrete Sugeno integrals* are exactly those lattice polynomial functions which are idempotent (i.e.,  $f(x, \dots, x) = x$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ ).

Every lattice polynomial function is  $\Phi$ -decomposable with  $\Phi: [0, 1]^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\Phi(r, z, s) = \text{med}(r, z, s)$ ; see, e.g., [6].

*Example 2 (Lovász extensions).* Recall that the *Lovász extension* of a pseudo-Boolean function  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the function

$$L_f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} a(S) \bigwedge_{i \in S} x_i,$$

where the set function  $a: 2^{[n]} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by  $a(S) = \sum_{T \subseteq S} (-1)^{|S|-|T|} f(\mathbf{1}_T)$ ; see, e.g., [5]. The so-called *discrete Choquet integrals* are exactly those Lovász extensions which are nondecreasing and idempotent.

There are ternary Lovász extensions  $L_f: [0, 1]^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that are not pivotally decomposable, e.g.,  $L_f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 \wedge x_2 + x_2 \wedge x_3$ .

*Example 3 (T-norms).* A *t-norm* is a binary function  $T: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  that is symmetric, nondecreasing, associative, and such that  $T(1, x) = x$ . Every t-norm  $T: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is  $\Phi$ -decomposable with  $\Phi: [0, 1]^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\Phi(r, z, s) = T(z, s)$ .

*Example 4 (Conjugate functions).* Given a function  $f: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  and a strictly increasing bijection  $\phi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , the  $\phi$ -conjugate of  $f$  is the function  $f_\phi = \phi^{-1} \circ f \circ (\phi, \dots, \phi)$ . One can easily show that  $f$  is  $\Phi$ -decomposable for some pivotal function  $\Phi$  if and only if  $f_\phi$  is  $\Phi_\phi$ -decomposable, where  $\Phi_\phi = \phi^{-1} \circ \Phi \circ (\phi, \phi, \phi)$ . Combining this for instance with Proposition 2 shows that every *quasi-linear mean function* (i.e.,  $\phi$ -conjugate of a weighted arithmetic mean) is pivotally decomposable.

For every  $k \in [n]$ , and every  $\mathbf{a} \in [0, 1]^n$ , we define the *unary section*  $f_k^{\mathbf{a}}: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of  $f$  by setting  $f_k^{\mathbf{a}}(x) = f(\mathbf{a}_k^x)$ . The  $k$ th argument of  $f$  is said to be *inessential* if  $f_k^{\mathbf{a}}$  is constant for every  $\mathbf{a} \in [0, 1]^n$ . Otherwise, it is said to be *essential*. We say that a unary section  $f_k^{\mathbf{a}}$  of  $f$  is *essential* if the  $k$ th argument of  $f$  is essential.

For every function  $f: X^n \rightarrow Y$  and every map  $\sigma: [n] \rightarrow [m]$ , we define the function  $f_\sigma: X^m \rightarrow Y$  by  $f_\sigma(\mathbf{a}) = f(\mathbf{a}\sigma)$ , where  $\mathbf{a}\sigma$  denotes the  $n$ -tuple  $(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n)})$ .

Define on the set  $U = \cup_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{R}^{[0, 1]^n}$  the equivalence relation  $\equiv$  as follows: For functions  $f: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $g: [0, 1]^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we write  $f \equiv g$  if there exist maps  $\sigma: [m] \rightarrow [n]$  and  $\mu: [n] \rightarrow [m]$  such that  $f = g_\sigma$  and  $g = f_\mu$ . Equivalently,  $f \equiv g$  means that  $f$  can be obtained from  $g$  by permuting arguments or by adding or deleting inessential arguments.

**Definition 2.** Let  $\Phi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a pivotal function. We denote by  $C_\Phi$  the class of all the functions  $f: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (where  $n \geq 0$ ) that are  $\equiv$ -equivalent to a  $\Phi$ -decomposable function with no essential argument or no inessential argument. We say that a class  $C \subseteq U$  is *pivotaly characterizable* if there exists a pivotal function  $\Phi$  such that  $C = C_\Phi$ . In that case, we say that  $C$  is  $\Phi$ -characterized.

**Proposition 3.** Let  $\Phi$  be a pivotal function.

- (i) A nonconstant function  $f: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is in  $C_\Phi$  if and only if so are its essential unary sections.

(ii) A constant function  $f: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow \{c\}$  is in  $C_\Phi$  if and only if  $\Phi(c, z, c) = c$  for every  $z \in [0, 1]$ .

*Example 5 (Lattice polynomial functions).* The class of lattice polynomial functions is  $\Phi$ -characterized for the pivotal function  $\Phi: [0, 1]^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\Phi(r, z, s) = \text{med}(r, z, s)$ .

### 3 Classes characterized by their unary members

Proposition 3 shows that a class  $C_\Phi$  is characterized by the essential unary sections of its members. This observation motivates the following definition, which is inspired from [2].

**Definition 3.** A class  $C \subseteq U$  is characterized by its unary members if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) A nonconstant function  $f$  is in  $C$  if and only if so are its essential unary sections.
- (ii) If  $f$  is a constant function in  $C$  and  $g \equiv f$ , then  $g$  is in  $C$ .

We denote by  $\text{CU}$  the family of classes characterized by their unary members.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\Phi$  be a pivotal function. A nonempty subclass of  $C_\Phi$  is characterized by its unary members if and only if it is pivotally characterizable.

**Theorem 2.** The family  $\text{CU}$  can be endowed with a complete and atomic Boolean algebra structure.

### References

1. R.E. Barlow and F. Proschan. Importance of system components and fault tree events. *Stochastic Processes and Their Applications*, 3:153–172, 1975.
2. M. Couceiro. Classes determined by unary sections. Working paper.
3. M. Couceiro and J.-L. Marichal. Characterizations of discrete Sugeno integrals as polynomial functions over distributive lattices. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 161 (5): 694–707, 2010.
4. M. Couceiro and J.-L. Marichal. Polynomial functions over bounded distributive lattices. *J. of Multiple-Valued Logic and Soft Computing*, 18 (3-4): 247–256, 2012.
5. M. Grabisch, J.-L. Marichal, R. Mesiar, and E. Pap. *Aggregation functions*. Cambridge University Press, UK, 2009.
6. J.-L. Marichal. Weighted lattice polynomials. *Discrete Mathematics*, 309(4):814–820, 2009.
7. G. Owen. Multilinear extensions of games. *Management Sci.*, 18:P64–P79, 1972.
8. G. Owen. Multilinear extensions of games. In: A.E. Roth, editor. *The Shapley Value. Essays in Honor of Lloyd S. Shapley*, pages 139–151. Cambridge University Press, 1988.
9. C.E. Shannon. A symbolic analysis of relay and switching circuits. *Trans. Amer. Inst. of Electrical Engineers*, 57: 713–723, 1938.