

every first-countable  $T_0$  space is a well-filtered determined space in the sense of Xu et al. [11]. The authors of [11] further posed the following problem:

**Problem 0.3.** Is every first-countable  $T_0$  space a Rudin space?

Based on our aforementioned characterization for  $\omega$ -well-filtered spaces, we solve Problem 0.3 in the negative by displaying a counterexample.

Moreover, our characterization for  $\omega$ -well-filtered spaces via forbidden subspaces enables us to give more refined characterizations for the  $D$ -completion of Keimel and Lawson and also for the sobrification, when the underlying space is second-countable. This is achieved via the aid of a weaker version of the strong/Skula topology, which we introduce in this paper.

**Definition 0.4** (Strong $_*$  topology). Let  $X$  be a  $T_0$  space. A nonempty subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to have the  $KF_\omega$  property, if there exists a countable filtered family  $\mathcal{K}$  of compact saturated sets of  $X$  such that  $\text{cl}(A)$  is a minimal closed set that intersects all members of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{A \subseteq X \mid \sup B \in A \text{ for all } B \in \text{KF}_\omega(A) \text{ with } \sup B \text{ existing}\}$ . Then the family  $\mathcal{B}$ , as closed sets, forms the *strong $_*$  topology* of  $X$ .

**Theorem 0.5.** 1. In each  $\omega$ -well-filtered space, all of its  $\omega$ -well-filtered subspaces are precisely its closed subsets in the Strong $_*$  topology.  
2. The  $\omega$ -well-filterification of a  $T_0$ -space  $X$  is homeomorphic to the Strong $_*$  closure of the embedding copy of  $X$  in the sobrification of  $X$ .

## References

- [1] M. de Brecht, Quasi-Polish spaces, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*. 164 (2013) 356-381.
- [2] M. de Brecht, A generalization of a theorem of Hurewicz for quasi-Polish spaces, *Logical Methods in Computer Science*. 14 (2018) 1-18.
- [3] G. Gierz, K. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J. Lawson, M. Mislove, D. Scott, *Continuous Lattices and Domains*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [4] J. Goubault-Larrecq, *Non-Hausdorff Topology and Domain Theory*, New Mathematical Monographs, Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [5] R. Heckmann, An upper power domain construction in terms of strongly compact sets, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. 598 (1991) 272-293.
- [6] K. Keimel, J. Lawson,  $D$ -completions and the  $d$ -topology, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*. 159 (2007) 292-306.
- [7] Q. Li, M. Jin, H. Miao, S. Chen, on some results related to sober spaces, *Acta Mathematica Scientia*. 43 (2023) 1477-1490.
- [8] L. Skula, On a reflective subcategory of the category of all topological spaces, *Transactions American Mathematical Society*. 142 (1969) 37-41.
- [9] G. Wu, X. Xi, X. Xu, D. Zhao, Existence of well-filterification, *Topology and its Applications*. 267 (2019) 107044.
- [10] X. Xu, C. Shen, X. Xi, D. Zhao, First countability,  $\omega$ -well-filtered spaces and reflections, *Topology and its Applications*. 279 (2020) 107255.
- [11] X. Xu, C. Shen, X. Xi, D. Zhao, First-countability,  $\omega$ -Rudin spaces and well-filtered determined spaces, *Topology and its Applications*. 300 (2021) 107775.
- [12] X. Xu, C. Shen, X. Xi, D. Zhao, On  $T_0$  spaces determined by well-filtered spaces, *Topology and its Applications*. 282 (2020) 107323.

# When are bounded arity polynomials enough?

Andrew Moorhead and Reinhard Pöschel

<sup>1</sup> Technische Universität Dresden Dresden, Germany  
`andrew.paul.moorhead@tu-dresden.de`

<sup>2</sup> Technische Universität Dresden Dresden, Germany  
`reinhard.poeschel@tu-dresden.de`

This work is within the context of the classical Galois connection between sets of functions and sets of relations determined by the notion of *preservation*. For a set  $A$ , a function  $f : A^n \rightarrow A$ , and a relation  $R \subseteq A^k$ , we say that  $f$  *preserves*  $R$  (and write  $f \triangleright R$ ) whenever  $f$  applied coordinatewise to  $n$ -many tuples belonging to  $R$  produces another tuple belonging to  $R$ . For a finite set  $A$ , the Galois closed sets of functions are the *function clones*, while the Galois closed sets of relations are *relational clones*, which are sets of relations that are closed under positive primitive definitions. A classical and easy result in Universal Algebra states, for an equivalence relation  $\theta \subseteq A^2$  and a function  $f : A^n \rightarrow A$ , that

$$f \triangleright \theta \iff \text{trl}_1(f) \triangleright \theta,$$

where  $\text{trl}_1(f)$  is the set of all *basic translations of  $f$* , i.e. those unary polynomials that can be produced by *evaluating all arguments except possibly one at a constant*. If a relation  $R$  satisfies the above property, we will write  $\Xi_1(R)$ .

Before this collaboration, each author had found a different kind of generalization of this result. In [1], the second author and his collaborators were interested in describing all relations  $R$  such that  $\Xi_1(R)$  holds. Since  $\Xi_1(\theta)$  holds for any quasiorder  $\theta$  (reflexive and transitive binary relation), their work is focused on establishing properties of what they call *generalized quasiorders*, which are relations  $R \subseteq A^k$  that are reflexive (contain the constant tuple  $(c, \dots, c)$  for all  $c \in A$ ) and transitive, which we now define. For  $a \in A^{k^2}$ , we will write  $R \models a$  to indicate that every row and column of  $a$  when considered as a  $k \times k$  matrix is a tuple belonging to  $R$ . With this notation, we say that  $R$  is transitive if whenever  $R \models a$ , then the diagonal of  $a$  is also an element of  $R$ . It is easy to see that this definition of transitivity coincides with the usual definition for binary relations. The authors establish that  $\Xi_1(R)$  holds for any generalized quasiorder  $R$ .

On the other hand, in [2] the first author shows that properties of a higher arity commutator operation are closely connected to the properties of certain invariant relations called *higher dimensional equivalence relations*. Such relations are naturally coordinatized by higher dimensional cubes and satisfy natural generalizations of the transitive, reflexive, and symmetric properties ordinarily associated with binary relations. Higher dimensional congruences enjoy some nice properties, one of which is a generalization of the above equivalence to the following:

$$f \triangleright \theta \iff \text{trl}_d(f) \triangleright \theta,$$

for a higher dimensional congruence  $\theta \subseteq A^{2^d}$  and a function  $f : A^k \rightarrow A$ , where now we take  $\text{trl}_d(f)$  to be the set of polynomial functions obtainable from  $f$  by evaluating all but up to  $d$ -many variables at a constant. If a relation  $R$  satisfies this generalization of  $\Xi_1(R)$ , we will write  $\Xi_d(R)$ .

These two lines of inquiry prompt the search for a characterization of the those relations  $R$  for which  $\Xi_d(R)$  holds. This question is closely related to a question about clones: for  $M \subseteq A^{A^d}$