

A Multifunctional, Charge-Neutral, Chiral ‘Octahedral’ $M_{12}L_{12}$ Cage

Stephanie A. Boer,^[a] Keith F. White,^[b] Benjamin Slater,^[c,d] Adrian J. Emerson,^[a] Gregory P. Knowles,^[a] W. Alex Donald,^[e] Aaron W. Thornton,^[d] Bradley P. Ladewig,^[c,f] Toby D.M. Bell,^[a] Matthew R. Hill,^[d,g] Alan L. Chaffee,^[a] Brendan F. Abrahams^[h] and David R. Turner^{[a]*}

Abstract: A chiral, octahedral $M_{12}L_{12}$ cage, which is charge-neutral and contains an internal void of ca. 2000 Å³, is reported. The cage is synthesised as an enantiopure complex by virtue of amino acid-based dicarboxylate ligands which assemble around copper paddlewheels at the vertices of the octahedron. The cage persists in solution with retention of the fluorescence properties of the parent acid. The solid-state structure contains large pores both within and between the cages, and displays permanent porosity for the sorption of gases with retention of crystallinity. Initial tests show some enantioselectivity of the cage towards guests in solution.

Supramolecular coordination cages, or containers, are unrivaled in their ability for selective guest binding within a protected interior cavity.^[1] Cages can be designed to provide exact fits for certain molecular guests within a 3D space to realise highly efficient host-guest systems.^[2] The literature contains many examples of cages that are able to not only accept guests within their cavities, but catalyse reactions, lock-in non-preferred conformations or stabilize molecules inside the cavity (*i.e.* reactive species).^[3]

Functionality of metal-organic cages arises from using reactive sites, either organic or metal-centred,^[4] or 'spatial activity', whereby the size/shape of the cage's internal cavity gives rise to selectivity.^[5] In recent years there has been an increased interest in chiral coordination cages which require

ligands that are enantiopure, or that the chirality is imparted in the self-assembly process.^[6] Recent literature examples show a variety of synthetic routes to such cages such as tetrahedral iron-based species able to encapsulate tetrahedral ions, taking advantage of octahedral metal centres and tris-chelate coordination, and cages that contain chiral groups exterior to the polyhedron.^[7] Due to poor solid-state packing, X-ray diffraction is not often used to characterise such polyhedral species, although the fact that the majority of interesting host-guest behavior is solution based means that analysis in the solid state is rarely explored.

LeuNDI, a leucine substituted naphthalenediimide, and its analogues, alongside their application in engineering designed interpenetrated networks, has been reported by ourselves.^[8] Particularly notable here is the ability of some coordination polymers to show separation of enantiomers inside a small liquid chromatographic setup. More recently our attention has turned to discrete chiral species that undergo shifts in fluorescence emission upon the addition of solvent species and catenation.^[8f]

Herein we report the formation and study of an octahedral chiral cage and its guest sorption in the solid state. During final preparation of this manuscript a paper was published by Ghosh and co-workers,^[9] using the ligands that we have studied for the past few years, to form analogous cages with ligands derived from alanine, phenylalanine, valine and isoleucine. We further show that such materials are capable of enantioselective sorption and release of small analytes, in addition to solution-phase responses to aromatic species.

Reaction of H₂LeuNDI with copper(II) chloride in basic conditions yields a pure, heavily solvated, crystalline product of [Cu₁₂(LeuNDI)₁₂(OH₂)₁₂] (full synthetic and crystallographic details are provided in Supporting Information). The crystal structure, solved and refined in the space group *P*2₃, reveals the cage complex containing six Cu₂ paddlewheel motifs that occupy the vertices of an octahedron and 12 LeuNDI²⁻ ligands that act as the edges of this regular polyhedral cage (Figure 1). Whilst the cage is octahedral (considering only the geometric positioning of the nodes and connectors), the propeller-like paddlewheel nodes have a uniform direction of rotation due to the enantiopure ligand and hence the overall cage contains no mirror operations and is not described by the O_h point group, but rather by O. The cage appears to be stabilized by CH...O interactions involving the imide groups with both the α-carbon and NDI core (*vide infra*). The triangular faces of the octahedron, from the solid-state structure, were calculated using Zeo++ to contain gaps of largest dimension ca. 2.6 Å, presumably locked into this conformation in the solid state (see Supporting Information).^[10] The octahedral cages contain a large internal void space, determined to be approximately 2000 Å³, occupied by disordered solvent in the solid-state structure (calculated using PLATON).^[11] Pore space between the cages consists of a continuous 3D

[a] S.A. Boer, A.J. Emerson, G.P. Knowles, T.D.M. Bell, A.L. Chaffee D.R. Turner*

School of Chemistry, Monash University
Clayton, VIC 3800, Australia
E-mail: david.turner@monash.edu

[b] K.F. White

School of Molecular Science, La Trobe University
Wodonga, VIC 3690, Australia

[c] B. Slater, B.P. Ladewig

Barrer Centre, Department of Chemical Engineering
Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom

[d] B. Slater, A.W. Thornton, M.R. Hill

CSIRO
Private Bag 10, Clayton South MDC, VIC 3189, Australia

[e] W.A. Donald

School of Chemistry, University of New South Wales
Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia

[f] B.P. Ladewig

Institute for Micro Process Engineering, Karlsruhe Institute of
Technology
76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany.

[g] M.R. Hill

School of Chemical Engineering, Monash University
Clayton, VIC 3800, Australia

[h] B.F. Abrahams

School of Chemistry, University of Melbourne
Melbourne, VIC 3010, Australia

Supporting information and the ORCID identification numbers for the authors of this article can be found under:
<https://doi.org/XXXXX>

network, connected to the space within the cages (calculated using a probe radius of 1.2 Å) and isolated spaces that occupy the alternate corners of the cubic cell to the cages (overall *ca.* 47 % of the cell volume).




Figure 1. (Top left) The H₂LeuNDI diacid. (Top right) The Cu₂ paddlewheel motif that occupies the vertices of the cage. (Centre) The octahedral, yet chiral, [Cu₁₂(LeuNDI)₁₂] cage contains a roughly spherical cavity of 2000 Å³. (Bottom) The triangular faces of the cages contain small windows through which the interior can be accessed (amino acid side chains and coordinated solvent omitted for clarity).

The persistence of [Cu₁₂(LeuNDI)₁₂] in solution was confirmed using ¹H-NMR spectroscopy, circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy and mass spectrometry (Supporting Information). Although somewhat paramagnetically

broadened and shifted, the ¹H NMR spectrum of the cage clearly shows two signals corresponding to the hydrogen atoms of the NDI cores. In the free ligand these hydrogen atoms are equivalent. Once the octahedral cage is formed these hydrogen atoms exist in two distinct environments due to the presence of inter-ligand CH...O hydrogen bonds across the faces of the triangular windows. Mass spectrometry of the cage proved challenging, with the Cu₁₂ species being neutral with a formula weight of 6888.6 g/mol. Electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry of [Cu₁₂(LeuNDI)₁₂] dissolved in acetonitrile with 1%(v/v) acetic acid added immediately prior to measurement was used to confirm the existence of the cage by observation of multiple, variously solvated, doubly and triply protonated [Cu₁₂(LeuNDI)₁₂] ions (see Supporting Information). Although the imide functional group is relatively non-basic in solution, the gas-phase basicity (GB) of imide functional groups are exceedingly high (*e.g.* the GB of N-acetylacetamide is 1422 kJ/mol).^[12] Circular dichroism confirms the presence of a chiral assembly in solution with a distinctly enhanced signal contrasted to that of the parent diacid.




Figure 2. Packing of [Cu₁₂(LeuNDI)₁₂] occurs such that the cages occupy alternating corners of the cubic cell alongside isolated voids (denoted by *). Additionally there is a continuous void space that runs between and through the cages (probe radius 1.2 Å). The four cages within the cell are coloured differently for clarity.

Interestingly, an analogous synthetic preparation using H₂GlyNDI, the achiral cousin of H₂LeuNDI and related ligands, did not yield a discrete cage, rather it formed a two-dimensional coordination polymer as the sole isolable crystalline product under similar reaction conditions (see Supporting Information). It is noted that the solvent differs between the two syntheses (DMF *vs.* DMA) which is also a possible cause for structural difference with no crystalline products isolated from the opposite experiments, although in related systems we have been able to access discrete glycine complexes regardless of solvent.^[13] This coordination polymer still contains a copper paddlewheel motif, although

it is centrosymmetric (unlike those in the cage complex). Whilst an achiral analogue of the Cu_{12} cage was expected, similar to our previous results with Cu_4L_4 cages,^[13] it appears that the presence of the amino acid side chain may play a very strong sterically directing role in the formation of the cage (and in their stability as per the findings of Ghosh).^[9] It is possible that less sterically encumbering side chains may allow for arrangements around the paddlewheel other than the propeller motif required to form the discrete Cu_{12} cages.

As noted above, the solid-state structure of $[\text{Cu}_{12}(\text{LeuNDI})_{12}]$ contains significant solvent-occupied voids. After solvent-exchange with methanol and subsequent desolvation under vacuum, the material was exposed to a variety of gases to probe its capacity for absorption. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) after solvent-exchange, evacuation and repeated sorption experiments confirms that the crystallinity of the sample remains largely unchanged (see Supporting Information). It remains relatively rare for discrete metal-organic cages to display permanent porosity, with retention of crystallinity, with recent notable examples including those of Yaghi,^[14] Furukawa,^[15] Bloch,^[16] Zhou,^[17] and the nanoballs of Batten.^[18]

sorption at the limits of the instrumentation (see Supporting Information), leading to high pressure experiments being performed to gauge whether there was a pressure barrier to access the pore space within the cages. High pressure data were collected at multiple temperatures for CO_2 , H_2 , CH_4 and N_2O . Uptake plots for methane and hydrogen follow similarly shaped curves (see Supporting Information) and the overall uptake volumes in cm^3/g are 82 (273 K) and 172 (77 K), respectively (*c.f.* the recent CH_4/cage record of 194 cm^3/g at 65 bar for a Cr-based cage).^[16] CO_2 uptake plateaus at about 170 cm^3/g (at *ca.* 1700 kPa, 273 K, Figure 3). To investigate the nature of the sorption sites of the materials, the enthalpy of CO_2 sorption over the gas loading range was calculated. At initial CO_2 loading the isosteric enthalpy of sorption for the gas is -29 kJ/mol. CO_2 sorption enthalpies in this range are typical of CO_2 binding to non-polarising surfaces.^[19] This result implies that the axial paddlewheel sites remain unavailable for the gas. The sorption enthalpies over the entire CO_2 loading range remain largely unchanged. N_2O , which is isoelectronic and of comparable size to CO_2 and is of importance as a mild anaesthetic (and increasingly as a dangerous recreational drug), displays a markedly different sorption profile, with hysteresis, and a higher maximum uptake (190 cm^3/g at 220kPa, 273 K). The reason for the difference in behaviour between CO_2 and N_2O is not immediately obvious. It is possible that there is an artefact associated with sluggish N_2O sorption in certain regions leading to a flattening of the inflection. However, similar step-wise profiles are observed in the desorption process, suggesting that some kind of gating mechanism may be present for N_2O but not for CO_2 due to the relative sizes of their dynamic radii. Similar gating process have been observed recently for a crystalline metal-organic cage.^[15a]




Figure 3. High pressure gas sorption isotherms of a crystalline sample of $[\text{Cu}_{12}(\text{LeuNDI})_{12}(\text{OH}_2)_{12}]$ for (top) CO_2 and (bottom) N_2O .

N_2 uptake measurements give a BET surface area of 693 m^2/g . Low pressure uptake of CO_2 indicates incomplete




Figure 4. Titrations of (top) naphthalene and (bottom) triphenylene against $[\text{Cu}_{12}(\text{LeuNDI})_{12}]$ showing the quenching of fluorescence emission of the polyaromatic molecules upon addition of the cage demonstrated by Stern-Volmer plots (insets) against maxima at 337 nm (naphthalene) and 373 and 421 nm (triphenylene, purple and red respectively).

We have previously demonstrated that the LeuNDI ligand can exhibit broad, red shifted and often enhanced fluorescence emission due to formation of exciplexes with other aromatic species.^[8f, 20] Exciplex formation can also occur involving NDI-based ligands incorporated into larger structures and aromatic molecules in solution, or even in gas phase,^[21] and provides readily detectable confirmation of their formation. The emission intensity of $[\text{Cu}_{12}(\text{LeuNDI})_{12}]$ in MeCN and CHCl_3 was very weak. However, in *o*-/*m*-/*p*-xylene and toluene there was a weak but measurable solvent-dependent emission in the range 450–510 nm, similar to that of the parent diacid H_2LeuNDI in these solvents (see Supporting Information). Larger aromatic molecules, naphthalene and triphenylene, were introduced to solutions containing $[\text{Cu}_{12}(\text{LeuNDI})_{12}]$ whereby their fluorescence intensity was reduced. Titrations of $[\text{Cu}_{12}(\text{LeuNDI})_{12}]$ against these polyaromatic species reveals quenching of their emission with increasing concentration of the cage (Figure 4 and Supporting Information). Stern-Volmer plots yield quenching constants of 0.182 M^{-1} for naphthalene (at 337 nm) and $0.265/0.084 \text{ M}^{-1}$ for triphenylene (at 373 and 421 nm, respectively). This response of the NDI cores within $[\text{Cu}_{12}(\text{LeuNDI})_{12}]$ to aromatic molecules indicates potential for using its fluorescence as a reporting mechanism.

The octahedral cage, as stated above, is chiral due to the presence of amino acid residues on the ligand enforcing a single direction of rotation of the ligands around the copper paddlewheel motifs. Detection of chiral molecules, and particularly separation of enantiomers from racemic mixtures,^[22] remains an important area of separations sciences,^[22] and therefore the crystalline material of $[\text{Cu}_{12}(\text{LeuNDI})_{12}(\text{OH}_2)_{12}]$ underwent some preliminary testing for its capacity to sorb and release some small chiral analytes from solution *via* a sorption/desorption process. Samples of the crystalline material were soaked in racemic mixtures of 1-phenylethanol, 2-methyl-2,4-pentanediol (hexyleneglycol) and pantolactone in methanol or heptane (see Supporting Information for full details). After soaking for 24 hours the crystalline material was recovered by filtration, rinsed to remove any analytes on the surface, and placed in fresh methanol to allow the sorbed guests to desorb. A sample of supernatant was analysed by GC to determine the %ee (Table 1). Modest enantioselective uptake was observed, although it is noted that the analytes were present at relatively high concentrations (*ca.* 1 M) and this may artificially lower the observed %ee if the host framework is overloaded. These results are very promising and suggestive that this class of material has good potential as a solid support for separation techniques. Separation of 2-methyl-2,4-pentanediol produced an unexpected result, with the preferentially sorbed isomer dependent on the solvent used. A possible explanation for this is that coordination at the apical sites of the paddlewheels is involved for this guest, and therefore methanol competes for these sites whereas heptane will not; further studies are required to fully determine the mechanism of the host:guest interaction.

Table 1. Results of sorption testing via solution sorption/desorption, given as the % ee, from small racemic analytes as either methanol or hexane solutions.

| 1-Phenylethanol | 2-Methyl-2,4-pentanediol | Pantolactone |
|---|--|--|
| | | |
| MeOH: 2.5% (S) C ₇ H ₁₆ : 8.2% (S) | MeOH: 13% (R) C ₇ H ₁₆ : 8.7% (S) | MeOH: 7% (R) C ₇ H ₁₆ : Insoluble |

In conclusion, we have shown that the chiral ‘octahedral’ cage complex $[\text{Cu}_{12}(\text{LeuNDI})_{12}]$ has great versatility, being able to demonstrate markedly different gas sorption profiles for closely related gaseous guests (CO_2 vs. N_2O), quenching response to aromatic species in solution and enantioselective sorption and release of small analytes from solution. The charge-neutrality, stability and chirality of this metal-organic cage set it apart from most others and suggest potential for further solution-based applications.

Acknowledgements

Part of this work was conducted on the MX1 beamline at the Australian Synchrotron, part of ANSTO.^[23] DRT and MRH

acknowledge the Australian Research Council for Future Fellowships (FT120100300 and FT130100345). SAB acknowledges the Australian Government for an RTP scholarship. BS acknowledges CSIRO and Imperial College London for financial support.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.


Keywords: supramolecular chemistry • metal-organic cage • chirality • self-assembly • gas storage

- [1] a) S.J. Dalgarno, N.P. Power, J.L. Atwood, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, **2008**, *252*, 825-841. b) M.D. Ward, P.R. Raithby, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2013**, *42*, 1619-1636. c) A. Schmidt, A. Casini, F.E. Kuhn, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, **2014**, *275*, 9-36.
- [2] a) D.J.L. Tranchemontagne, Z. Ni, M. O'Keeffe, O.M. Yaghi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2008**, *47*, 5136-5147. b) M.D. Ward, *Chem. Commun.*, **2009**, *30*, 4487-4499. c) J.R. Li, H.-C. Zhou, *Nature Chem.*, **2010**, *2*, 893-898.
- [3] a) K. Harris, D. Fujita, M. Fujita, *Chem. Commun.*, **2013**, *49*, 6703-6712. b) N. Ahmad, H.A. Younas, A.H. Chughtai, F. Verpoort, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2015**, *44*, 9-25. c) D. Yang, J. Zhao, L. Yu, X.S. Lin, W.Y. Zhang, H.W. Ma, A. Gogoll, Z.B. Zhang, Y.Y. Wang, X.J. Yang, B. Wu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2017**, *139*, 5946-5951.
- [4] a) M. Yoshizawa, J.K. Klosterman, M. Fujita, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2009**, *48*, 3418-3438. b) X. Jing, C. He, Y. Yang, C.Y. Duan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2015**, *137*, 1967-3974. d) H. Vardhan, F. Verpoort, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, **2015**, *357*, 1351-1368.
- [5] a) J.E.M. Lewis, E.L. Gavey, S.A. Cameron, J.D. Crowley, *Chem. Sci.*, **2012**, *3*, 778-784. b) J. Bunzen, J. Iwasa, P. Bonakdarzadeh, E. Numata, K. Rissanen, S. Sato, M. Fujita, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2012**, *51*, 3161-3163.
- [6] a) J.K. Clegg, J.C. McMurtrie, *Chiral Metallosupramolecular Polyhedra in Chirality in Supramolecular Assemblies*, F.R. Keene (ed.), John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, UK, 2017. b) M. Pan, K. Wu, J.-H. Zhang, C.-Y. Su, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, **2019**, *378*, 333-349. c) A.M. Castilla, W.J. Ramsay, J.R. Nitschke, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **2014**, *47*, 2063-2073.
- [7] a) R.A. Bilbeisi, J.K. Clegg, N. Elgrishi, X. De Hatten, M. Devillard, B. Breiner, P. Mal, J.R. Nitschke, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2012**, *134*, 5110-5119. b) T.F. Liu, Y. Liu, W.M. Xuan, Y. Cui, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2010**, *49*, 4121-4124. c) K. Wu, K. Li, Y.J. Hou, M. Pan, L.Y. Zhang, L. Chen, C.Y. Sun, *Nature Commun.*, **2016**, *7*, 10487. d) W.M. Xuan, M.N. Zhang, Y. Liu, Z.J. Chen, Y. Cui, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2012**, *134*, 6904-6907.
- [8] a) S.A. Boer, C.S. Hawes, D.R. Turner, *Chem. Commun.*, **2014**, *50*, 1125-1127. b) S.A. Boer, Y. Nolvachai, C. Kulsing, L.J. McCormick, P.J. Marriott, D.R. Turner, *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2014**, *20*, 11308-11312. c) L.J. McCormick and D.R. Turner, *CrystEngComm*, **2013**, *15*, 8234-8236. d) N. Kyrtziz, W. Cao, E.I. Izgorodina, D.R. Turner, *CrystEngComm*, **2018**, *20*, 4115-4126. e) S.A. Boer, D.R. Turner, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, **2016**, *16*, 6294-6303. f) S.A. Boer, R. Cox, M.J. Beards, H. Wang, W.A. Donald, T.D.M. Bell, D.R. Turner, *Chem. Commun.*, **2019**, DOI: 10.1039/C8CC09191A.
- [9] S. Mollick, S. Mukherjee, D. Kim, Q. Zhiwei, A.V. Desai, R. Saha, Y.D. More, J. Jiang, M.S. Lah, S.K. Ghosh, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2019**, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201811037.
- [10] T.F. Willems, C.H. Rycroft, M. Kazi, J.C. Meza, M. Haranczyk, *Micropor. Mesopor. Mater.*, **2012**, *149*, 134-141.
- [11] A.L. Spek, *Acta. Crystallogr., Sect. C*, **2015**, *71*, 9-18.
- [12] E.P. Hunter, S.G. Lias, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, **1998**, *27*, 413-656.
- [13] S.A. Boer, D.R. Turner, *Chem. Commun.*, **2015**, *51*, 17375-17378.
- [14] Z. Lu, C.B. Knobler, H. Furukawa, B. Wang, G.N. Liu, O.M. Yaghi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2009**, *131*, 12532-12533.
- [15] a) G.A. Craig, P. Larpent, S. Kusaka, R. Matsuda, S. Kitagawa, S. Furukawa, *Chem. Sci.*, **2018**, *9*, 6463-6469; b) S. Furukawa, N. Horike, M. Kondo, Y. Hijikata, A. Carné-Sánchez, P. Larpent, N. Louvain, S. Diring, H. Sato, R. Matsuda, R. Kawano, S. Kitagawa, *Inorg. Chem.*, **2016**, *55*, 10843-10846.
- [16] C.A. Rowland, G.R. Lorzing, E.J. Gosselin, B.A. Trump, G.P.A. Yap, C.M. Brown, E.D. Bloch, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2018**, *140*, 11153-11157.
- [17] J. Park, Z. Perry, Y.-P. Chen, J. Bae, H.-C. Zhou, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, **2017**, *9*, 28064-28068.
- [18] M.B. Duriska, S.M. Neville, J.Z. Lu, S.S. Iremonger, J.F. Boas, C.J. Kepert, S.R. Batten, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2009**, *48*, 8919-8922.
- [19] K. Sumida, D.L. Rogow, J.A. Mason, T.M. McDonald, E.D. Bloch, Z.R. Herm, T.-H. Bae, J.R. Long, *Chem. Rev.*, **2012**, *112*, 724-781.
- [20] T.D.M. Bell, S.V. Bhosale, C.M. Forsyth, D. Hayne, K.P. Ghiggino, J.A. Hutchison, C.H. Jani, S.J. Langford, M.A.-P. Lee, C.P. Woodward, *Chem. Commun.*, **2010**, *46*, 4881-4883.
- [21] Y. Takashima, V. Martínez Martínez, S. Furukawa, M. Kondo, S. Shimomura, H. Uehara, M. Nakahama, K. Sugimoto, S. Kitagawa, *Nature Commun.*, **2011**, *168*.
- [22] a) P. Peluso, V. Mamane, S. Cossu, *J. Chromatogr. A*, **2014**, *1363*, 11-26. b) J. Bojarski, H.Y. Aboul-Enein, A. Ghanem, *Curr. Anal. Chem.*, **2005**, *1*, 59-77.
- [23] N.P. Cowieson, D. Aragao, M. Clift, D.J. Ericsson, C. Gee, S.J. Harrop, N. Mudie, S. Panjkar, J.R. Price, A. Riboldi-Tunnucliffe, R. Williamson, T. Caradoc-Davies, *J. Synchrotron Rad.*, **2015**, *22*, 187-190.

Entry for the Table of Contents

COMMUNICATION

A chiral, pseudo-octahedral $M_{12}L_{12}$ cage with a 2000 Å³ internal cavity is reported. The cage displays unusual stability in the solid-state with significant gas sorption and moderate enantioselective uptake from racemic mixtures.



*Stephanie A. Boer, Keith F. White, Benjamin Slater, Adrian J. Emerson, Gregory P. Knowles, W. Alex Donald, Aaron W. Thornton, Bradley P. Ladewig, Toby D.M. Bell, Matthew R. Hill, Alan L. Chaffee, Brendan F. Abrahams and David R. Turner**

Page No. – Page No.

A Multifunctional, Charge-Neutral, Chiral ‘Octahedral’ $M_{12}L_{12}$ Cage

WILEY-VCH