

ON THE HILBERT EIGENVARIETY AT EXOTIC AND CM CLASSICAL WEIGHT 1 POINTS

ADEL BETINA, SHAUNAK V. DEO, AND FRANCESC FITÉ

ABSTRACT. Let F be a totally real number field and let f be a classical p -regular Hilbert modular eigenform over F of parallel weight 1. Let x be the point in the p -adic Hilbert eigenvariety \mathcal{E} corresponding to a p -stabilization of f . We show that if the p -adic Schanuel Conjecture is true, then \mathcal{E} is smooth at x if f has CM. If we additionally assume that F/\mathbb{Q} is Galois, we show that the weight map is étale at x if f has either CM or exotic projective image. We prove these results by showing that the completed local ring of the eigenvariety at x is isomorphic to a universal nearly ordinary Galois deformation ring. We show how our results relate to the uniqueness, up to Galois conjugacy, of the nearly ordinary Hida family passing through a p -stabilization of f and to the classicality of the generalized overconvergent eigenspace relative to a p -stabilization of f .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be prime number, $F \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a totally real number field of degree d over \mathbb{Q} with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_F , and \mathfrak{n} be an ideal of \mathcal{O}_F coprime to p .

We will denote by \mathcal{E} the p -adic Hilbert eigenvariety of tame level \mathfrak{n} constructed by Andreatta, Iovita and Pilloni in [AIP16], parameterizing the system of Hecke eigenvalues of overconvergent cuspidal Hilbert modular eigenforms over F of tame level \mathfrak{n} and finite slope. It is constructed using the Hecke operators T_ℓ for $\ell \nmid \mathfrak{n}p$ and U_ℓ for $\ell \mid p$. Recall that there exists a morphism $w = (k, v) : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ called the weight map, where \mathcal{W} is the rigid space over \mathbb{Q}_p representing morphisms $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times (\mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$. Recall also that locally on \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{W} , the morphism w is finite, open and surjective, though it is not necessarily flat. The p -adic eigenvariety \mathcal{E} is reduced and equidimensional of dimension $d + 1$.

Let \mathcal{C} denote the p -adic eigencurve constructed by Kisin and Lai in [KL05], parameterizing the system of Hecke eigenvalues of overconvergent cuspidal Hilbert modular eigenforms over F of tame level \mathfrak{n} , finite slope, and parallel weight. One can see \mathcal{C} as the closed subvariety of \mathcal{E} defined by the equation on the weight $v = 0$. Indeed, if we let $\mathcal{W}_{v=0}$ be the rigid space over \mathbb{Q}_p representing the continuous morphisms $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$, then the restriction of the weight map w to $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{E}$ gives rise to a locally finite flat surjective map $k : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{v=0}$. Note that, the eigencurve constructed by Kisin and Lai also includes (points corresponding to) Hilbert Eisenstein series but we will only focus on its cuspidal part.

Let f be a classical cuspidal Hilbert modular eigenform over F of tame level \mathfrak{n} . A p -stabilization of f with finite slope defines a point x on \mathcal{E} . A well-known result of Hida ([Hid86] if $F = \mathbb{Q}$, [Hid89a] in general) asserts that w is étale at x if f is p -ordinary and all of its weights have the

same parity and are at least 2. The classicality criterion of overconvergent forms of Bijakowski, Pilloni and Stroh implies that w is étale at x if f is p -regular and satisfies a small slope condition; see [Bij16] and [PS12, Thm. 1.1]. However, their results do not apply in the parallel weight 1 case.

From now on, we assume that f is a cuspidal Hilbert modular eigenform over F of parallel weight 1, tame level \mathfrak{n} and nebentypus χ_f , and let x be the point of \mathcal{E} corresponding to a p -stabilization of f with finite slope. As f has parallel weight, the point x also lies on \mathcal{C} . Our aim is to study the geometry of \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{C} at x .

When f is a newform of tame level \mathfrak{n} , we are also interested in the geometry of the full p -adic eigenvariety $\mathcal{E}^{\text{full}}$ at the point x^{full} corresponding to a p -stabilization of f with finite slope. Recall that $\mathcal{E}^{\text{full}}$ parameterizes overconvergent cuspidal Hilbert modular eigenforms over F of tame level \mathfrak{n} and that it is constructed using the Hecke operators T_ℓ for $\ell \nmid \mathfrak{n}p$ and U_ℓ for $\ell \mid \mathfrak{n}p$. There is a locally finite surjective morphism $\mathcal{E}^{\text{full}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. Our interest in the geometry of $\mathcal{E}^{\text{full}}$ at x^{full} arises from the question of determining whether there is a unique, up to Galois conjugacy, p -adic nearly ordinary Hida family specializing to a given p -stabilization of f . This question is connected to $\mathcal{E}^{\text{full}}$ by the following geometric reformulation of it: the uniqueness of such a p -adic family is a consequence of the smoothness of $\mathcal{E}^{\text{full}}$ at x^{full} (see §8).

When $F = \mathbb{Q}$, Cho and Vatsal (when the adjoint representation attached to f is p -regular) and Bellaïche and Dimitrov (when only f is p -regular) have characterized those f for which w is étale at x ; see [CV03] and [BD16]. Before recalling the previous results in the Hilbert modular case and stating the results of this paper, we give some definitions first.

Fix an embedding $\iota_p : \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ and let G_F denote the absolute Galois group of F . To introduce the definition of p -regular, recall that there exists a continuous, totally odd Galois representation

$$\varrho_f : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^2)$$

such that for all primes $\mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{n}$ we have that

$$\text{Tr } \varrho_f(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = a(\mathfrak{q}, f), \quad \det \varrho_f(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \chi_f(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}),$$

where $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ denotes an arithmetic Frobenius at \mathfrak{q} and $a(\mathfrak{q}, f)$ is the $T_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -eigenvalue of f (see [RT83], [Car86], [Wil88], and [Tay89]).

Definition 1.1. *For any prime $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ of \mathcal{O}_F at which ϱ_f is unramified, let $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\beta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the roots of the polynomial*

$$X^2 - \text{Tr } \varrho_f(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})X + \chi_f(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

For any prime $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ of \mathcal{O}_F at which ϱ_f is ramified, let $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\beta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the roots of the polynomial $X^2 - a(\mathfrak{p}, f)X$, where $a(\mathfrak{p}, f)$ is the $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -eigenvalue of the newform underlying f . We say that f (or x) is p -regular if $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq \beta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ of \mathcal{O}_F .

Let \overline{G} denote the projective image of ϱ_f . It is well known that one of the following three possibilities occurs:

- (A) \overline{G} is the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

- (D) \overline{G} is the (nonabelian) dihedral group D_r with $2r$ elements, where $r \geq 3$. In this case, $\varrho_f = \text{Ind}_K^F \chi$, where K/F is a degree two extension and χ is a finite order character of G_K . Note that in this case, K is the unique such quadratic extension of F .
- (E) \overline{G} is exotic, that is, \overline{G} is isomorphic to A_4 , S_4 , or A_5 . Here, A_r (resp. S_r) denote the alternating (resp. symmetric) group on r letters.

We say that x is dihedral (resp. exotic) if the corresponding \overline{G} is non-abelian dihedral (resp. exotic). We say that x is a CM point if it is dihedral and K/F is a totally complex extension. Betina [Bet] and Deo [Deo18] have obtained results regarding the smoothness of \mathcal{E} at x and the étaleness of w at x in case that x is p -regular and dihedral. These results depend either on the splitting behavior of the primes of F above p in the extension K/F or on the size of the degree $d = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$. To the best of our knowledge, no other work has been done on this topic. In particular, no results about the geometry of \mathcal{E} at an exotic point are known so far.

The main contribution of this paper is to prove étaleness of the weight map at an exotic or CM classical point of parallel weight 1 when F is Galois over \mathbb{Q} and prove the smoothness of \mathcal{E} at a classical CM point of parallel weight 1. More precisely, the main two theorems of this article, which are conditional on the p -adic Schanuel Conjecture (which will be recalled in §3) are the following.

Theorem A. *Let f be a classical cuspidal Hilbert modular eigenform over F of tame level \mathfrak{n} and parallel weight 1. Let x be the point of \mathcal{E} corresponding to a p -stabilization of f with finite slope. Suppose that F/\mathbb{Q} is Galois and that the p -adic Schanuel Conjecture is true. If x is p -regular and either exotic or CM, then the weight map $w : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is étale at x .*

In particular, the above theorem ensures that, under the same hypotheses, the eigenvariety \mathcal{E} is smooth at x and that the weight map $w : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{v=0}$ is étale at x . Without assuming the hypothesis that F/\mathbb{Q} is Galois, we can still show smoothness of \mathcal{E} in the CM case.

Theorem B. *Let f be a classical cuspidal Hilbert modular eigenform over F of tame level \mathfrak{n} and parallel weight 1. Let x be the point of \mathcal{E} corresponding to a p -stabilization of f with finite slope. Suppose that x is p -regular and CM.*

- (i) *Let K be the unique quadratic extension of F such that $\varrho_f \simeq \text{Ind}_K^F \chi$. If all the primes of F lying above p are either inert or ramified in K and the Leopoldt's conjecture is true, then \mathcal{E} is smooth at x .*
- (ii) *If the p -adic Schanuel Conjecture is true, then \mathcal{E} is smooth at x .*

Note that the previous results of [Bet] and [Deo18] in the CM case were under some hypotheses on either the splitting behavior of the primes of F above p or the degree d . We remove all those hypotheses to prove the smoothness at CM points and replace them by the relatively mild hypothesis that F be Galois over \mathbb{Q} to prove the étaleness of the weight map at CM points. The hypothesis that the p -adic Schanuel conjecture is true is also present in the results of [Deo18] in the CM cases.

We now give an outline of the proofs of Theorems A and B. Let \mathcal{T} and Λ denote respectively the completed local rings of \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{W} at x and $w(x)$. Let \mathfrak{m} be the maximal ideal of Λ and let $\mathcal{T}'_0 = \mathcal{T}/\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{T}$ be the algebra of the fiber of w at x . Note that \mathcal{T}'_0 is an artinian ring, since w is a locally finite morphism, while the ring \mathcal{T} has Krull dimension $d + 1$ as \mathcal{E} is equidimensional of dimension $d + 1$. Let $t_{\mathcal{T}}$ (resp. $t_{\mathcal{T}'_0}$) denote the tangent space of \mathcal{T} (resp. \mathcal{T}'_0). Thus, proving that:

- i) \mathcal{E} is smooth at x is equivalent to showing that the tangent space $t_{\mathcal{T}}$ has dimension $d + 1$.
- ii) w is étale at x is equivalent to showing that the tangent space $t_{\mathcal{T}'_0}$ is zero.

To compute these dimensions, one relates the rings \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{T}'_0 to the universal rings \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}'_0 representing the nearly ordinary deformation functor \mathcal{D} and the functor of ordinary deformations with constant determinant \mathcal{D}'_0 , respectively. These functors, which will be introduced in §2, are representable precisely because of the p -regularity hypothesis. In §4 and §5, we show that the dimensions of the tangent spaces $t_{\mathcal{D}'_0}$ and $t_{\mathcal{D}}$ are 0 and $d + 1$, respectively.

For this computation of dimensions, we first follow the strategy of [BD16] to get a description of the elements of the tangent space but it turns out to be insufficient for the conclusion we want. We first treat the case of Theorem A. In this case, we study a certain non-torsion \mathbb{Z} -submodule of maximal rank of the group of units of the Galois closure of the field cut out by the adjoint representation of ϱ_f and use the techniques of [BD16], along with the description of elements of $t_{\mathcal{D}'_0}$, to find a matrix X such that an element of $t_{\mathcal{D}'_0}$ corresponds to an element in the kernel of X . We then prove using the p -adic Schanuel conjecture that X is invertible which implies that $t_{\mathcal{D}'_0} = 0$. In the case of Theorem B, we again use the description of an element of $t_{\mathcal{D}}$ to find an explicit basis of $t_{\mathcal{D}}$. This process needs Leopoldt's conjecture in the first case of Theorem B. While in the second case, we need a certain matrix (which we build using certain algebraic units) to be invertible for this process. For proving that the matrix is invertible, we use the p -adic Schanuel conjecture. This is the main technical part of the article.

The proofs of the main theorems are then completed in §6 using standard arguments. While proving the main theorems, we end up showing that $\mathcal{R}'_0 \simeq \mathcal{T}'_0$ under the hypotheses of Theorem A and $\mathcal{R} \simeq \mathcal{T}$ under the hypotheses of either Theorem A or Theorem B. These results may also be of independent interest.

We now describe two applications of our results. Recall that we denoted the Nebentypus of f by χ_f . For \mathfrak{m} an ideal of \mathcal{O}_F divisible by \mathfrak{n} , denote by $S_1(\mathfrak{m}, \chi)[f]$ (resp. $S_1^\dagger(\mathfrak{m}, \chi_f)[[f]]$) the eigenspace (resp. generalized eigenspace) attached to f inside the space of classical (resp. overconvergent) cuspidal Hilbert modular forms of parallel weight 1, level \mathfrak{m} , and Nebentypus χ_f .

Theorem C. *Assume the same hypotheses as in Theorem A. Then*

$$S_1(\mathfrak{np}, \chi_f)[f] \simeq S_1^\dagger(\mathfrak{n}, \chi_f)[[f]].$$

To conclude the paper, by comparing the local rings of \mathcal{E} and $\mathcal{E}^{\text{full}}$ at x and x^{full} respectively, we will deduce the uniqueness of the Galois orbit of the nearly ordinary Hida families passing through a p -stabilization of f of finite slope.

Theorem D. *Assume that the hypotheses of either Theorem A or Theorem B are satisfied and f is a cuspidal Hilbert modular newform of tame level \mathfrak{n} . Then there exists a unique nearly ordinary Hida family, up to Galois conjugacy, passing through a given p -stabilization of f of finite slope.*

We will devote §7 and §8 to the proofs of these theorems.

We end the introduction by sketching a possible application of Theorem D to Iwasawa theory for Artin representations attached to Hilbert modular eigenforms of parallel weight 1. In [GV], Greenberg-Vatsal have shown that the Selmer group attached to an Artin representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is always co-torsion as $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ -module and its characteristic ideal is generated by the algebraic p -adic L-function. They have also constructed an analytic p -adic L-function via deformation to higher weights (see also [BD16, Cor.1.3]). This construction can be done when we know the uniqueness of the family passing through the weight one cusp form. Conjecturally, both of these p -adic L-functions have an interpolation property involving p -adic logarithms of global units. Thus, the uniqueness of the Hida family passing through a parallel weight one cuspidal Hilbert modular eigenform is a crucial step to generalize the analysis of [GV] to the Hilbert modular case. However, to construct a p -adic L-function in two variables attached to the Hida family passing through the weight one Hilbert cuspform f , we need to prove that the system of Hecke eigenvalues of f corresponds to a point of the Hilbert eigenvariety \mathcal{E}' constructed using betti cohomology (i.e overconvergent cohomology of the Hilbert modular variety) and that \mathcal{E}' is étale at this point corresponding to f over the weight space. When $F \neq \mathbb{Q}$, it is not known if $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}$ and even if \mathcal{E}' is equidimensional, since the overconvergent cohomology may have torsion (see [Han17, §.4.4]). On the other hand, when f is CM with respect to the CM field K and every prime \mathfrak{p} of F above p splits in K , there exists a nearly ordinary CM family specializing to f and it is the unique nearly ordinary Hida family passing through f by Theorem D. Hence, in this case, one may attach to it a p -adic L-function of two variables following the work of Hida and Tilouine [HT94] (i.e a Katz p -adic L-function in two variables).

Notation conventions. To ease notations, with no further description, by \mathfrak{p} we will always mean a prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_F . Throughout the article, f will denote a p -regular cuspidal Hilbert modular eigenform over F of parallel weight 1, tame level \mathfrak{n} and nebentypus χ_f . By x , we will refer to the point of \mathcal{E} corresponding to a p -stabilization of f with finite slope.

Acknowledgements. Betina was supported by the EPSRC Grant EP/R006563/1. Fité was funded by the Excellence Program María de Maeztu MDM-2014-0445. Fité was partially supported by MTM2015-63829-P. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 682152).

2. DEFORMATION FUNCTORS AND THEIR TANGENT SPACES

In this section we will define the nearly ordinary deformation functor \mathcal{D} , the ordinary deformation functor \mathcal{D}_0 , and their constant determinant counterparts \mathcal{D}' and \mathcal{D}'_0 . We will also give cohomological characterizations of their tangent spaces.

2.1. Deformation functors. Before proceeding further, let us describe the choice of a basis (v_1, v_2) of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^2$, which we will use to regard the projective image \overline{G} of ϱ_f inside $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$.

If \overline{G} is exotic, then we choose a basis (v_1, v_2) such that $\varrho_f(G_F) \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. If \overline{G} is a non-abelian dihedral group, recall first that there exists a unique quadratic extension K/F such that $\varrho_f \simeq \mathrm{Ind}_K^F \chi$ for some character χ of G_K . Fix a lift σ of the nontrivial element of $\mathrm{Gal}(K/F)$ in G_F and let χ^σ be the character $\chi(\sigma \circ (\cdot) \circ \sigma^{-1})$. We then choose (v_1, v_2) so that in this basis we have:

- i) $\varrho_f|_{G_K} = \chi \oplus \chi^\sigma$.
- ii) The projective image of $\varrho_f(\sigma)$ is $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$.

Note that these two conditions imply that under the basis (v_1, v_2) , we have $\varrho_f(G_F) \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. We fix this choice of basis throughout the article unless mentioned otherwise.

For each $\mathfrak{p}|p$, choose an embedding $\iota(\mathfrak{p}) : \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ such that the diagram

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F_{\mathfrak{p}} & \hookrightarrow & \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \iota(\mathfrak{p}) \\ F & \hookrightarrow & \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \end{array}$$

is commutative. Note that these embeddings might be different than the embedding ι_p fixed in the introduction. There exists a unique prime \mathfrak{p}_0 of F dividing p for which we can choose $\iota(\mathfrak{p}_0)$ to be ι_p and we make this choice. Let $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (resp. $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$) denote the decomposition (resp. inertia) group at \mathfrak{p} . The choice of the embedding $\iota(\mathfrak{p})$ provides an identification of $G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ with $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$ which is a subgroup of G_F . We will now use this identification for the rest of the article for restricting representations of G_F to $G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$.

Let \tilde{f} be a p -stabilization of f with finite slope and let x be the point on \mathcal{E} corresponding to it. Recall that, for any $\mathfrak{p}|p$ of \mathcal{O}_F , there exists a basis $(v_{1,\mathfrak{p}}, v_{2,\mathfrak{p}})$ of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^2$ such that $v_{i,\mathfrak{p}} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}v_1 \oplus \overline{\mathbb{Q}}v_2$ for $i = 1, 2$ and under this basis

$$(2) \quad \varrho_f|_{G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p(\psi'_{\mathfrak{p}}) \oplus \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p(\psi''_{\mathfrak{p}}),$$

where $\psi'_{\mathfrak{p}} : G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ is a character and $\psi''_{\mathfrak{p}} : G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ is an unramified character such that $\psi'_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq \psi''_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\psi''_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is the $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -eigenvalue of \tilde{f} . Note that the p -regularity of f implies that $\psi'_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq \psi''_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathfrak{C} denote the category of local artinian rings R with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_R and residue field $R/\mathfrak{m}_R \simeq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. Define functors $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}', \mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{D}'_0 : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sets}$ in the following manner. For $R \in \mathfrak{C}$, let:

- i) $\mathcal{D}(R)$ be the set of strict equivalence classes of representations $\varrho_R : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(R)$ such that $\varrho_R \equiv \varrho_f \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_R}$ and ϱ_R is nearly ordinary at p . Recall that we say that ϱ_R is nearly

ordinary at p if for every $\mathfrak{p}|p$ we have

$$\varrho_R|_{G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \psi'_{\mathfrak{p},R} & * \\ 0 & \psi''_{\mathfrak{p},R} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\psi''_{\mathfrak{p},R} : G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}} \rightarrow R^\times$ is a character such that $\psi''_{\mathfrak{p},R} \equiv \psi''_{\mathfrak{p}} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_R}$.

- ii) $\mathcal{D}'(R)$ be the subset of $\mathcal{D}(R)$ of strict equivalence classes of representations ϱ_R such that $\det(\varrho_R) = \det(\varrho_f)$.
- iii) $\mathcal{D}_0(R)$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}'_0(R)$) be the subset of $\mathcal{D}(R)$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}'(R)$) of strict equivalence classes of representations ϱ_R for which $\psi''_{\mathfrak{p},R}$ is unramified.

2.2. Tangent spaces. We will recall cohomological descriptions of the respective tangent spaces $t_{\mathcal{D}}$, $t_{\mathcal{D}'}$, $t_{\mathcal{D}_0}$, and $t_{\mathcal{D}'_0}$ of the functors of Definition 2.1.

Let $\text{ad } \varrho_f$ be the adjoint representation of ϱ_f , that is, if V is the module affording the representation ϱ_f , then $\text{ad } \varrho_f$ is the representation of G_F on $\text{End}(V)$ defined by $\text{ad } \varrho_f(g)(X) = \varrho_f(g)X\varrho_f(g)^{-1}$. Let $\text{ad}^0 \varrho_f$ be the subrepresentation of $\text{ad } \varrho_f$ on the subspace of $\text{End}(V)$ of trace zero endomorphisms.

Remark 2.1. Recall that if \overline{G} is exotic, then $\text{ad}^0 \varrho_f$ is irreducible. If \overline{G} is (nonabelian) dihedral, then $\text{ad}^0 \varrho_f = \epsilon_K \oplus \text{Ind}_K^F(\chi/\chi^\sigma)$, where ϵ_K is the nontrivial character corresponding to the quadratic extension K/F . Note that since we are assuming that \overline{G} is nonabelian, we have that $\text{Ind}_K^F(\chi/\chi^\sigma)$ is irreducible.

For every $\mathfrak{p}|p$, by (2) we have an isomorphism

$$(a_{\mathfrak{p}}, b_{\mathfrak{p}}, c_{\mathfrak{p}}, d_{\mathfrak{p}}) : \text{ad } \varrho_f|_{G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \simeq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \oplus \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p(\psi'_{\mathfrak{p}}/\psi''_{\mathfrak{p}}) \oplus \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p(\psi''_{\mathfrak{p}}/\psi'_{\mathfrak{p}}) \oplus \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p.$$

Consider the maps

$$(3) \quad c_{\mathfrak{p},*} : H^1(F, \text{ad } \varrho_f) \rightarrow H^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p(\psi''_{\mathfrak{p}}/\psi'_{\mathfrak{p}})), \quad d_{\mathfrak{p},*} : H^1(F, \text{ad } \varrho_f) \rightarrow H^1(I_{\mathfrak{p}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$$

obtained by composing the maps induced in cohomology by $c_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $d_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with the restriction maps from G_F to $G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ and $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$, respectively. The next lemma (see [BD16, Lem. 2.3], [Bet, Lem. 2.2] and [Deo18, Lem. 3]) is based on a standard argument in representation theory.

Lemma 2.2. *We have:*

- i) $t_{\mathcal{D}} = \ker \left(H^1(F, \text{ad } \varrho_f) \xrightarrow{\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} c_{\mathfrak{p},*}} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} H^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p(\psi''_{\mathfrak{p}}/\psi'_{\mathfrak{p}})) \right)$.
- ii) $t_{\mathcal{D}' } = \ker \left(H^1(F, \text{ad}^0 \varrho_f) \xrightarrow{\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} c_{\mathfrak{p},*}} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} H^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p(\psi''_{\mathfrak{p}}/\psi'_{\mathfrak{p}})) \right)$.
- iii) $t_{\mathcal{D}_0} = \ker \left(H^1(F, \text{ad } \varrho_f) \xrightarrow{\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} (c_{\mathfrak{p},*}, d_{\mathfrak{p},*})} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} H^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p(\psi''_{\mathfrak{p}}/\psi'_{\mathfrak{p}})) \oplus H^1(I_{\mathfrak{p}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \right)$.
- iv) $t_{\mathcal{D}'_0} = \ker \left(H^1(F, \text{ad}^0 \varrho_f) \xrightarrow{\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} (c_{\mathfrak{p},*}, d_{\mathfrak{p},*})} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} H^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p(\psi''_{\mathfrak{p}}/\psi'_{\mathfrak{p}})) \oplus H^1(I_{\mathfrak{p}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \right)$.

Let H be the normal closure over \mathbb{Q} of the subfield of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ fixed by the kernel of $\text{ad } \varrho_f$. Denote by \mathcal{O}_H the ring of integers of H and by G the Galois group $\text{Gal}(H/F)$. We aim now at a description of the tangent spaces of the above lemma involving H , which will be more amenable

for computation. For this, observe that the inflation-restriction exact sequence applied to the extension H/F yields the following isomorphism:

$$(4) \quad H^1(F, \text{ad } \varrho_f) \simeq (\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \otimes \text{ad } \varrho_f)^G,$$

where we view $\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \otimes \text{ad } \varrho_f$ as the space of matrices

$$(5) \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad a, b, c, d \in \text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p),$$

equipped with an action of G given by

$$(6) \quad g \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \varrho_f(g) \begin{pmatrix} g \cdot a & g \cdot b \\ g \cdot c & g \cdot d \end{pmatrix} \varrho_f(g)^{-1}.$$

We can view $\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \otimes \text{ad}^0 \varrho_f$ in a similar way.

Now let $\mathfrak{P} := \mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{p})$ be the prime of H rendering the following diagram

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} F_{\mathfrak{p}} & \hookrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{P}} & \hookrightarrow & \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \iota(\mathfrak{p}) \\ F & \hookrightarrow & H & \hookrightarrow & \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \end{array}$$

commutative. Using (7), regard $G_{H_{\mathfrak{P}}} \subseteq G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ as the decomposition subgroup $D_{\mathfrak{P}}$ of G_H at \mathfrak{P} . Let $I_{\mathfrak{P}} \subseteq D_{\mathfrak{P}}$ be the inertia subgroup at \mathfrak{P} . By using inflation-restriction exact sequence, we then get the following lemma (see [BD16, Lem. 2.5], [Bet, Cor. 2.4], and [Deo18, Lem. 3]).

Lemma 2.3. *We have:*

- i) $t_{\mathcal{D}} \simeq \ker \left((\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \otimes \text{ad } \varrho_f)^G \xrightarrow{\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} c_{\mathfrak{p},*}} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} H^1(H_{\mathfrak{P}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \right).$
- ii) $t_{\mathcal{D}'} \simeq \ker \left((\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \otimes \text{ad}^0 \varrho_f)^G \xrightarrow{\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} c_{\mathfrak{p},*}} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} H^1(H_{\mathfrak{P}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \right).$
- iii) $t_{\mathcal{D}_0} \simeq \ker \left((\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \otimes \text{ad } \varrho_f)^G \xrightarrow{\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} (c_{\mathfrak{p},*}, d_{\mathfrak{p},*})} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} H^1(H_{\mathfrak{P}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \oplus H^1(I_{\mathfrak{P}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \right).$
- iv) $t_{\mathcal{D}'_0} \simeq \ker \left((\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \otimes \text{ad}^0 \varrho_f)^G \xrightarrow{\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} (c_{\mathfrak{p},*}, d_{\mathfrak{p},*})} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} H^1(H_{\mathfrak{P}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \oplus H^1(I_{\mathfrak{P}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \right).$

Note that, we have the exact sequence of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p[G]$ -modules

$$(8) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}((\mathcal{O}_H \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_H^\times, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p),$$

coming from global class field theory. The first map is dual to the Artin reciprocity map, and the second is the restriction with respect to the diagonal inclusion $\mathcal{O}_H^\times \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_H \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times$. We will consider now the inclusion

$$(9) \quad \text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \subseteq \text{Hom}((\mathcal{O}_H \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$$

coming from the first part of (8) (we will exploit the second part of (8) in the next section). Let $h_1, \dots, h_d : H \rightarrow H$ be lifts of the d different embeddings of F into H satisfying that the diagram

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_{\mathfrak{P}} & \xrightarrow{h_{\mathfrak{P}}} & \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \iota_p \\ H & \xrightarrow{h_i} & H \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \end{array}$$

is commutative for some prime \mathfrak{P} of H which is one of the primes chosen in diagram (7) and some $h_{\mathfrak{P}}$. As we have chosen $\iota(\mathfrak{p})$ to be ι_p for a suitable prime \mathfrak{p} in diagram (1), it follows that one of the h_i 's can be chosen to be the identity. We make this choice and assume without loss of generality that h_1 is identity.

Remark 2.2. When we want to emphasize that one of the primes $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{p})$ chosen above \mathfrak{p} is relative to the embedding h_i , we will sometimes write $\mathfrak{P}(h_i)$.

Let $\log_p : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ be the standard p -adic logarithm sending p to 0. Note that $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^d \iota_p \circ h_i G$ is a partition of the set of embeddings of H into $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, and that

$$(L_{i,g})_{i=1, \dots, d, g \in G}, \quad \text{where } L_{i,g} := \log_p(\iota_p \circ h_i \circ g^{-1}(\cdot)),$$

is a basis of $\text{Hom}((\mathcal{O}_H \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$. In this basis, the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p[G]$ -module structure of the space $\text{Hom}((\mathcal{O}_H \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ admits a particularly easy description. This is done in [Bet, (7)], [Deo18, p. 11], and [BD16, (4)], which we gather in the next lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *We have an isomorphism of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p[G]$ -modules:*

$$\text{Hom}((\mathcal{O}_H \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p[G] \simeq \bigoplus_{\pi} \pi^{d \dim \pi},$$

given by sending a homomorphism of the form

$$t: u \otimes 1 \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{g \in G} c_{i,g} L_{i,g}(u),$$

for some $c_{i,g} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, to the element $\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{g \in G} c_{i,g} g$.

Using the discussion so far, we obtain the following consequence of Lemma 2.3.

Corollary 2.5. *There exist matrices $M_1, \dots, M_d \in \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that*

i) Any $t \in t_{\mathcal{D}'}$ can be written in the form

$$t = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{g \in G} \varrho_f(g) M_i \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ 0 & -a_i \end{pmatrix} M_i^{-1} \varrho_f(g)^{-1} L_{i,g},$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_d, b_1, \dots, b_d \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.

ii) Any $t \in t_{\mathcal{D}'_0}$ can be written in the form

$$t = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{g \in G} \varrho_f(g) M_i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} M_i^{-1} \varrho_f(g)^{-1} L_{i,g},$$

where $b_1, \dots, b_d \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

Proof. From the inclusion $\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \subseteq \text{Hom}((\mathcal{O}_H \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$, we see that for $t \in t_{\mathcal{D}'}$ or $t \in t_{\mathcal{D}'_0}$, there exist $a_{i,g}, b_{i,g}, c_{i,g} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that

$$t = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{g \in G} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i,g} & b_{i,g} \\ c_{i,g} & -a_{i,g} \end{pmatrix} L_{i,g}.$$

From Lemma 2.4 and the exact sequence (8) of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}[G]$ modules, it follows that the invariance by G means that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{i,g} & b_{i,g} \\ c_{i,g} & -a_{i,g} \end{pmatrix} = \varrho_f(g) \begin{pmatrix} a_{i,1} & b_{i,1} \\ c_{i,1} & -a_{i,1} \end{pmatrix} \varrho_f(g)^{-1}.$$

For every $i = 1, \dots, d$, let $M_i \in \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ be the matrix giving the change between the basis (v_1, v_2) and $(v_{1, \mathfrak{p}_i}, v_{2, \mathfrak{p}_i})$ fixed in §2.1. Here, \mathfrak{p}_i is the prime of \mathcal{O}_F lying below the prime $\mathfrak{P}(h_i)$ attached to h_i in Remark 2.2. By Lemma 2.3 and global class field theory, we have that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{i,1} & b_{i,1} \\ c_{i,1} & -a_{i,1} \end{pmatrix} = M_i \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ 0 & -a_i \end{pmatrix} M_i^{-1} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_{i,1} & b_{i,1} \\ c_{i,1} & d_{i,1} \end{pmatrix} = M_i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} M_i^{-1}$$

for $a_i, b_i \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, depending on whether $t \in t_{\mathcal{D}'}$ or $t \in t_{\mathcal{D}'_0}$, respectively (see [Deo18, Proof of Proposition 2] for more details). \blacksquare

Remark 2.3. As explained in [Deo18, p. 3898–3900], in case that \overline{G} is dihedral, under the basis (v_1, v_2) that we have fixed, we get an isomorphism

$$(11) \quad (\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \otimes \text{ad}^0 \varrho_f)^G \simeq (\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \otimes \epsilon_K)^G \oplus \left(\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \otimes \text{Ind}_K^F(\chi/\chi^\sigma) \right)^G$$

which is given by

$$t = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix} \mapsto (t^\#, t^b) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & -a \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Note also that if

$$t = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{g \in G} \varrho_f(g) \begin{pmatrix} a_{i,1} & b_{i,1} \\ c_{i,1} & -a_{i,1} \end{pmatrix} \varrho_f(g)^{-1} L_{i,g},$$

then

$$t^\# = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{g \in G} \varrho_f(g) \begin{pmatrix} a_{i,1} & 0 \\ 0 & -a_{i,1} \end{pmatrix} \varrho_f(g)^{-1} L_{i,g}, \quad t^b = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{g \in G} \varrho_f(g) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_{i,1} \\ c_{i,1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \varrho_f(g)^{-1} L_{i,g}.$$

2.3. The module $\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$. The goal of this subsection is to describe the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p[G]$ -module structure of $\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$.

Let $h_1, \dots, h_d \in \text{Gal}(H/\mathbb{Q})$ be as in §2.2. Recall that, we have assumed, without loss of generality, that h_1 is the identity. Fix an embedding $\iota: \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. For this choice of an embedding of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ into \mathbb{C} , let $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d \in \text{Gal}(H/F)$ be the complex conjugations associated to h_1, \dots, h_d . For $i = 1, \dots, d$ and a finite dimensional representation π of G , let $\pi^{\{i, -\}}$ (resp. $\pi^{\{i, +\}}$) denote the subspace of π on which τ_i acts by -1 (resp. $+1$).

Remark 2.4. Note that if \overline{G} is exotic, then for every $1 \leq i \leq d$ we have

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \text{ad}^0 \rho_f^{\{i, +\}} = 1, \quad \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \text{ad}^0 \rho_f^{\{i, -\}} = 2.$$

If we are in the CM case (that is, \overline{G} is dihedral and K/F is totally complex), then none of the τ_i belongs to G_K . Thus we have

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \text{Ind}_K^F(\chi/\chi^\sigma)^{\{i, +\}} = 1, \quad \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \text{Ind}_K^F(\chi/\chi^\sigma)^{\{i, -\}} = 1, \quad \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \epsilon_K^{\{i, -\}} = 1.$$

Proposition 2.6. *We have an isomorphism of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p[G]$ -modules:*

$$\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \simeq \bigoplus_{\pi} \pi^{m_{\pi}},$$

where π runs over the irreducible representations of G , and

$$m_1 \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad m_{\pi} \geq \sum_{i=1}^d \dim \pi^{\{i, -\}} \text{ if } \pi \neq 1.$$

The above proposition will follow immediately from the exact sequence (8) coming from class field theory, Lemma 2.4 and the following lemma. This lemma is a consequence of Minkowski's proof of Dirichlet's unit theorem (see [BD16, (7)], [Bet, p.13], and [Deo18, p.12]), and describes the rightmost term of (8).

Lemma 2.7. *We have an isomorphism of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p[G]$ -modules:*

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_H^{\times}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \left(\text{Ind}_{\{1, \tau_i\}}^G 1 \right) \setminus 1 \simeq \bigoplus_{\pi \neq 1} \pi^{n_{\pi}} \oplus 1^{d-1},$$

where $n_{\pi} = \sum_{i=1}^d \dim \pi^{\{i, +\}}$.

3. p -ADIC TRANSCENDENCE CONJECTURES

In order to prove Theorems A and B, we need to assume the p -adic Schanuel conjecture. In this section, we recall this conjecture and compare it with other related results. As in the previous section, let $\log_p: \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\times} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ be the standard p -adic logarithm sending p to 0.

Conjecture 3.1 (p -adic Schanuel). *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$ be nonzero algebraic numbers. If $\log_p(\iota_p \alpha_1), \dots, \log_p(\iota_p \alpha_n)$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , then $\mathbb{Q}(\log_p(\iota_p \alpha_1), \dots, \log_p(\iota_p \alpha_n))$ has transcendence degree n over \mathbb{Q} .*

As shown in [Nel], the p -adic Schanuel conjecture may be seen a strengthening of Leopoldt's conjecture.

Conjecture 3.2 (Leopoldt). *Let M be a number field and let \mathcal{O}_M^\times be the group of its algebraic units. For every prime P of M dividing p , denote by \mathcal{O}_P^\times and $\mathcal{O}_{P,1}^\times$ the corresponding groups of local units and principal local units, respectively. Let $D : \mathcal{O}_M^\times \rightarrow \prod_{P|p} \mathcal{O}_P^\times$ be the diagonal embedding, and let U be the subgroup of \mathcal{O}_M^\times given by the inverse image $D^{-1}(\prod_{P|p} \mathcal{O}_{P,1}^\times)$ of the principal local units. Then, the \mathbb{Z} -rank of \mathcal{O}_M^\times is same as the \mathbb{Z}_p -rank of the topological closure of $D(U)$ in $\prod_{P|p} \mathcal{O}_{P,1}^\times$.*

Remark 3.1. Note that if the Leopoldt's Conjecture is true then the last arrow of (8) is surjective, and thus in Proposition 2.6, we have that $m_\pi = \sum_{i=1}^d \dim \pi^{\{i, -\}}$.

We will also use the well-known Baker–Brumer Theorem.

Theorem 3.3 (Baker–Brumer). *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ be nonzero algebraic numbers. If the p -adic logarithms $\log_p(\iota_p \alpha_1), \dots, \log_p(\iota_p \alpha_n)$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , then they are linearly independent over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

4. DIMENSION OF $t_{\mathcal{D}'_0}$

The goal of this section is to prove that $t_{\mathcal{D}'_0}$ is trivial under the hypotheses of Theorem A, which we assume throughout. Recall from §2.2 that $h_1, \dots, h_d \in \text{Gal}(H/\mathbb{Q})$ are certain fixed lifts of the d embeddings of F into H , and that $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d \in \text{Gal}(H/F)$ are the complex conjugations associated to h_1, \dots, h_d .

Note that as ϱ_f is a totally odd representation, H/\mathbb{Q} is a totally complex extension. Therefore $\text{rk}(\mathcal{O}_H^\times) = [H : \mathbb{Q}]/2 - 1$. The following result can be easily deduced from [Nar04, Thm. 3.26]) and its proof, and may be regarded as a refinement of Lemma 2.7. We will use it to obtain a nontorsion \mathbb{Z} -submodule of \mathcal{O}_H^\times of maximal rank which will be crucial for our purposes.

Lemma 4.1. *There exists $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_H^\times$ such that $\tau_1(\eta) = \eta$ and such that, if S denotes a set of representatives of left cosets of $\{1, \tau_1\}$ in $\text{Gal}(H/\mathbb{Q})$, then*

$$(12) \quad \prod_{\bar{g} \in S} \bar{g}\eta = 1.$$

is the only nonzero algebraic relation involving elements of $\{\bar{g}\eta\}_{\bar{g} \in S}$.

Consider the \mathbb{Z} -module

$$V_i = \left\{ \prod_{\bar{g} \in S} (h_i^{-1} \bar{g}\eta)^{a_{\bar{g}}} \mid \sum_{\bar{g} \in S} a_{\bar{g}} = 0 \right\}.$$

Lemma 4.2. *V_i is stable under the action of G .*

Proof. Note that the assumption that F/\mathbb{Q} is Galois implies that G is normal in $\text{Gal}(H/\mathbb{Q})$. Therefore for every $g \in G$, we have

$$gV_i = \left\{ \prod_{\bar{g} \in S} (h_i^{-1} g' \bar{g} \eta)^{a_{\bar{g}}} \mid \sum_{\bar{g} \in S} a_{\bar{g}} = 0 \right\}.$$

where $g' \in G$ is such that $gh_i^{-1} = h_i^{-1}g'$. As $\tau_1\eta = \eta$, we see that given a $\bar{g} \in S$, there exists a $\bar{g}'' \in S$ such that $g'\bar{g}\eta = \bar{g}''\eta$. This proves the lemma. \blacksquare

Note that if we give a new action of G on $\text{Ind}_{\{1, \tau_1\}}^G 1$ by letting $g \in G$ act by $h_i g h_i^{-1}$, then the resulting representation is isomorphic to $\text{Ind}_{\{1, h_i^{-1} \tau_1 h_i\}}^G 1$. Therefore, it follows, from the proof above and the fact $h_i^{-1} \tau_1 h_i = \tau_i$ for every $1 \leq i \leq d$, that we have the following isomorphism:

$$(13) \quad V_i \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \left(\text{Ind}_{\{1, \tau_i\}}^G 1 \right) \setminus 1 \simeq \bigoplus_{\pi} \pi^{\dim \pi^{i,+}} \setminus 1.$$

It is also plain from the definition of V_i that if $h_i h_j^{-1} = h_k^{-1} g$ for some $g \in G$, then

$$(14) \quad h_i(V_j) = V_k.$$

Note that, given h_i and h_j , there exists a unique h_k satisfying the condition $h_i h_j^{-1} = h_k^{-1} g$ for some $g \in G$.

Choose any element \bar{g}_0 in S . Let \hat{S} be $S \setminus \{\bar{g}_0\}$, so that it has cardinality $|S| - 1 = [H : \mathbb{Q}]/(2d) - 1$. For $\bar{g} \in \hat{S}$, write

$$\eta_{i, \bar{g}} := \frac{h_i^{-1} \bar{g} \eta}{h_i^{-1} \bar{g}_0 \eta}.$$

We will later require the following result, whose proof is immediate from Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.3. *We have that:*

- i) $(\eta_{i, \bar{g}})_{\bar{g} \in \hat{S}}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis for V_i .
- ii) Even more, $(\eta_{i, \bar{g}})_{i=1, \dots, d, \bar{g} \in \hat{S}}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis for $\bigoplus_{i=1}^d V_i$.

In particular, if $i \neq j$, then $V_i \cap V_j = \{0\}$.

For $i = 1, \dots, d$, let $W_i \simeq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p[G]$ denote the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p[G]$ -submodule of $\text{Hom}((\mathcal{O}_H \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ obtained as the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -linear span of $(L_{i, g})_{g \in G}$. Denote by K_i the kernel of the map

$$W_i \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V_i, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p),$$

which is obtained by restriction with respect to the diagonal inclusion $V_i \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_H \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times$.

Similarly, let $W_{i, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \simeq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[G]$ denote the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}[G]$ -submodule of W_i obtained as the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -linear span of $(L_{i, g})_{g \in G}$ and let $K_{i, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ denote the kernel of $W_{i, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V_i, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ obtained again by restriction. There is no reason to expect that $K_i \simeq K_{i, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, and in fact we have the following result.

Lemma 4.4. *For $i = 1, \dots, d$, we have that*

$$K_{i, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \simeq \bigoplus_{\pi=1 \text{ or } \pi^{i,+}=0} \pi^{\dim \pi}.$$

Proof. The proof is an adaptation of that of [BD16, Thm. 3.5]. As explained in loc. cit., we have a biequivariant structural morphism

$$(15) \quad W_{i, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \simeq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[G] \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V_i \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, V_i \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

By the Baker-Brumer theorem (see Theorem 3.3), the map

$$V_i \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p, \quad u \otimes v \mapsto \log_p(\iota_p(h_i(u))) \otimes \iota_p(v)$$

is injective. Therefore $K_{i, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ coincides with the kernel of (15). But it is a standard fact in the theory of representations of finite groups that, for any right $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}[G]$ -module V , the left $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}[G]$ -module $\ker(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}[G] \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(V, V))$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{\pi} \pi^{\dim \pi}$, where the sum runs over the π that do not appear in V . To conclude the proof of the lemma use (13). \blacksquare

Recall that by Corollary 2.5, any element $t \in t_{\mathcal{D}'_0}$ can be written in the form

$$t = \sum_{i=1}^d b_i \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_i & \beta_i \\ \gamma_i & -\alpha_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with } \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_i & \beta_i \\ \gamma_i & -\alpha_i \end{pmatrix} := \sum_{g \in G} \varrho_f(g) M_i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} M_i^{-1} \varrho_f(g)^{-1} L_{i,g},$$

where $b_1, \dots, b_d \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ and $M_1, \dots, M_d \in \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$.

Proposition 4.5. *For $i = 1, \dots, d$, there exists a unit $u_i \in V_i$ such that $\beta_i(u_i) \neq 0$.*

Proof. The argument to prove the proposition varies slightly depending on whether we are in the exotic or the CM case. We first claim that $\beta_i \in W_{i, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is nontrivial. Suppose that we are in the exotic case. If β_i was trivial, then the nontrivial $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}[G]$ -submodule of $\text{ad}^0(\varrho_f)$ spanned by

$$(16) \quad M_i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} M_i^{-1}$$

would be of dimension at most 2. But this is a contradiction with the fact that $\text{ad}^0(\varrho_f)$ is irreducible of dimension 3. Now suppose we are in the dihedral case. In this case, if β_i is trivial, then the shape of the $\varrho_f(g)$, for $g \in G_F$, under the basis (v_1, v_2) chosen implies that the element (16) is diagonal (note that, in this basis, conjugation by $\varrho_f(\sigma)$ swaps the antidiagonal entries). But this is clearly not true which implies that $\beta_i \neq 0$ in this case as well.

Set $T := \overline{\mathbb{Q}}\alpha_i + \overline{\mathbb{Q}}\beta_i + \overline{\mathbb{Q}}\gamma_i$ (resp. $T := \overline{\mathbb{Q}}\beta_i + \overline{\mathbb{Q}}\gamma_i$). We claim that

$$(17) \quad T = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[G]\beta_i \simeq \text{ad}^0 \varrho_f \quad (\text{resp. } T = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[G]\beta_i \simeq \text{Ind}(\chi/\chi^\sigma)).$$

Indeed, recall that for any $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}[G]$ -modules V and W , we have an isomorphism

$$\phi : (W \otimes V^*)^G \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}[G]}(V, W)$$

given by sending an element $w \otimes f$, with $f \in V^*$ and $w \in W$, to the homomorphism $\phi(f \otimes w)$, defined by $\phi(w \otimes f)(v) = f(v)w$ for every $v \in V$. From this and using the selfduality of $\text{ad}^0 \varrho_f$ (resp. $\text{Ind}_K^F(\chi/\chi^\sigma)$), it becomes apparent that T is a subrepresentation of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}[G] \simeq W_{i, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ and, in fact, that T is isomorphic to a subrepresentation of $\text{ad}^0 \varrho_f$ (resp. $\text{Ind}_K^F(\chi/\chi^\sigma)$). Since T contains the nontrivial representation $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}[G]\beta_i$, the representation T is itself nontrivial, and then (17) follows from the fact that $\text{ad}^0 \varrho_f$ (resp. $\text{Ind}_K^F(\chi/\chi^\sigma)$) is irreducible.

Running the same argument for α_i or γ_i (resp. γ_i), allows one to show that

$$(18) \quad T = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[G]\beta_i = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[G]\alpha_i = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[G]\gamma_i \simeq \text{ad}^0 \varrho_f \quad (\text{resp. } T = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[G]\beta_i = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[G]\gamma_i \simeq \text{Ind}(\chi/\chi^\sigma)).$$

Suppose now that for every $u \in V_i$ we have that $\beta_i(u) = 0$, that is, that $\beta_i \in K_{i,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$. It is immediate from (18) that then also $\beta_i, \gamma_i \in K_{i,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ (resp. $\gamma_i \in K_{i,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$). Therefore we have a nontrivial element

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_i & \beta_i \\ \gamma_i & -\alpha_i \end{pmatrix} \in \left(K_{i,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \otimes \text{ad}^0 \varrho_f \right)^G \quad \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta_i \\ \gamma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \left(K_{i,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \otimes \text{Ind}_K^F(\chi/\chi^\sigma) \right)^G \right).$$

But Lemma 4.4, Remark 2.4, and Schur's lemma imply that

$$\left(K_{i,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \otimes \text{ad}^0 \varrho_f \right)^G = 0 \quad \left(\text{resp. } \left(K_{i,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \otimes \text{Ind}_K^F(\chi/\chi^\sigma) \right)^G = 0 \right),$$

which is a contradiction. ■

Note that there are $\beta_{i,g} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that

$$\beta_i = \sum_{g \in G} \beta_{i,g} L_{i,g} \in W_{i,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}.$$

Let $u_j \in V_j$ be as in Proposition 4.5 and define the matrix

$$X := (x_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} := (\beta_i(u_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} = \left(\sum_{g \in G} \beta_{i,g} L_{i,g}(u_j) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}.$$

Recall that, by the exact sequence (8), we have that $t \in t_{\mathcal{D}'_0}$ implies that

$$B \cdot X = (0, \dots, 0),$$

where $B = (b_1, \dots, b_d)$. To prove that $t_{\mathcal{D}'_0} = 0$, we are thus reduced to show the next proposition.

Proposition 4.6. *Suppose that the p -adic Schanuel conjecture is true. Then, the determinant of X does not vanish.*

Proof. Note first that, as h_1 is the identity, by (14) and part *i*) of Lemma 4.3 the diagonal terms of X can be written in the form

$$(19) \quad x_{ii} = \sum_{\bar{g} \in \hat{S}} \beta_{i,\bar{g}} \log_p(t_p(\eta_{1,\bar{g}})),$$

for some $\beta_{i,\bar{g}} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Similarly, if $i \neq j$, we have that

$$(20) \quad x_{ij} = \sum_{\bar{g} \in \hat{S}} \beta_{i,j,\bar{g}} \log_p(t_p(\eta_{l,\bar{g}})),$$

where $\beta_{i,j,\bar{g}} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $h_i h_j^{-1} \in h_l^{-1} G$ with $h_l \neq h_1$. Note that Proposition 4.5 ensures that the product of diagonal terms $\prod_{i=1}^d x_{ii}$ does not does not vanish. Therefore, by equations (19) and

(20), we have that $\det(X)$ is the sum of a *nonvanishing* $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -linear combination of terms of the form

$$\prod_{\bar{g} \in \hat{S}} \log_p(\iota_p(\eta_{1,\bar{g}}))^{n_{\bar{g}}}, \quad \text{for } n_{\bar{g}} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } \sum_{\bar{g} \in \hat{S}} n_{\bar{g}} = d,$$

and a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -linear combination of terms of the form

$$\prod_{l=1}^d \prod_{\bar{g} \in \hat{S}} \log_p(\iota_p(\eta_{l,\bar{g}}))^{n_{l,\bar{g}}},$$

for $n_{l,\bar{g}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\sum_{l=1}^d \sum_{\bar{g} \in \hat{S}} n_{l,\bar{g}} = d$ and $n_{l,\bar{g}} \neq 0$ for some $l \neq 1$ and some $\bar{g} \in \hat{S}$. So, the first linear combination is a homogenous $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -polynomial in $\{\log_p(\eta_{1,\bar{g}})\}_{\bar{g} \in \hat{S}}$ of degree d while the sum of their powers occurring in each term of the second linear combination is strictly less than d . Thus $\det(X)$ is a *nonzero* polynomial in $\{\log_p(\iota_p(\eta_{l,\bar{g}}))\}_{1 \leq l \leq d, \bar{g} \in \hat{S}}$ with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. From part *ii*) of Lemma 4.3, we know that $\{\eta_{l,\bar{g}}\}_{1 \leq l \leq d, \bar{g} \in \hat{S}}$ is a set of algebraic units which are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} . So if the p -adic Schanuel conjecture is true, then we get that $\{\log_p(\iota_p(\eta_{l,\bar{g}}))\}_{1 \leq l \leq d, \bar{g} \in \hat{S}}$ is a set of elements of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ which are algebraically independent over \mathbb{Q} . Therefore, we can now conclude that $\det(X)$ is nonzero if the p -adic Schanuel conjecture is true. \blacksquare

5. DIMENSION OF $t_{\mathcal{D}'}$

The goal of this section is to prove that $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}} t_{\mathcal{D}'} \leq d$ under the hypotheses of Theorem B, which we assume throughout. In particular, we have a decomposition $\text{ad}^0 \varrho_f = \epsilon_K \oplus \text{Ind}_K^F(\chi/\chi^\sigma)$. Recall that, we have chosen a lift σ of the nontrivial element of $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ in G_F . By abuse of notation, we will also denote its image in $\text{Gal}(H/\mathbb{Q})$ by σ . Let C denote $\text{Gal}(H/K)$, and note that $\sigma \in G \setminus C$.

We now define a partition $\{1, \dots, d\} = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup I_3$ in the following manner. Recall the notation $\mathfrak{P}(h_i)$ from Remark 2.2. We set $i \in I_1$ if the prime \mathfrak{p} of F lying below $\mathfrak{P}(h_i)$ is split in K and such that $\chi^\sigma|_{G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}} = \psi_{\mathfrak{p}}''$ and we set $i \in I_2$ if the prime \mathfrak{p} of F lying below $\mathfrak{P}(h_i)$ is split in K and such that $\chi|_{G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}} = \psi_{\mathfrak{p}}''$, where $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}''$ is as in (2). Finally, we define I_3 to be the subset of indices i for which the prime \mathfrak{p} of F lying below $\mathfrak{P}(h_i)$ is inert or ramified in K . Given homogeneous linear polynomials $\mathcal{H}_i(X, Y) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}[X, Y]$ for every $i \in I_3$, we define the space

$$(21) \quad W \subseteq (\text{Hom}((\mathcal{O}_H \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \otimes \text{ad}^0 \varrho_f)^G$$

made of elements of the form

$$t = \sum_{i \in I_1, g \in G} \varrho_f(g) A_i \varrho_f(g)^{-1} L_{i,g} + \sum_{i \in I_2, g \in G} \varrho_f(g) B_i \varrho_f(g)^{-1} L_{i,g} + \sum_{i \in I_3, g \in G} \varrho_f(g) C_i \varrho_f(g)^{-1} L_{i,g},$$

where

$$(22) \quad A_i := \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ 0 & -a_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_i := \begin{pmatrix} a_i & 0 \\ b_i & -a_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_i := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_i(b_i, a_i) & b_i \\ a_i & -\mathcal{H}_i(b_i, a_i) \end{pmatrix}$$

and $a_i, b_i \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. We will write

$$(23) \quad V := W \cap \left(\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \otimes \text{ad}^0 \varrho_f \right)^G.$$

We now show that the description given in Corollary 2.5 of the space $t_{\mathcal{D}'}$ can be refined in the present dihedral case.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $M_1, \dots, M_d \in \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ be the matrices chosen in Corollary 2.5. Then:*

- i) *For each $i \in I_3$, there exists a unique homogeneous linear polynomial $\mathcal{H}_i(X, Y) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}[X, Y]$ such that for every $a, b \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, there exist $x, y \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_i(x, y) & x \\ y & -\mathcal{H}_i(x, y) \end{pmatrix} = M_i \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} M_i^{-1}.$$

- ii) *If we let W and V be the spaces defined in (21) and (23), relative to this choice of the $\mathcal{H}_i(x, y)$, then we have that $t_{\mathcal{D}'} \subseteq V$.*

Proof. Note that the existence and the uniqueness of the \mathcal{H}_i 's follow from the specific shape of the matrices M_i given in [Deo18, (5.3)]. The inclusion $t_{\mathcal{D}'} \subseteq V$ follows directly from [Deo18, (5.3)]. \blacksquare

We will accomplish the goal of this section by showing that $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}} V = d$. We begin by treating the simplest case in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *If $I_1 = I_2 = \emptyset$ and the Leopoldt's conjecture is true for H , then $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}} V = d$.*

Proof. As $I_1 = I_2 = \emptyset$, it follows that if $t \in W$, then

$$t = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{g \in G} \varrho_f(g) C_i \varrho_f(g)^{-1} L_{i,g},$$

where C_i is as in (22) and the $\mathcal{H}_i(X, Y)$'s are the polynomials found in Lemma 5.1. By Remark 2.4 and Lemma 2.7, taking the ϵ_K -isotypical component of each term of the exact sequence (8) tensored with $\text{ad}^0 \varrho_f$ yields an isomorphism

$$(24) \quad \left(\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \otimes \epsilon_K \right)^G \simeq \left(\text{Hom}((\mathcal{O}_H \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \otimes \epsilon_K \right)^G.$$

Therefore, $t \in V$ if and only if $t^\flat \in \left(\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \otimes \text{Ind}_K^F(\chi/\chi^\sigma) \right)^G$, where t^\flat is the antidiagonal component of t as defined in Remark 2.3. If the Leopoldt's conjecture is true for H , then it follows, from Remark 2.4 and Remark 3.1, that $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \left(\left(\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \otimes \text{Ind}_K^F(\chi/\chi^\sigma) \right)^G \right) = d$. Note that the anti-diagonal entries of C_i determine its diagonal entries uniquely (see Lemma 5.1). Hence, it follows that if $I_1 = I_2 = \emptyset$ and the Leopoldt's conjecture is true for H , then $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}} V = d$. \blacksquare

Now for the rest of this section, assume that $I_1 \cup I_2 \neq \emptyset$ and that the p -adic Schanuel conjecture is true. Let V_1 be the subspace of elements of V for which the matrices A_i, B_i , and C_i are diagonal.

Proposition 5.3. *We have that $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}} V_1 = |I_1| + |I_2|$. Moreover, $(t_j)_{j \in I_1 \cup I_2}$, where*

$$t_j = \sum_{c \in C} \varrho_f(c) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \varrho_f(c)^{-1} L_{j,c} \in \left(\text{Hom}((\mathcal{O}_H \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \otimes \text{ad}^0 \varrho_f \right)^G$$

constitute a basis for V_1 .

Proof. Note that $t_j \in W$. Recall that we have fixed a basis (v_1, v_2) of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^2$ such that $\varrho_f(c)$ is diagonal for every $c \in C$. This implies that $t_j = t_j^\sharp$, where t_j^\sharp is the diagonal component of t_j as defined in Remark 2.3. Therefore, $t_j \in (\text{Hom}((\mathcal{O}_H \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \otimes \epsilon_K)^G$, and the isomorphism (24), seen in the proof of Lemma 5.2, then shows that $t_j \in (\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \otimes \epsilon_K)^G \subseteq (\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \otimes \text{ad}^0 \varrho_f)^G$. We conclude that $t_j \in V_1$.

Provided that the t_j are linearly independent, it only remains to show that $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p} V_1 \leq |I_1| + |I_2|$. Let W_1 be the subspace of W made of elements for which the matrices A_i , B_i , and C_i are diagonal. If a matrix C_i is diagonal, then it must be the zero matrix. Hence, we have that W_1 has dimension $|I_1| + |I_2|$. Now the fact that V_1 is contained in W_1 concludes the proof. \blacksquare

Our goal now is to define a subspace $V_2 \subseteq V$ such that $V_1 \oplus V_2 = V$ and such that $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p} V_2 = |I_3|$. To this aim, we will require the next lemma. Let us introduce the following notation. For $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, define

$$\delta(i) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in I_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We use the notation $\text{Res}_K^F \mathcal{O}_H^\times \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ in the following lemma to indicate that we are viewing $\mathcal{O}_H^\times \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ as a C -representation.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $\mathcal{O}_H^\times[\chi/\chi^\sigma]$ denote the χ/χ^σ -isotypical component of $\text{Res}_K^F \mathcal{O}_H^\times \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then:*

- i) $\mathcal{O}_H^\times[\chi/\chi^\sigma]$ has dimension d .
- ii) Let $u_1, \dots, u_d \in \mathcal{O}_H^\times$ be such that

$$\left(\sum_{c \in C} (\chi/\chi^\sigma)(c) \otimes c^{-1}(u_k) \right)_{1 \leq k \leq d}$$

is a basis for $\mathcal{O}_H^\times[\chi/\chi^\sigma]$. Then, the matrix

$$A := \left(\sum_{c \in C} (\chi/\chi^\sigma)(c) L_{i, c \circ \sigma^{\delta(i)}}(u_k) \right)_{1 \leq k, i \leq d}$$

is invertible.

Proof. We have that

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \text{Hom}_C(\text{Res}_K^F \mathcal{O}_H^\times \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \chi/\chi^\sigma) = \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \text{Hom}_G(\mathcal{O}_H^\times \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \text{Ind}_K^F \chi/\chi^\sigma) = d,$$

where the first equality is Frobenius reciprocity and the second follows from Remark 2.4 and Lemma 2.7. This proves the first part of the lemma.

The fact that A is invertible is a consequence of the p -adic Schanuel Conjecture, and its proof has appeared in [Deo18]. We will give a sketch of the argument here and refer the reader to [Deo18, §8, p. 3905], for further details. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ be a \mathbb{Z} -basis of the subspace of \mathcal{O}_H^\times spanned by the set of units $\{h_i(\sigma^{-\delta(i)} c^{-1}(u_j))\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq d, c \in C}$. Therefore, $\det(A)$ is a polynomial in $(\log_p(\iota_p(\alpha_k)))_{1 \leq k \leq m}$ with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Call this polynomial \mathcal{G} .

Suppose $\det(A) = 0$. Then, by the p -adic Schanuel conjecture, it follows that $\mathcal{G} = 0$. On the complex side, if we consider

$$A' := \left(\sum_{c \in C} (\chi/\chi^\sigma)(c) \log |\iota(h_i(\sigma^{-\delta(i)} c^{-1}(u_k)))| \right)_{1 \leq k, i \leq d},$$

then $\det(A') = \mathcal{G}((\log |\iota(\alpha_k)|)_{1 \leq k \leq m})$. As a consequence, we see that $\det(A') = 0$. However, as K is totally complex, we see that, for every $1 \leq k \leq d$, there exists a $c_k \in C$ such that $\tau_k = \sigma^{-1} c_k$. Hence, it follows that if $\delta(i) = 1$, then

$$\sum_{c \in C} (\chi/\chi^\sigma)(c) \log |\iota(h_i(\sigma^{-\delta(i)} c^{-1}(u_k)))| = \chi/\chi^\sigma(c_k)^{-1} \left(\sum_{c \in C} (\chi/\chi^\sigma)(c) \log |\iota(h_i(c^{-1}(u_k)))| \right).$$

So, if $A'' := (\sum_{c \in C} (\chi/\chi^\sigma)(c) \log |\iota(h_i(c^{-1}(u_k)))|)_{1 \leq k, i \leq d}$, then $\det(A') = 0$ implies that $\det(A'') = 0$. However, as K is CM, it follows that the set of elements of C can be chosen as the set of representatives of the left cosets of $\{1, \tau_i\}$ in G for every $1 \leq i \leq d$. Therefore, it follows from the choice of u_1, \dots, u_d and Minkowski's proof of Dirichlet's unit theorem that $\det(A'') \neq 0$ giving us a contradiction. This proves that $\det(A) \neq 0$. \blacksquare

Lemma 5.5. *Let $\theta \in \{0, 1\}$ and fix $j \in I_3$. The following are equivalent:*

i) *The vector $(b_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq d} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^d$ satisfies*

$$(25) \quad A \begin{pmatrix} b_{1,j} \\ \vdots \\ b_{d,j} \end{pmatrix} = -\theta \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{c \in C} (\chi/\chi^\sigma)(c) L_{j, c \circ \sigma}(u_1) \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{c \in C} (\chi/\chi^\sigma)(c) L_{j, c \circ \sigma}(u_d) \end{pmatrix}.$$

ii) *The element*

$$\tilde{t}_j = \sum_{i \in I_1 \cup I_3 \setminus \{j\}, g \in G} \varrho_f(g) A_i \varrho_f(g)^{-1} L_{i,g} + \sum_{i \in I_2, g \in G} \varrho_f(g) B_i \varrho_f(g)^{-1} L_{i,g} + \sum_{g \in G} \varrho_f(g) C_j \varrho_f(g)^{-1} L_{j,g}$$

of $(\text{Hom}((\mathcal{O}_H \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \otimes \text{ad}^0 \varrho_f)^G$ belongs to the space $(\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \otimes \text{Ind}_K^F(\chi/\chi^\sigma))^G$, where

$$A_i := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_{i,j} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_i := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b_{i,j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_j := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_{j,j} \\ \theta & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Recall that, we have fixed a basis (v_1, v_2) of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^2$ such that $\varrho_f(c)$ is diagonal for every $c \in C$ and the projective image of $\varrho_f(\sigma)$ is $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{PGL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. This gives us the shape of \tilde{t}_j which implies that $\tilde{t}_j \in (\text{Hom}((\mathcal{O}_H \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \otimes \text{Ind}_K^F(\chi/\chi^\sigma))^G$. Therefore, by (8), it follows that $\tilde{t}_j \in (\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \otimes \text{Ind}_K^F(\chi/\chi^\sigma))^G$ if and only if $\tilde{t}_j(u) = 0$ for every $u \in \mathcal{O}_H^\times$.

By definition of u_1, \dots, u_d , there exist $y_1, \dots, y_d \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that

$$\sum_{c \in C} (\chi/\chi^\sigma)(c) L_{i, c \circ \sigma^{\delta(i)}}(u) = \sum_{k=1}^d y_k \sum_{c \in C} (\chi/\chi^\sigma)(c) L_{i, c \circ \sigma^{\delta(i)}}(u_k)$$

for every $1 \leq i \leq d$. If $(b_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq d} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^d$ satisfies (25), then

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\sum_{c \in C} (\chi/\chi^\sigma)(c) L_{i, c \circ \sigma^{\delta(i)}}(u) \right) b_{i,j} &= \sum_{k=1}^d y_k \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{c \in C} (\chi/\chi^\sigma)(c) L_{i, c \circ \sigma^{\delta(i)}}(u_k) b_{i,j} = \\ &= -\theta \sum_{k=1}^d y_k \sum_{c \in C} (\chi/\chi^\sigma)(c) L_{j, c \circ \sigma}(u_k) = -\theta \sum_{c \in C} (\chi/\chi^\sigma)(c) L_{j, c \circ \sigma}(u) \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if (26) is satisfied for every $u \in \mathcal{O}_H^\times$, then $(b_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq d} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^d$ satisfies (25). But it is clear that (26) holds if and only if $\tilde{t}_j(u) = 0$ for every $u \in \mathcal{O}_H^\times$. \blacksquare

Corollary 5.6. *For every $j \in I_3$, there exist $b_{i,j} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ such that the elements*

$$\begin{aligned} t_j &:= \sum_{i \in I_1, c \in C} \varrho_f(c) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_{i,j} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \varrho_f(c)^{-1} L_{i,c} + \sum_{i \in I_2, c \in C} \varrho_f(c) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b_{i,j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \varrho_f(c)^{-1} L_{i,c} + \\ &+ \sum_{i \in I_3 \setminus \{j\}, c \in C} \varrho_f(c) \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_i(b_{i,j}, 0) & b_{i,j} \\ 0 & -\mathcal{H}_i(b_{i,j}, 0) \end{pmatrix} \varrho_f(c)^{-1} L_{i,c} + \\ &+ \sum_{c \in C} \varrho_f(c) \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_j(b_{j,j}, 1) & b_{j,j} \\ 1 & -\mathcal{H}_j(b_{j,j}, 1) \end{pmatrix} \varrho_f(c)^{-1} L_{j,c}. \end{aligned}$$

belong to V .

Proof. For every $j \in I_3$, let $(b_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq d}$ be a solution of (25) with $\theta = 1$, which exists because A is invertible. It is clear that the corresponding $t_j \in W$. Note that the antidiagonal component t_j^b of t_j is precisely the element \tilde{t}_j defined in Lemma 5.5. Therefore, $t_j^b \in (\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \otimes \text{Ind}_K^F(\chi/\chi^\sigma))^G$. Since the isomorphism (24) shows that $t_j^\sharp \in (\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \otimes \epsilon_K)^G$, we deduce that

$$t_j = t_j^\sharp + t_j^b \in (\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \otimes \text{ad}^0 \varrho_f)^G \cap W = V. \quad \blacksquare$$

Proposition 5.7. *The elements $(t_j)_{j \in \{1, \dots, d\}}$ constitute a basis for V . In other words, if we let V_2 denote the linear span of $(t_j)_{j \in I_3}$, then $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$.*

Proof. Since the elements t_j are linearly independent, we only need to check that they generate V . Let t be any element in V . By subtracting suitable multiples of the elements t_j from t , we obtain an element t' which in the expression of (21) is given by matrices of the form

$$A_i := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_i := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_i := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_i(b_i, 0) & b_i \\ 0 & -\mathcal{H}_i(b_i, 0) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $b_i \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. Since $t' \in V$, we have that

$$(t')^\sharp \in (\text{Hom}(G_H, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \otimes \text{Ind}_K^F(\chi/\chi^\sigma))^G.$$

Then Lemma 5.5 implies that the vector $(b_i)_{1 \leq i \leq d}$ is a solution to (25) with $\theta = 0$. But the fact that A is invertible (which follows from Lemma 5.4 (ii)) implies that $(t')^\sharp = 0$. Since the

polynomials $\mathcal{H}_i(X, Y)$ are homogeneous, it follows that $t' = 0$, and we get that t is a linear combination of the t_j . \blacksquare

6. $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{T}$ AND PROOF OF THEOREMS A AND B: ÉTALENESS AND SMOOTHNESS

As explained in §1, in order to prove Theorem A (resp. Theorem B), we need to show that

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p} t_{\mathcal{T}'_0} = 0 \quad \left(\text{resp. } \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p} t_{\mathcal{T}} = d + 1 \right).$$

Let \mathcal{R}'_0 (resp. \mathcal{R}) be the universal ring representing the functor \mathcal{D}'_0 (resp. \mathcal{D}'). Recall that [Deo18, Prop. 5] there is a surjective continuous homomorphism

$$(27) \quad \mathcal{R}'_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}'_0 \quad \left(\text{resp. } \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \right).$$

Combining all the results of §4, we get that $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p} t_{\mathcal{R}'_0} = 0$ under the hypotheses of Theorem A. This means that $\mathcal{R}'_0 \simeq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ and hence, $\mathcal{R}'_0 \simeq \mathcal{T}'_0 \simeq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. This immediately implies Theorem A. Note that this also implies

$$\mathcal{R} \simeq \mathcal{T}$$

under the hypotheses of Theorem A.

Combining all the results of §5 we get that $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p} t_{\mathcal{D}'} \leq d$ under the hypotheses of Theorem B. Combining this inequality with Leopoldt's conjecture for F , we get that $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p} t_{\mathcal{R}} \leq d + 1$. Therefore, we get surjective maps, $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p[[T_1, \dots, T_{d+1}]] \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$. The fact that \mathcal{T} has Krull dimension $d + 1$ implies that the morphism $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p[[T_1, \dots, T_{d+1}]] \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ obtained by composing the two morphisms above is an isomorphism. Hence, we get that

$$\mathcal{R} \simeq \mathcal{T} \simeq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p[[T_1, \dots, T_{d+1}]].$$

This completes the proof of Theorem B.

7. PROOF OF THEOREM C: CLASSICALITY

Let $X_1^1(\mathfrak{n})/\mathbb{Z}_p$ (resp. $X_1^1(\Gamma_1(\mathfrak{n}) \cap \Gamma_0(p))$) be a toroidal compactification of the Hilbert blumenthal scheme $Y_1^1(\mathfrak{n})$ (resp. $Y_1^1(\Gamma_1(\mathfrak{n}) \cap \Gamma_0(p))$) of level $\Gamma_1(\mathfrak{n})$ (resp. $\Gamma_1(\mathfrak{n}) \cap \Gamma_0(p)$) and $X^{\text{rig}}/\mathbb{Q}_p$ (resp. $X_0^{\text{rig}}(p)$) be the rigid space attached to $X_1^1(\mathfrak{n})$ (resp. $X_1^1(\Gamma_1(\mathfrak{n}) \cap \Gamma_0(p))$). One may choose a toroidal compactification such that the natural morphism

$$Y_1^1(\Gamma_1(\mathfrak{n}) \cap \Gamma_0(p)) \rightarrow Y_1^1(\mathfrak{n})$$

forgetting the $\Gamma_0(p)$ -level structure extends to a morphism

$$X_1^1(\Gamma_1(\mathfrak{n}) \cap \Gamma_0(p)) \rightarrow X_1^1(\mathfrak{n}),$$

and even to a morphism of rigid spaces

$$X_0^{\text{rig}}(p) \rightarrow X^{\text{rig}}.$$

The existence of the canonical subgroup of the universal Hilbert Blumenthal semi-abelian variety on some overconvergent neighborhood $X(v)$ of the ordinary locus of X^{rig} yields a section of the last canonical projection

$$s : X(v) \rightarrow X_0^{\text{rig}}(p).$$

Hence, we obtain an injection

$$j : S_1(\mathfrak{np}, \chi_f)[f] \hookrightarrow S_1^\dagger(\mathfrak{n}, \chi_f)[[f]],$$

where $S_1^\dagger(\mathfrak{n}, \chi_f)[[f]]$ is the generalized eigenspace attached to f inside the space of overconvergent cuspidal Hilbert modular forms of parallel weight 1. The injection j is defined by taking the pullback along s of the global sections of the determinant of the conormal sheaf of the universal Hilbert blumenthal semi-abelian variety on $X_0^{\text{rig}}(p)$ (the universal semi-abelian scheme depends on the choice of a toroidal compactification).

By using arguments similar to those already used in [DLR15, §1.1] and Hida duality for Hilbert cusp forms, we obtain the following isomorphism

$$S_1^\dagger(\mathfrak{n}, \chi)[[f]] = \text{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p\text{-Mod}}(\mathcal{T}'_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p).$$

Hence, Theorem C now follows from Theorem A (i.e from the isomorphism $\mathcal{T}'_0 \simeq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$).

8. PROOF OF THEOREM D: THE FULL EIGENVARIETY

Let $\mathcal{E}^{\text{full}}$ be the p -adic eigenvariety over \mathcal{W} of tame level \mathfrak{n} constructed using the Hecke operators T_ℓ for $\ell \nmid \mathfrak{np}$ and U_ℓ for $\ell \mid \mathfrak{np}$. Using the known relations between Hecke operators and the fact that the diamond operators at all $\ell \nmid \mathfrak{np}$ belong to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{W})$, one sees that $T_n \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{\text{full}}}(\mathcal{E}^{\text{full}})$ for all $n \geq 1$.

It is endowed with a locally finite surjective morphism $\mathcal{E}^{\text{full}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. This surjective map yields, by composition with the 2-dimensional pseudo-character $T : G_F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E})$ obtained by Andreatta, Iovita, and Pilloni ([AIP16, Theorem 5.1]), a 2-dimensional pseudo-character $G_F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{\text{full}}}(\mathcal{E}^{\text{full}})$. There is a natural bijection between $\mathcal{E}^{\text{full}}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ and the set of overconvergent cuspidal Hilbert modular eigenforms with finite slope, tame level \mathfrak{n} and weight in $\mathcal{W}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$, which sends g to the system of eigenvalues $\{(T_\ell(g))_{\ell \nmid \mathfrak{np}}, (U_\ell(g))_{\ell \mid \mathfrak{np}}\}$.

Let f be a classical cuspidal Hilbert modular newform over F of tame level \mathfrak{n} and parallel weight 1 as in §1, and let $x^{\text{full}} \in \mathcal{E}^{\text{full}}$ (resp. $x \in \mathcal{E}$) be the point corresponding to a given p -stabilization of f with finite slope. Note that, x^{full} lies on the nearly ordinary locus of $\mathcal{E}^{\text{full}}$. By the construction of the eigenvariety, the nearly ordinary locus of $\mathcal{E}^{\text{full}}$ is isomorphic to the rigid analytic space attached to the maximal spectrum of the generic fiber of the p -nearly ordinary cuspidal Hecke algebra of tame level \mathfrak{n} constructed by Hida [Hid89b]. Hence, up to Galois conjugacy, the nearly ordinary Hida families specializing to that p -stabilization correspond to the irreducible components of $\mathcal{E}^{\text{full}}$ containing the point x^{full} .

Note that there is a unique irreducible component of $\mathcal{E}^{\text{full}}$ containing the point x^{full} if and only if the completed local ring $\mathcal{T}^{\text{full}}$ of $\mathcal{E}^{\text{full}}$ at x^{full} is an integral domain. The aim of this section is

to show that $\mathcal{T}^{\text{full}}$ is an integral domain. We will achieve this by showing that $\mathcal{T}^{\text{full}} \simeq \mathcal{T}$ and by using that \mathcal{T} is an integral domain if \mathcal{E} is smooth at x .

The natural morphism $\mathcal{E}^{\text{full}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ yields an injective homomorphism $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^{\text{full}}$ and hence a continuous representation

$$\varrho_{\mathcal{T}^{\text{full}}} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{T}^{\text{full}}),$$

such that $\text{Tr}(\varrho_{\mathcal{T}^{\text{full}}}(\text{Frob}_\ell)) = T_\ell$ for all $\ell \nmid \mathfrak{n}p$. Moreover $\varrho_{\mathcal{T}^{\text{full}}}$ is nearly ordinary at every prime above p and its reduction modulo its maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_f^{\text{full}}$ is isomorphic to ϱ_f . Denote by \mathcal{M} the free $\mathcal{T}^{\text{full}}$ -module of rank 2 on which $\varrho_{\mathcal{T}^{\text{full}}}$ acts. For a prime $\ell \mid \mathfrak{n}$, denote by $a_\ell(f)$, the U_ℓ -eigenvalue of f .

Proposition 8.1. *Let ℓ be a prime dividing \mathfrak{n} . Then $\varrho_{\mathcal{T}^{\text{full}}}(I_\ell)$ is finite. Moreover:*

- (i) *If $a_\ell(f) \neq 0$, then \mathcal{M}^{I_ℓ} is a free rank 1 direct summand of \mathcal{M} on which $\varrho_{\mathcal{T}^{\text{full}}}(\text{Frob}_\ell)$ acts as multiplication by U_ℓ . If $a_\ell(f) = 0$, then $\mathcal{M}^{I_\ell} = 0$ and $U_\ell = 0$ in $\mathcal{T}^{\text{full}}$.*
- (ii) *The natural injection $\mathcal{T} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{\text{full}}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. (i) Let G_ℓ be a decomposition of G_F at the place ℓ of F and $I_\ell \subset G_\ell$ be the inertia group at ℓ . Since f is a cuspidal Hilbert modular eigenform of parallel weight 1, $\varrho_f|_{G_\ell}$ can not be of Steinberg type, and hence the local-global compatibility of Langlands correspondence is known for f (see [Jar97], [Oht84] and [RT83]).

Note that the image of $\varrho_{\mathcal{T}^{\text{full}}}(I_\ell)$ is infinite if and only if the Hida family passing through f is Steinberg at ℓ , and it is equivalent to the fact that the monodromy operator $N_{\mathcal{F}}$ of the Weil-Deligne representation attached to $(\varrho_{\mathcal{T}^{\text{full}}})|_{G_{F_\ell}}$ is of rank 1 (see [BC09, Proposition 7.8.14]). However, any Steinberg representation is isomorphic to a non trivial extension of $\psi(1)$ by ψ , where $\psi : G_\ell \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ is a character. Thus, there is no Steinberg representation having specialization in weight one because ϱ_f has a finite image. Hence, $(\varrho_{\mathcal{T}^{\text{full}}})|_{G_\ell}$ is not Steinberg which means that $N_{\mathcal{F}}$ is trivial. Then [BC09, Proposition 7.8.14] yields that $\varrho_{\mathcal{T}^{\text{full}}}(I_\ell)$ is finite.

Assume that the U_ℓ -eigenvalue $a_\ell(f) \neq 0$. Since ϱ_f has a finite image, the local global compatibility of Langlands correspondences implies that $(\varrho_f)|_{G_\ell}$ is the sum of two characters $\phi' \oplus \phi''$, where ϕ' is unramified and $\phi'(\text{Frob}_\ell) = a_\ell(f)$, and ϕ'' is ramified since f is new at ℓ (see [Jar97, Theorem 6.1]). Thanks to the results of [Tay89, Theorem 2], [Car86, Theorem A], [RT83, Theorem 3.1] and [Oht84], arguments similar to those already used in the proof of [BD16, Proposition 7.1] generalise directly to our case and the assertion when $a_\ell \neq 0$ follows.

If $a_\ell(f) = 0$, then [Jar97, Theorem 6.1] implies that $\dim_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \varrho_f^{I_\ell} = 0$ (by the Local-Global compatibility). Hence, the assertion in this case follows from the results of [Tay89, Theorem 2], [Car86, Theorem A], [RT83, Theorem 3.1], [Oht84] and arguments of [BD16, Proposition 7.1].

(ii) As we have either obtained a description of U_ℓ in terms of the Galois representation $\varrho_{\mathcal{T}^{\text{full}}}$ or proved that $U_\ell = 0$, we can directly mimic the arguments used in the proof of [BD16, Theorem 7.2] to prove that $\mathcal{T} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{\text{full}}$ is an isomorphism. ■

REFERENCES

- [AIP16] F. ANDREATTA, A. IOVITA, V. PILLONI, *On overconvergent Hilbert modular cusp forms*, *Astérisque* **382** (2016), 163–193.
- [BC09] J. BELLAÏCHE, G. CHENEVIER, *Families of Galois representations and Selmer groups*, *Astérisque* **324** (2009), xii+314 pp.
- [Bet] A. BETINA, *Les Variétés de Hecke–Hilbert aux points classiques de poids un*, to appear in *Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux*.
- [BD16] J. BELLAÏCHE, M. DIMITROV, *On the Eigencurve at classical weight one points*, *Duke Math. J.* **165**, Number 2 (2016), 245–266.
- [Bij16] S. BIJAKOWSKI, *Classicit  de formes modulaires de Hilbert*, “Arithmétique p-adique des formes de Hilbert”, *Astérisque* **382** (2016), 49–71.
- [Car86] H. CARAYOL, *Sur les représentations l-adiques associées aux formes modulaires de Hilbert*, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup, Série 4 : Tome 19* (1986) no. 3 , p. 409–468.
- [CV03] S. CHO, V. VATSAL, *Deformations of induced Galois representations*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **556** (2003), pp. 79–98.
- [DLR15] H. DARMON, A. LAUDER, V. ROTGER, *Stark points and p-adic iterated integrals attached to modular forms of weight one*, *Forum of Mathematics, Pi* (2015), Vol. 3, e8, 95 pages.
- [Deo18] S.V. DEO, *On the eigenvariety of Hilbert modular forms at classical parallel weight one points with dihedral projective image*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **370** n.6 (2018), 3885–3912.
- [GV] R. GREENBERG, V. VATSAL, *Iwasawa Theory for Artin Representations*, arXiv.
- [Han17] D. HANSEN, *Universal eigenvarieties, trianguline Galois representations, and p-adic Langlands functoriality*. With an appendix by James Newton. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **730** (2017), 1–64.
- [Hid86] H. HIDA, *Galois representations into $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]])$ attached to ordinary cusp forms*, *Invent. Math.*, **85** (1986), pp. 545–613.
- [Hid89a] H. HIDA, *On nearly ordinary Hecke algebras for $GL(2)$ over totally real fields*, *Algebraic number theory, Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, **17**, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989, 139–169.
- [Hid89b] H. HIDA, *Nearly ordinary Hecke algebra and Galois representation of several variables*, *Proc. JAMI inaugural conference, Supplement to Amer. J.Math*(1989). 115–134.
- [HT94] H. HIDA, J. TILOUINE, *On the anticyclotomic main conjecture for CM fields*. *Invent. Math.* **117** (1994), no. 1, 89–147.
- [Jar97] F. JARVIS, *On Galois representations associated to Hilbert modular forms*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **491** (1997), 199–216.
- [KL05] M. KISIN, K.F. LAI, *Overconvergent Hilbert modular forms*, *Amer. J. Math.* **127** (2005), no. 4, 735–783.
- [Nar04] W. NARKIEWICZ, *Elementary and analytic theory of algebraic numbers*. Third edition. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [Nel] D. NELSON, *A variation on Leopoldt’s conjecture: Some local units instead of all local units*, arXiv:1308.4637.
- [PS12] V. PILLONI B. STROH, *Surconvergence et classicité : le cas Hilbert*, preprint 2012.
- [Oht84] M. OHTA, *Hilbert modular forms of weight one and Galois representations, Automorphic forms of several variables*(Katata, 1983), *Progr. Math.* **46**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1984, pp. 333–352.
- [RT83] J. ROGAWSKI, J. TUNNELL, *On Artin L-functions associated to Hilbert modular forms of weight one*, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **74** (1983), n 1, 1–42.
- [Tay89] R. TAYLOR, *On Galois representations associated to Hilbert modular forms* . *Invent. Math.* **98** (1989), 265–280.
- [Wil88] A. WILES, *On ordinary λ -adic representations associated to modular forms*, *Invent. Math.* **94** (1988), pp. 529–573.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SHEFFIELD, SHEFFIELD S3 7RH, UK.

E-mail address: `adelbetina@gmail.com`

MATHEMATICS RESEARCH UNIT, UNIVERSITY OF LUXEMBOURG, MAISON DU NOMBRE, 6, AVENUE DE LA FONTE,
L-4364 ESCH-SUR-ALZETTE, LUXEMBOURG

E-mail address: `deoshaunak@gmail.com`

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE CATALUNYA/BGSMATH, EDIFICI OMEGA ,
C/JORDI GIRONA 1-3, 08034 BARCELONA, CATALONIA

E-mail address: `francesc.fite@gmail.com`