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## Motivation

Shannon decomposition of operations $f:\{0,1\}^{n} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ :

$$
f(\mathbf{x})=x_{k} f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{1}\right)+\left(1-x_{k}\right) f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{0}\right),
$$

where

- $\mathbf{x}_{k}^{a}$ is obtained from $\mathbf{x}$ by replacing its $k^{\text {th }}$ component by $a$.
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Goal: Uniform approach of these decomposition schemes.

## Pivotal decomposition

$A$ set and $0,1 \in A$
Let $\Pi: A^{3} \rightarrow A$ an operation

Definition. An operation $f: A^{n} \rightarrow A$ is $\Pi$-decomposable if

$$
f(\mathbf{x})=\Pi\left(x_{k}, f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{1}\right), f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{0}\right)\right)
$$

for all $\mathbf{x} \in A^{n}$ and all $k \leq n$.

## Pivotal decomposition

$A$ set and $0,1 \in A$
Let $\Pi: A^{3} \rightarrow A$ an operation that satisfies the equation

$$
\Pi(x, y, y)=y
$$

Such a $\Pi$ is called a pivotal operation. In this talk, all $\Pi$ are pivotal.

Definition. An operation $f: A^{n} \rightarrow A$ is $\Pi$-decomposable if

$$
f(\mathbf{x})=\Pi\left(x_{k}, f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{1}\right), f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{0}\right)\right)
$$

for all $\mathbf{x} \in A^{n}$ and all $k \leq n$.

## Examples

$$
f(\mathbf{x})=\Pi\left(x_{k}, f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{1}\right), f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}^{0}\right)\right)
$$

Shannon decomposition: $\Pi(x, y, z)=x y+(1-x) z$
Median decomposition: $\Pi(x, y, z)=\operatorname{med}(x, y, z)$
Benefits:

- uniformly isolate the marginal contribution of a factor
- repeated applications lead to normal form representations
- lead to characterization of operation classes
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Proposition. If $\Pi \models(A D)$, the following are equivalent
(i) $\Lambda_{\Pi}$ is a clone
(ii) $\Lambda_{\Pi} \vDash(\mathrm{P})$

## Clones of pivotally decomposable Boolean operations
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(P)+(A D) \Longrightarrow \Lambda_{\Pi} \text { is a clone } \quad(\star)
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Example. For a Boolean clone $C$, the following are equivalent
(i) There is $\Pi$ such that $C=\Lambda_{\Pi}$

## Clones of pivotally decomposable Boolean operations

$$
(P)+(A D) \Longrightarrow \Lambda_{\Pi} \text { is a clone }
$$

Example. For a Boolean clone $C$, the following are equivalent
(i) There is $\Pi$ such that $C=\Lambda_{\Pi}$
(ii) $C$ is the clone of (monotone) Boolean functions

What about the converse of $(\star)$ ?

## The case of $\Pi$-decomposable $\Pi$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\Pi(\Pi(1,0,1), 0,1)=\Pi(1, \Pi(0,0,1), \Pi(1,0,1)) \\
\Pi(\Pi(0,0,1), 0,1)=\Pi(0, \Pi(0,0,1), \Pi(1,0,1))
\end{array}\right\}
$$
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\end{array}\right\}
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Theorem. If $\Pi \in \Lambda_{\Pi}$ and $\Pi \models$ (WAD), then

$$
(\mathrm{P})+(\mathrm{AD}) \Longleftrightarrow \Lambda_{\Pi} \text { is a clone },
$$

and $\Lambda_{\Pi}$ is the clone generated by $\Pi$ and the constant maps.
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We have seen that if $\Lambda_{\Pi}$ is a Boolean clone then $\Pi \in \Lambda_{\Pi}$.
There are some $\Pi$ such that $\Lambda_{\Pi}$ is a clone but $\Pi \notin \Lambda_{\Pi}$.
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$$
\Pi \models(P),(A D) \quad \text { but } \quad \Pi \notin \Lambda_{\Pi}
$$

since
$\Pi(x, 1 / 2,1 / 2)=1 / 2 \quad$ and $\quad \Pi(1 / 2, \Pi(x, 1,1 / 2), \Pi(x, 0,1 / 2))=1$

## Symmetry

Theorem. If $\Pi \in \Lambda_{\Pi}$ and $\Pi \models(P)$, then the following are equivalent
(i) $\Pi$ is symmetric
(ii) $\Pi(0,0,1)=\Pi(0,1,0)$ and $\Pi(1,0,1)=\Pi(1,1,0)$

## Summary

- If $\Pi \in \Lambda_{\Pi}$ and $\Pi \models($ WAD $)$, then
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## Summary

- If $\Pi \in \Lambda_{\Pi}$ and $\Pi \models(\mathrm{WAD})$, then

$$
(\mathrm{P})+(\mathrm{AD}) \Longleftrightarrow \Lambda_{\Pi} \text { is a clone }
$$

- There is a clone $\Lambda_{\Pi}$ such that $\Pi \notin \Lambda_{\Pi}$


## Problems.

Find a characterization of those $\Lambda_{\Pi}$ which are clones when $\Pi \notin \Lambda_{\Pi}$.
Structure of the family of decomposable classes of operations?
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