First Vertical Land Movement Estimates on South Georgia Island: An Impact Study on Sea Level Change from Tide Gauge and Altimetry Measurements

Angela Hibbert(2), Robert Smalley(3), Ian Dalziel(4) and Larry Lawver(4)

3) University of Memphis, USA 4) University of Texas at Austin, USA

Norman Teferle(1), Addisu Hunegnaw(1), Kibrom Abraha(1), Philip Woodworth(2), Simon Williams(2),

UNIVERSITÉ DU Oceanography Centre LUXEMBOURG NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL

1) University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg 2) National Oceanography Centre, United Kingdom

Contact: Norman Teferle (email: norman.teferle@uni.lu)







AGU FM2017 G21A-0861



load this Poster!

Abstract

South Georgia Island in the Southern Atlantic Ocean has been a key location for the seismic, geomagnetic and oceanic global monitoring networks. However, no permanent geodetic monitoring station had been established there despite the lack of observations from this region within, for example, the International GNSS Service (IGS) net-work of Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) stations. Then, in 2013 the King Edward Point (KEP) Ge odetic Observatory was established with a focus on sea level studies and in support of general geoscience appl cations. Currently, this observatory located roughly half-way along the main island and along its northern coastline, consists of two GNSS stations (KEPA and KRSA) with local benchmark networks, allowing the height deter minations from the GNSS antennas to be transferred to the KEP tide gauge (GLOSS ID 187) and forming a eight reference within the International Terrestrial Reference Frame. In late 2014, three additional GNSS stations (SOG1, SOG2 and SOG3) were established, all on small islands at the perimeter of the main island. Together the stations provide the best possible opportunity to study various geophysical processes in the region. With the GNSS-derived position time series partly reaching over 4.5 years in length, it has become possible to provide first estimates of vertical land movements for the island and KEP with its surrounding area. Together with four precise levelling campaigns of the benchmark network in 2013, 2014 and two in 2017, it has also been possible to investigate the very local character of the vertical motions, ie. the stability of the jetty upon which the tide gauge is mounted. Our measurements show that while South Georgia Island and the area around KEP are rising, the jetty and tide gauge are subsiding. In this study, we will present the preliminary results from the GNSS and levelling measurements and will discuss

their impact on the sea level record from the KEP tide gauge which is ideally situated in a mid-ocean location for


satellite altimetry calibration over the Southern Atlantic and Southern Oceans.

Introduction


South Georgia Island is located in the South Atlantic Ocean and is a relatively small island of about 170 x 50 km, bending towards the southeast about halfway along its length (Figures 1 and 2). It is the subaerial exposure of what is believed to be the South Georgia microcontinent, which measures about 300 x 150 km and includes the shelf area around the island. The island itself is very mountainous with peaks reaching over 2900 m a.s.l. and it is largely covered with glaciers, which predominately show rapid retreat. The microcontinent is the largest fragment within the North Scotia Ridge Transform and information from geology, geophysics, seismology and satellite altimetry has led to a reasonable understanding of the Scotia Sea tectonic evolution [Barker, 2001]. However, uncertainty remains as to the tectonics and potential glacio-isostation adjustment (GIA) of South Georgia and the associated shelf areas [Smalley et al., 2007]. It is believed that the collision between South Georgia and the Northeast Georgia Rise, which lies opposite of the North Scotia Ridge Transform, is responsible for the orogeny of the

Graham et al. [2008] revealed that the entire shelf area has been glaciated to the edges during the Cenozoic. Since then, several glaciation and deglaciation cycles have occurred and while it was not clear if the entire shelf was covered during the Last Glacial Maximum [Bentley et al., 2007; Gordon et al., 2008], this has now been essentially confirmed [White et al., 2017]. This suggest that some vertical motion of the island due to the GIA process in addition to the tectonics cannot be ruled out and is fairly likely. Furthermore, present-day glacier retreat is likely to result in additional local verti-

South Georgia Island is important for sea level measurements. The tide gauge at King Edward Point (KEP) (GLOSS 187) has been in operation on and off since 1957. It was upgraded several times and the current pressure sensor gauge was installed in 2011. In 2014 a tide board was added, allowing regular cross checks of the sea level readings from the visual observations and the tide gauge records. The ground tracks of TOPEX/POSEIDON/Jason/Sentinel satellite altimetry missions come close to the island.



ites in the South Atlantic Ocean (University of Texas at ustin): transforms/fracture zones (green), ridges (red) and




igure 2: South Georgia Island with shelf area. Continuou INSS stations (red circles). KEPA and KRSA are located at

As these reach within several 10s of km of the tide gauge, there is potential for the tide gauge data to be useful for altimeter calibration over the South Atlantic Ocean. However, with the large potential for vertical movements at KEP it was decided to equip the tide gauge with a state-of-the-art GNSS station to investigate any uplift or subsidence. This has lead to the establishment of the King Edward Point Geodetic Observatory (KEPGO), which consists of two GNSS stations and local benchmark networks [Teferle et al., 2014]. At the same time a US-lead project established three GNSS stations at the perimeter of South Georgia Island to investigate present-day tectonic processes.

Acknowledgements This work is funded through the University of Luxembourg (UL) Research Projects GSCG and SGSL, and used the UL High Performance Computing (ULHPC) facility. Colleagues from the University of Memphis are funded through the NRF Grant (PLR-1245660). The authors would like to thank numerous colleagues from the University of Luxembourg, National Oceanography Centre, British Antarctic Survey and the Government of South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands for their continued support of our work in South Georgia. Furthermore, data and products provided by the IGS and its ACs, and UNAVCO Inc. are highly appreciated [Dow et al., 2009].

GNSS Data Set and Processing

The full history of GPS data collected by a global tracking network from 1995 to 2017 was reanalyzed by the IGS TIGA Analysis Center at the University of Luxembourg (Figure 3). The solution uses up to 750 GPS stations besides the five stations on South Georgia Island and employs a network double-difference processing strategy using the Bernese GNSS Software version 5.2 [Dach et al, 2015]. Our GPS reprocessing strategy is detailed in [Hunegnaw et al, 2015] and incorporates recent model developments and the latest International Earth Rotation and Reference Systems Service (IERS) 2010 conventions [Petit and Luzum, 2010]. The GPS data was reprocessed using CODE precise final orbits and Earth orientation parameters, and was linked into ITRF2008 [Altamimi et al., 2007]. The daily coordinate time series were then analyzed using the Hector software [Bos et al., 2012] assuming a combination of power-law and white noise for the stochastic model.



this study. The global network consists of those stations from the IGb08 core network and from the Tide Gauge Benchmark Monitoring (TIGA) Working Group.

Benchmark Networks and Levelling Results

Two benchmark networks were installed: one at KEPA on Brown Mountain and a second one at KEP Research Station. The KEPA network provides a precise reference of the current GNSS antenna ARP in case the monument should get damaged or destroyed (not discussed further). The network at KEP Research Station provides the height connection of the KRSA antenna ARP to the tide gauge as well as allows stability monitoring of the KRSA monument and of the whole area. Figure 4 shows this benchmark network which incorporates two benchmarks used by the United Kingdom Hydrographic Office (UKHO).



igure 4: Benchmark network at KEP Research Station: tide gauge (TG) and KEP Geodetic Obervatory (red), KRSA antenna and monument Number 061 and was established in 1967/68. There are five benchmarks at the KRSA


To date four levelling campaigns have been carried out: 2013, 2014 and two in 2017. The campaigns in 2013 and 2014 were performed using a high-precision analogue level with plan plate by a team from the University of Luxembourg. The two campaigns in 2017, (a) in Febuary and (b) in March, were carried out using a high-precision digital level with Invar staff by two BAS personnel from KEP. The tide gauge can only be levelled with a modified staff and requires experienced operators, hence it was not levelled in 2017. Instead, the level of the tide board, installed in 2014 next to the tide gauge, has been observed. This is more convenient as any standard staff, in this case the Invar staff, can be rested on top of the tide board. Table 1 shows the results from the levelling campaigns. All levelling lines were started at benchmark KEPGO-KEP-004, situated at the base of the satellite communications tower, ie. it is assumed to be stable, and heading towards the jetty with the tide gauge. The standard errors stem from the differences in the forward and backward measurements. It can be seen that up to benchmark KEPGO-KEP-002, the heights have not varied by more than 3 mm. Beyond that benchmark the ground starts to subside.

Table 1: Levelling results for benchmarks observed during the 2013, 2014 and 2017 campaigns. The height for KEP-GO-KEP-004 was computed to be 3.76 m using KEPA and additional campaign GPS observations in 2013, 2013 levelling data and EGM2008 Geoid separations, and has been assumed to be stable over the period. The tide board was installed in 2014 and was used during the 2017 campaigns for convenience with the Invar staff. All uncertainties are 1-σ and are

	Distance [m] from	n Campaigr	Campaign 2013		Campaign 2014		Campaign 2017a		Campaign 2017b	
Benchmark	KEPGO-KEP-004	Height [m]	SD [m]	Height [m]	SD [m]	Height [m] S	SD [m]	Height [m] S	D [m]	
KEPGO-KEP-004	0	3,7600		3,7600		3,7600		3,7600		
UKHO-ISTS-061	68	3,0757	0,0003	3,0749	0,0001	3,0753	0,0001	3,0753 0	,0001	
KEPGO-KEP-003	140	2,7704	0,0006	2,7676	0,0002					
KEPGO-KEP-002	174	2,8145	0,0007	2,8124	0,0002	2,8126 0	0,0002	2,8128 0	,0002	
UKHO-HD-9798	205	1,3465	0,0010	1,3396	0,0003	1,3350 0	0,0003	1,3349 0	,0003	
KEPGO-KEP-001	235	1,3229	0,0012	1,3154	0,0003	1,3089 0	0,0003	1,3087 0	,0003	
Tide Board	235			1,1531	0,0003	1,1469 0	0,0003	1,1466 0	,0003	
TG	235	0,6560	0,0012	0,6469	0,0005					

GNSS Time Series and Vertical Rates

The daily height time series for the five GNSS stations on South Georgia Island are presented in Figure 5. Currently the time series only reach up to the end of 2016 but further processing runs are foreseen to bring the data time span up to the end of 2017 and beyond. This means that currently the time series range from 2,2 to 4,0 years in length, which is still too short for interpretations. Nevertheless, a preliminary analysis is attempted. Figure 5a (left panel) shows the full length of solutions for each station while in Figure 5b (right panel) shows the time series trimmed to be of equal length. One can see that the vertical rates for the full time series range from 2,3 to 4,3 mm/yr with uncertainties generally at the 1-2 mm/yr level and a mean rate of 3,3 mm/yr. The trimmed height time series show a similar range for the vertical rates with the largest change at KEPA of -0,7 mm/yr. Despite the shortness of the time series and the large uncertainties, these results indicate a general pattern of uplift at 2-4 mm/yr for South Georgia Island. Interestingly there is also agreement within the error bounds between KEPA and KRSA, suggesting that KRSA is on fairly stable ground, which is also being shown by the levelling re-



gure 5: Height time series for the five GNSS stations on South Georgia Island. Left panels (a) show the full time series while and assume a white plus power-law noise model.

Sea Level Information

Sea level information is available for the KEP tide gauge from the Permanent Service for Mean Sea Level (PSMSL) [Holgate et al., 2013] metric data base as monthly mean values and from the IOC Sea Level Station Monitoring Facility (www.ioc-sealevelmonitoring.org) as raw values. Here the daily mean values from 2008 to present have been used (Figure 6).

The satellite altimeter data was provided by Brian Beckley and Xu Yang of NASA and was derived from the NASA MEaSUREs v4.2 data set of merged TOPEX/JASON/OSTM altimetry. No inverted barometer (IB) and dynamic atmospheric correction (DAC) combined correction were applied to the data. The altimetry data are the 10-day averaged values. Figure 7 shows the ground tracks of the TOPEX/JASON/OSTM altimetry missions (tracks 059, 102, 176 and 237) and those of Sentinel-3 for completeness (not used yet).




Figure 6: Sea level data for King Edward Point from daily mean tide gauge records (black line) and 10-day average satellite altimeter data (red line). Several data gaps in the tide gauge record are visible and





Figure 7: KEP tide gauge and satellite altimetry mission ground tracks for TOPEX/POSEIDON/-JASON (red lines) and Sentinel-3 (green lines for future reference).

Impact on Sea Level Estimates

As outlined above the vertical rates obtained for the GNSS height time series indicate uplift of South Georgia Island at the 2-4 mm/yr level. This is potentially due to a combination of ongoing tectonic processes, GIA associated with the unloading since the last Pleistocene glaciation period and on local scales also due to present-day ice mass loss. It is noteworthy that none of the five GNSS stations are close to any of the large glaciers, so this effect, if present, is most likely negligible. At this time the largest vertical uplift is suggested for SOG3, which is located on Annenkov Island to the south of the main island.


The vertical rates for KEPA and KRSA are similar although the one for KRSA seems slightly larger. At this stage this difference might be an effect of the time series length rather than real differential movement. Nevertheless, this indicates that KRSA is fairly stable, complementing the levelling results.

The levelling results suggest subsidence of the tide gauge with respect to the stable benchmarks and KRSA. Looking at the height differences between the campaigns in 2013, 2014 and 2017 (Figure 8) one can compute a vertical rate for KEPGO-KEP-001 of -3,1 mm/yr for 2013,16-2017,25 (Figure 9) and for the tide board of -2,1 mm/yr for 2014,25-2017,25) i.e. 2-3 mm/yr. This suggests that the local subsidence of the jetty is at a similar magnitude, although opposite in sign, as the overall uplift estimate for South Georgia Island. Clearly, this would reduce the impact of the vertical land movements on the sea level estimates.



Altimetry Linear Trend

gure 8: Height differences for benchmarks observed during the 2013, 2014 and 2017 levelling campaigns. Analysis assumes KEP-3O-KEP-004 has been stable during the period. Uncertainties are 1-σ. It can be seen that KEPGO-KEP-001 subsided by 1,4 cm over the 4 year



mark KEPGO-KEP-001 for the four campaign epochs.with

While the original idea for this study was to reconcile the sea level information from satellite altimetry and the KEP tide gauge by use of the available vertical land movement estimates, this was not possible due to the shortness of the GNSS time series and the data period processed to date. Furthermore, it seems that the tide gauge record itself may need to be examined closely for discontinuities judging from the discrepancy in the sea level trends shown in Figure 10. With monitoring starting in 2013, there is no information on the regional uplift and local subsidence at the tide gauge before then. Needless to say that with current error bounds a szenario is emerging but no final conclusions can be drawn.

Figure 10: Sea level information from satellite altimetry (top panel) and KEP tide gauge (bottom panel) with trend estimates

Conclusions

We have presented a first and preliminary analysis of the present-day vertical land movements observed for South Georgia Island from a combination of GNSS and precise levelling results. The GNSS data stem from five stations covering the full extend of the island of which two stations are close to the tide gauge at King Edward Point. The analysis of the GNSS height time series suggests general uplift of the island of 2 to 4 mm/yr with the station on Annenkov Island to the south potentially showing a larger trend. Four levelling campaigns have been carried out since 2013. The results suggest that most benchmarks are stable except for those near the jetty, which are subsiding at a rate of -2 to -3 mm/yr. It can be argued that the general uplift is compensated for by local subsidence potentially leading to a no-net vertical land movements contribution to sea level measured by the tide gauge. The sea level data from the King Edward Point tide gauge and and a composite satellite altimetry record have been compared. In general the two time series agree well in their features but show a significant difference in the sea level rate. It seems that this difference cannot be explained by the observed vertical land movements and must be due to the shortness of the time series or inconsistencies in the sea level record of the tide gauge. To achieve the objectives and reconcile the sea level information, further analysis is necessary, employing besides the careful revision of the methodology, more GNSS, levelling and sea level data.

References

Altamimi, Z., et al (2007). ITRF2005: A new release of the International Terrestrial Reference Frame based on time series of station positions and Earth Orientation Parameters. JGR Barker, P. F. (2001). Scotia Sea regional tectonic evolution: implications for mantle flow and palaeocirculation. Earth-Sci Rev 55: 1-39. Bentley, M. J., et al. (2007). Glacial geomorphology and chronology of deglaciation, South Georgia, sub-Antarctic. Quaternary Sci Rev 26(5–6): 644-677.

Gordon, J. E., et al. (2008). Recent glacier changes and climate trends on South Georgia. Global Planetary Ch 60(1- 2): 72-84. Bos MS, et al (2012) Fast error analysis of continuous GNSS observations with missing data. J Geodesy 87(4):351–360. DOI 10.1007/s00190-012-0605-0 Dach, R., et al. (2015). Bernese GNSS Software Version 5.2 Astronomical Institute, University

Dow, J., et al. (2009). The International GNSS Service in a changing landscape of Global Navigation Satellite Systems. J Geodesy 83(3): 191-198. Graham, A. G. C., et al. (2008). A new bathymetric compilation highlighting extensive paleo-ice sheet drainage on the continental shelf, South Georgia, sub-Antarctica. GGG 9(7): Q07011. Holgate, S.J., et al. (2013) New Data Systems and Products at the Permanent Service for Mean Sea Level. J Coastal Res: 29(3), pp. 493 – 504. Petit, G. and B. Luzum, Eds. (2010). IERS Conventions (2010). Frankfurt am Main, Bundesamt für Kartographie und Geodäsie. Smalley, R., Jr., et al. (2007). "Scotia arc kinematics from GPS geodesy." GRL 34(21): L21308. Teferle, F. N., et al. (2014). The King Edward Point Geodetic Observatory, South Georgia, South

Download at: http://hdl.handle.net/10993/17029. White, D. A., et al., (2017). Was South Georgia covered by an ice cap during the Last Glacial Maximum? in Exploration of subsurface Antarctica: Uncovering Past Changes and Modern Processes, Geological Society, London Special Publications, 461.

Atlantic Ocean A First Evaluation and Potential Contributions to Geosciences. IAG Symposia.

Presented at: American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting 2017, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, December 10-15, 2017