

# Comparative Perspectives on Administrative Procedure

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS  
GLOBAL PAPERS SERIES

*Edited by*  
*Russell L. Weaver and Steven I. Friedland*

---

VOLUME I

Recent Developments in Administrative Law and  
Alternative Dispute Resolution

VOLUME II

Comparative Perspectives on Freedom of Expression

VOLUME III

Comparative Perspectives on Administrative Procedure

VOLUME IV

Privacy in a Digital Age

VOLUME V

Comparative Perspectives on Remedies

VOLUME VI

Cybersurveillance in a Post-Snowden World

# Comparative Perspectives on Administrative Procedure

---

GLOBAL PAPERS SERIES  
VOLUME III

Edited by

**Russell L. Weaver**

PROFESSOR OF LAW & DISTINGUISHED UNIVERSITY SCHOLAR  
UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE, LOUIS D. BRANDEIS SCHOOL OF LAW

**Herwig C.H. Hofmann**

PROFESSOR OF EUROPEAN & TRANSNATIONAL PUBLIC LAW & JEAN MONNET CHAIR  
UNIVERSITY OF LUXEMBOURG FACULTY OF LAW, ECONOMICS & FINANCE

**Cheng-Yi Huang**

ASSOCIATE RESEARCH PROFESSOR  
INSTITUTUM IURISPRUDENTIAE, ACADEMIA SINICA

**Steven I. Friedland**

PROFESSOR OF LAW & SENIOR SCHOLAR  
ELON UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS  
Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2017  
Carolina Academic Press, LLC  
All Rights Reserved.

**Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

Names: Weaver, Russell L., 1952-, editor.

Title: Comparative perspectives on administrative procedure / edited by  
Russell L. Weaver, Herwig C.H. Hofmann, Cheng-Yi Huang, and Steven I.  
Friedland.

Description: Durham, North Carolina : Carolina Academic Press, 2017. |  
Series: The global papers series ; volume iii | Contributions based on  
conference "Renovating administrative law." | Includes bibliographical  
references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2017014447 | ISBN 9781611638950 (alk. paper)

Subjects: LCSH: Administrative procedure--Congresses. | Administrative  
law--Congresses.

Classification: LCC K3402.A6 D48 2017 | DDC 342/.06--dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2017014447>

e-ISBN 978-1-53100-640-2

Carolina Academic Press, LLC  
700 Kent Street  
Durham, NC 27701  
Telephone (919) 489-7486  
Fax (919) 493-5668  
[www.cap-press.com](http://www.cap-press.com)

Printed in the United States of America

# Contents

<b>Series Note</b>	xi
<b>Introduction: Comparative Perspectives on Administrative Procedure</b>	3
<i>Russel L. Weaver, Herwig C.H. Hofmann, &amp; Cheng-Yi Huang</i>	
<b>Informalizing Informal Rulemaking</b>	9
<i>Richard Murphy</i>	
Introduction	9
I. The Fall from Notice-and-Comment Grace	12
A. Notice-and-comment rulemaking was easy.	12
B. Notice-and-comment rulemaking became really hard — and kind of formal.	15
II. Reinformalizing Informal Rulemaking	22
Conclusion	29
<b>Is the U.S. Supreme Court Becoming Hostile to the Administrative State?</b>	31
<i>Jeffrey S. Lubbers</i>	
Coda	49
<b>Renovating Administrative Procedure: An Impressionistic Guide to the American Experience</b>	51
<i>Peter L. Strauss</i>	
<b>Agency Coordination as Agency Action</b>	73
<i>Robert B. Ahdieh</i>	
Regulation as Coercion, and as Coordination	73
The Coercive Consequences of Coordination	77
Reassessing the Scope of Administrative Review	81

<b>Tradition and Renewal of Administrative <i>Ex Ante</i> Controls of Legality: The Case of <i>Toma de Razón</i> In Chile</b>	87
<i>Gabriel Bocksang Hola</i>	
I. The origins of <i>toma de razón</i>	89
II. The current system of <i>toma de razón</i>	93
a. The nature of <i>toma de razón</i>	94
b. The scope of <i>toma de razón</i>	95
c. The procedure of <i>toma de razón</i>	96
III. Assessment and legislative renovation of <i>toma de razón</i>	98
Conclusions	102
<b>A Misinterpretation or a Productive Diversion? The Rise and Fall of the “Relationship of Reciprocal Interchangeability” Concept and the Possibility of Reception of a Legal Interpretation</b>	105
<i>Narufumi Kadomatsu</i>	
I. Introduction	105
II. Background: The <i>Kunitachi</i> condominium conflict	106
III. Standing to sue	108
(a) Japanese case law on standing	108
(b) “Relationship of reciprocal interchangeability (RRI)”	109
(c) Application to the <i>Kunitachi</i> conflict	111
IV. Diversion of the RRI theory to civil litigation — A-3 Decision	112
V. Fading away of the RRI theory	114
(a) Supreme Court’s “simple and casual” recognition of “the interest in the landscape”	114
(b) “Reverse import” to standing in administrative litigation	116
VI. Reflections	116
(a) Reception-Diversion — Fading Away	116
(b) Micro-fit and macro-fit	118
(c) On “Diversion”	120
(d) Internal Perspective: Right to Claim for Maintaining the District Character ( <i>Gebietserhaltungsanspruch</i> )?	124
(e) External Perspective: Should we call RRI back to the front of the stage?	125
<b>Two Conundrums of Canadian Administrative Law and a Theoretical Turn</b>	129
<i>Hoi L. Kong</i>	
Part I. The State of Judicial Review in Canada: A Primer and Two Conundrums	130

Part II. A Snapshot of the Jurisprudence	132
1. Judicial Review of An Agency's Exercise of Statutory Interpretation	132
2. Judicial Review of Executive Branch Decisions Where no Reasons are Given	134
Part III. Theorizing Judicial Review	135
1. Dyzenhaus and Deference as Respect	135
2. Towards a Principled Interpretation of Correctness Review	137
3. Judicial Review's Constitutional Principles	141
A. <i>McLean</i> : Normative Diversity and Coherence	143
B. <i>Agraira</i> : Normative Diversity and Coherence	146
Conclusion	147
<b>Recent Developments in Administrative Law:</b>	
<b>The Tremors of March 9, 2015</b>	149
<i>Peter L. Strauss</i>	
I. <i>Department of Transportation v. Association of American Railroads</i>	149
II. <i>Perez, Secretary of Labor v. Mortgage Bankers Association</i>	156
<b>Proceeding Without an Administrative Procedure Act:</b>	
<b>The Australian Story</b>	163
<i>Margaret Allars</i>	
1. Administrative Procedure Act	164
2. Australia	168
3. Procedural Uniformity: Some Conclusions	179
<b>The Constitution as a Primary Administrative Procedure Act in Chilean Law</b>	181
<i>Gabriel Bocksang Hola</i>	
Introduction	181
I. The systematic function	182
II. The principal function	186
III. The suppletory function	190
Conclusion	194
<b>Administrative Searches and the Need for Reform</b>	197
<i>Russell L. Weaver</i>	
I. Administrative Authority to Search: Modern Judicial Interpretations	199
II. The Border Search Doctrine	202
III. NSA Data Collection	204
Conclusion	212

<b>Taking “Regulatory Courts” Seriously — A Perspective from Japanese City Planning Law</b>	213
<i>Narufumi Kadomatsu</i>	
1. Conceptual Issues	213
1.1 Regulation: A relative/reciprocal concept	213
1.2 Expanding functions of the judiciary?	215
2. Institutional backdrop: “legal dispute”	216
2.1 The concept of “legal dispute”	216
2.2 Administrative litigation as a “legal dispute”	216
2.2.1 “Legal dispute” as a gatekeeper: Subject matter (administrative disposition) and standing to sue	216
2.3 The concept of judiciary limited to judgments on “questions of law”	219
2.3.1 Administrative discretion and “questions of law”	220
2.3.2 Relativity of “questions of law”	220
2.4 Summary	222
3. Tug of war over the limits of the judiciary	222
3.1 Relativization of “gatekeeper” function	223
3.1.1 Administrative disposition	223
3.1.2 Standing to sue	224
3.2 Possibilities for more active intervention	225
3.2.1 Determination of facts and prognosis	225
3.2.2 Interpretation of law and “judgment making process control”	227
4. Conclusion	230
 <b>Chevron with Teeth: Judicial Deference and Information Elicitation</b>	 231
<i>Cheng-Yi Huang</i>	
1. Disarray or Disaggregation — Judicial deference in post- <i>Mead</i> Era	232
2. Unfolding Judicial Deference	239
2.1. Judicial Deference under Express Legislative Delegation	240
2.2. Judicial Deference under Implicit Legislative Delegation	242
3. Judicial Deference and the Elicitation of Information	246
3.1. Deference as Rule vs. Deference as Standard	246
3.2. Legal Mechanisms for Information Elicitation and Circulation in the Post- <i>Mead</i> Era	249
4. Conclusion	253



<b>The Proliferation of Administrative Searches in the Modern Administrative State</b>	255
<i>Russell L. Weaver</i>	
I. The Fourth Amendment and Administrative Searches During the Colonial Period	256
II. The Supreme Court and Administrative Inspections	259
III. The Conundrum Presented by the Court's Administrative Search Jurisprudence	261
IV. Proliferating Searches by Administrative Officials	266
Conclusion	269



## Series Note

The Global Papers Series involves publications of papers by nationally and internationally prominent legal scholars on a variety of important legal topics, including administrative law, freedom of expression, defamation and criminal law. The books in this series present the work of scholars from different nations who bring diverse perspectives to the issues under discussion.

