

# The splitting problem for complex homogeneous supermanifolds <sup>1</sup>

E.G. Vishnyakova

## Abstract

It is a classical result that any complex analytic Lie supergroup  $\mathcal{G}$  is split [5], that is its structure sheaf is isomorphic to the structure sheaf of a certain vector bundle. However, there do exist non-split complex analytic homogeneous supermanifolds.

We study the question how to find out whether a complex analytic homogeneous supermanifold is split or non-split. Our main result is a description of left invariant gradings on a complex analytic homogeneous supermanifold  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$  in the terms of  $\mathcal{H}$ -invariants. As a corollary to our investigations we get some simple sufficient conditions for a complex analytic homogeneous supermanifold to be split in terms of Lie algebras.

## 1 Introduction

A supermanifold is called split if its structure sheaf is isomorphic to the exterior power of a certain vector bundle. By Batchelor's Theorem any real supermanifold is non-canonically split. However, this is false in the complex analytic case. The property of a supermanifold to be split is very important for several reasons. For instance, in [2] it was shown that the moduli space of super Riemann surfaces is not projected (and in particular is not split) for genus  $g \geq 5$ . The physical meaning of this result is that [2]: "certain approaches to superstring perturbation theory that are very powerful in low orders have no close analog in higher orders". Another problem, when the property of a supermanifold to be split is very important, is the calculation of the cohomology group with values in a vector bundle over a supermanifold. In the split case we may use the well understood tools of complex analytic geometry. In the general case, several methods were suggested by Onishchik's school: spectral sequences, see e.g. [12]. All these methods connect the cohomology group with values in a vector bundle with the cohomology group with values in the corresponding split vector bundle.

---

<sup>1</sup>Supported by Max Planck Institute for Mathematics Bonn and AFR-grant, University of Luxembourg.

How do we determine whether a complex analytic supermanifold is split or non-split? Let me describe here some results in this direction that were obtained by Green, Koszul, Onishchik and Serov. In [3] Green described a moduli space with a marked point such that any non-marked point corresponds to a non-split supermanifold while the marked point corresponds to a split one. His idea was used for instance in [2]. The calculation of the Green moduli space is a difficult problem itself, and in many cases the method is difficult to apply. Furthermore, Onishchik and Serov [9, 10, 11] considered grading derivations, which correspond to  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradings of the structure sheaf of a supermanifold. For example, it was shown that almost all supergrassmannians do not possess such derivations, i.e. their structure sheaves do not possess any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradings. Hence, in particular, they are non-split. The idea of grading derivations was independently used by Koszul. In [4] the following statement was proved: if the tangent bundle of a supermanifold  $\mathcal{M}$  possesses a (holomorphic) connection then  $\mathcal{M}$  is split. (Koszul's proof works in real and complex analytic cases.) In fact, it was shown that we can assign a grading derivation to any supermanifold with a connection and that this grading derivation is induced by a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of a vector bundle.

Assume that a complex analytic supermanifold  $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$  is split. By definition this means that its structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  is isomorphic to  $\bigwedge \mathcal{E}$ , where  $\mathcal{E}$  is a locally free sheaf on the complex analytic manifold  $\mathcal{M}_0$ . The sheaf  $\bigwedge \mathcal{E}$  is naturally  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded and the isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} \simeq \bigwedge \mathcal{E}$  induces the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ . We call such gradings *split*. The main result of our paper is a description of those left invariant split gradings on a homogeneous superspace  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$  which are compatible with split gradings on  $\mathcal{G}$ . We also give sufficient conditions for pairs  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ , where  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } \mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie } \mathcal{H}$ , such that  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$  is split.

**Acknowledgment.** The author is grateful to A. Onishchik, V. Serganova, P. Teichner and R. Donagi for their attention to this work and anonymous referee for useful comments.

## 2 Complex analytic supermanifolds. Main definitions.

We will use the word "supermanifold" in the sense of Berezin and Leites, see [1], [7] and [8] for details. Throughout, we will be interested in the complex analytic version of the theory. Recall that a *complex analytic superdomain*

of dimension  $n|m$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded ringed space

$$\mathcal{U} = (U, \mathcal{F}_U \otimes \bigwedge(m)),$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_U$  is the sheaf of holomorphic functions on an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\bigwedge(m)$  is the exterior (or Grassmann) algebra with  $m$  generators. A *complex analytic supermanifold* of dimension  $n|m$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded ringed space that is locally isomorphic to a complex superdomain of dimension  $n|m$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$  be a complex analytic supermanifold and

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{M}} = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_{\bar{1}} + (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_{\bar{1}}^2$$

be the subsheaf of ideals generated by odd elements in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ . We put  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}/\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Then  $(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}})$  is a usual complex analytic manifold. It is called the *reduction* or *underlying space* of  $\mathcal{M}$ . We will write  $\mathcal{M}_0$  instead of  $(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}})$  for simplicity of notation. Morphisms of supermanifolds are just morphisms of the corresponding  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded ringed spaces. If  $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is a morphism of supermanifolds, then we denote by  $f_0$  the morphism of the underlying spaces  $\mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_0$  and by  $f^*$  the morphism of the structure sheaves  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow (f_0)_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ . If  $x \in \mathcal{M}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_x$  is the maximal ideal of the local superalgebra  $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_x$ , then the vector superspace  $T_x(\mathcal{M}) := (\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2)^*$  is the tangent space of  $\mathcal{M}$  at  $x \in \mathcal{M}_0$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$  the *tangent sheaf* or the *sheaf of vector fields* of  $\mathcal{M}$ . In other words,  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$  is the sheaf of derivations of the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Since the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded, the tangent sheaf  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$  is also  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded, i.e. there is the natural decomposition  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}} = (\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}})_{\bar{0}} \oplus (\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}})_{\bar{1}}$ , where

$$(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}})_{\bar{i}} := \left\{ v \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}} \mid v((\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_{\bar{j}}) \subset (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_{\bar{j}+\bar{i}} \right\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{M}_0$  be a complex analytic manifold and let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the sheaf of holomorphic sections of a vector bundle over  $\mathcal{M}_0$ . Then the ringed space  $(\mathcal{M}_0, \bigwedge \mathcal{E})$  is a supermanifold. In this case  $\dim \mathcal{M} = n|m$ , where  $n = \dim \mathcal{M}_0$  and  $m$  is the rank of the locally free sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Definition 1.** A supermanifold  $(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$  is called *split* if  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} \simeq \bigwedge \mathcal{E}$  for a locally free sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\mathcal{M}_0$ . The grading of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  induces by an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} \simeq \bigwedge \mathcal{E}$  and the natural  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of  $\bigwedge \mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_p \bigwedge^p \mathcal{E}$  is called *split grading*.

For example, all smooth supermanifolds are split by Batchelor's Theorem. In [4] it was shown that all complex analytic Lie supergroups are split too. In this paper we study the splitting problem for complex analytic homogeneous supermanifolds.

### 3 Lie supergroups and their homogeneous spaces

#### 3.1 Lie supergroups and super Harish-Chandra pairs.

A *Lie supergroup* is a group object in the category of supermanifolds, i.e. it is a supermanifold  $\mathcal{G}$  with three morphisms: the multiplication morphism, the inversion morphism and the identity morphism, which satisfy the usual conditions, modeling the group axioms. In this case the underlying space  $\mathcal{G}_0$  is a Lie group. The structure sheaf of a (complex analytic) Lie supergroup can be explicitly described in terms of the corresponding Lie superalgebra and underlying Lie group using super Harish-Chandra pairs (see [5] and [14] for more details). Let us describe this construction briefly.

**Definition 2.** A *super Harish-Chandra pair* is a pair  $(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathfrak{g})$  that consists of a Lie group  $\mathcal{G}_0$  and a Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$  such that  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} = \text{Lie } \mathcal{G}_0$  provided with a representation  $\text{Ad} : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$  of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that:

- $\text{Ad}$  preserves the parity and induces the adjoint representation of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ ;
- the differential  $(d \text{Ad})_e$  at the identity  $e \in \mathcal{G}_0$  coincides with the adjoint representation  $\text{ad}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

If a super Harish-Chandra pair  $(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathfrak{g})$  is given, it determines the Lie supergroup  $\mathcal{G}$  in the following way, see [5]. Let  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  be the universal enveloping superalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . It is clear that  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  is a  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}})$ -module, where  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}})$  is the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ . Recall that we denote by  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}$  the structure sheaf of the manifold  $\mathcal{G}_0$ . The natural action of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$  on the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}$  gives rise to a structure of  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}})$ -module on  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}(U)$  for any open set  $U \subset \mathcal{G}_0$ . Putting

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}(U) = \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}})}(\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}(U))$$

for every open  $U \subset \mathcal{G}_0$ , we get a sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded vector spaces. (Here we assume that the functions in  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}(U)$  are even.) The enveloping superalgebra  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  has a Hopf superalgebra structure. Using this structure we can define the product of elements from  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$  becomes a sheaf of superalgebras, see [5] and [14] for details. A supermanifold structure on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$  is determined by the isomorphism  $\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Lambda(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}), \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0})$ ,  $f \mapsto f \circ \gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}$ , where

$$\gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} : \bigwedge(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}), \quad X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_r \mapsto \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} (-1)^{|\sigma|} X_{\sigma(1)} \cdots X_{\sigma(r)}. \quad (1)$$

The following formulas define the multiplication morphism, the inversion morphism and the identity morphism respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^*(f)(X \otimes Y)(g, h) &= f(\text{Ad}(h^{-1})(X) \cdot Y)(gh); \\ \iota^*(f)(X)(g) &= f(\text{Ad}(g)(S(X)))(g^{-1}); \\ \varepsilon^*(f) &= f(1)(e).\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

Here  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$ ,  $g, h \in \mathcal{G}_0$  and  $S$  is the antipode map of the Hopf superalgebra  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Here we identify the enveloping superalgebra  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g})$  with the tensor product  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

Sometimes we will identify the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of a Lie supergroup  $\mathcal{G}$  with the tangent space  $T_e(\mathcal{G})$  at  $e \in \mathcal{G}_0$ . The corresponding to  $T \in T_e(\mathcal{G})$  left invariant vector field on  $\mathcal{G}$  is given by

$$(\text{id} \otimes T) \circ \mu^*,\tag{3}$$

where  $\mu$  is the multiplication morphism of  $\mathcal{G}$ . (Recall that a vector field  $Y$  on  $\mathcal{G}$  is called *left invariant* if  $(\text{id} \otimes Y) \circ \mu^* = \mu^* \circ Y$ .) Denote by  $l_g$  and by  $r_g$  the left and right translations with respect to  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$ , respectively. The morphisms  $l_g$  and  $r_g$  are given by the following formulas:

$$l_g^*(f)(X)(h) = f(X)(gh); \quad r_g^*(f)(X)(h) = f(\text{Ad}(g^{-1})X)(hg),\tag{4}$$

where  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $g, h \in \mathcal{G}_0$ .

### 3.2 Homogeneous supermanifolds.

An *action of a Lie supergroup  $\mathcal{G}$  on a supermanifold  $\mathcal{M}$*  is a morphism  $\nu : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  such that the usual conditions modeling group action axioms hold. Any vector  $X \in T_e(\mathcal{G})$  defines the vector field on  $\mathcal{M}$  by the following formula:

$$X \mapsto (X \otimes \text{id}) \circ \nu^*.\tag{5}$$

**Definition 3.** An action  $\nu$  is called *transitive* if  $\nu_0$  is a transitive action of the Lie group  $\mathcal{G}_0$  on  $\mathcal{M}_0$  and the vector fields (5) generates the tangent space  $T_x(\mathcal{M})$  at any point  $x \in \mathcal{M}_0$ . In this case the supermanifold  $\mathcal{M}$  is called  $\mathcal{G}$ -homogeneous. A supermanifold  $\mathcal{M}$  is called *homogeneous*, if it possesses a transitive action of a Lie supergroup.

If a supermanifold  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -homogeneous and  $\nu : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is the corresponding transitive action, then  $\mathcal{M}$  is isomorphic to the supermanifold  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is the isotropy subsupergroup of a certain point (see [16] for

details). Recall that the underlying space of  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$  is the complex analytic manifold  $\mathcal{G}_0/\mathcal{H}_0$  and the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}}$  of  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$  is given by

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}} = \left\{ f \in (\pi_0)_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}) \mid \mu_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^*(f) = \text{pr}^*(f) \right\}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\pi_0 : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0/\mathcal{H}_0$  is the natural map,  $\mu_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}$  is the restriction of the multiplication map on  $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}$  and  $\text{pr} : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is the natural projection. Using (2) we can rewrite the condition  $\mu_{\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}}^*(f) = \text{pr}^*(f)$  in the following way:

$$f \left( \text{Ad}(h^{-1})(X)Y \right) (gh) = \begin{cases} f(X)(g), & Y \in \mathbb{C}; \\ 0, & Y \notin \mathbb{C}; \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where  $X \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $Y \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ ,  $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie } \mathcal{H}$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$  and  $h \in \mathcal{H}_0$ .

Let  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$ . Then the operator defined by the formula

$$Y(f)(X) = (-1)^{p(Y)} f(XY), \quad (8)$$

where  $p(Y)$  is the parity of  $Y$ , is a left invariant vector field on  $\mathcal{G}$ . From (4), (7) and (8) it follows that

$f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}}$  if and only if  $f$  is  $\mathcal{H}_0$ -right invariant, i.e.  $r_h^*(f) = f$  for any  $h \in \mathcal{H}_0$ , and  $Y(f) = 0$  for all  $Y \in \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}$ , where  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}$ .

Sometimes we will consider also the left action  $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  of a subsupergroup  $\mathcal{H}$  on a Lie supergroup  $\mathcal{G}$ . The corresponding quotient supermanifold we will denote by  $\mathcal{H} \backslash \mathcal{G}$ .

### 3.3 More about split supermanifolds.

Recall that a supermanifold  $\mathcal{M}$  is called split if its structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  is isomorphic to  $\bigwedge \mathcal{E}$ , where  $\mathcal{E}$  is a locally free sheaf on  $\mathcal{M}_0$ . In this case,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  possesses the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading induced by the natural  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of  $\bigwedge \mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_p \bigwedge^p \mathcal{E}$  and by isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} \simeq \bigwedge \mathcal{E}$ . Such gradings of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  we call split.

**Proposition 1.** *Any Lie supergroup  $\mathcal{G}$  is split.*

This statement follows from the fact that any Lie supergroup is determined by its super Harish-Chandra pair. A different proof of this result (probably the first one) was given in [4]. For completeness we give here another proof.

*Proof.* The underlying space  $\mathcal{G}_0$  is a closed Lie subsupergroup of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Hence, there exists the homogeneous space  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_0$ , which is isomorphic to the supermanifold  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{N}_0$  is a point  $\text{pt} = \mathcal{G}_0/\mathcal{G}_0$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}} \simeq \bigwedge(m)$ , where  $m = \dim \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ . By definition, the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}}$  consists of all

$r_g$ -invariant functions,  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$ . We have the natural map  $\varphi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_0$ , where  $\varphi_0 : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \text{pt}$  and  $\varphi^* : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow (\varphi_0)_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}})$  is the inclusion. It is known that  $\varphi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_0$  is a principal bundle (see [16]). Using the fact that the underlying space of  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_0$  is a point we get  $\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{G}_0$ . Note that this is an isomorphism of supermanifolds but not of Lie supergroups.  $\square$

**Example 1.** As an example of a homogeneous non-split supermanifold we can cite the super-grassmannian  $\mathbf{Gr}_{m|n,r|s}$  for  $0 < r < m$  and  $0 < s < n$ . Super-grassmannians of other types are split (see Example 3).

Denote by  $\mathbf{SSM}$  the category of split supermanifolds. Objects  $\text{Ob } \mathbf{SSM}$  in this category are all split supermanifolds  $\mathcal{M}$  with fixed split gradings. Further if  $X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathbf{SSM}$ , we put

$$\text{Hom}(X, Y) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{morphisms from } X \text{ to } Y \\ \text{preserving the split gradings} \end{array} \right\}$$

As in the category of supermanifolds, we can define in  $\mathbf{SSM}$  a group object (*split Lie supergroup*), an action of a split Lie supergroup on a split supermanifold (*split action*) and a *split homogeneous supermanifold*.

There is a functor  $\text{gr}$  from the category of supermanifolds to the category of split supermanifolds. Let us briefly describe this construction. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a supermanifold. Denote by  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{M}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  the subsheaf of ideals generated by odd elements of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Then by definition  $\text{gr } \mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}_0, \text{gr } \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$  is the split supermanifold with the structure sheaf

$$\text{gr } \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} (\text{gr } \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_p, \quad \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{M}}^0 := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}, \quad (\text{gr } \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_p := \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{M}}^p / \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{M}}^{p+1}.$$

In this case  $(\text{gr } \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_1$  is a locally free sheaf and there is a natural isomorphism of  $\text{gr } \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  onto  $\bigwedge (\text{gr } \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_1$ . If  $\psi = (\psi_0, \psi^*) : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is a morphism, then  $\text{gr}(\psi) = (\psi_0, \text{gr}(\psi^*)) : \text{gr } \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{gr } \mathcal{N}$  is defined by

$$\text{gr}(\psi^*)(f + \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{N}}^p) := \psi^*(f) + \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{M}}^p \text{ for } f \in (\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{N}})^{p-1}.$$

Recall that by definition every morphism of supermanifolds is even and as a consequence sends  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{N}}^p$  into  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{M}}^p$ .

### 3.4 Split Lie supergroups.

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a Lie supergroup with the supergroup morphisms  $\mu$ ,  $\iota$  and  $\varepsilon$ : the multiplication, the inversion and the identity morphism, respectively. In this section we assign three split Lie supergroups  $\mathcal{G}^1$ ,  $\mathcal{G}^2$  and  $\mathcal{G}^3$  to  $\mathcal{G}$  and we show that these split Lie supergroups are pairwise isomorphic.

(1) The construction of  $\mathcal{G}^1$  is very simple: we just apply functor  $\text{gr}$  to  $\mathcal{G}$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{G}^1 := \text{gr } \mathcal{G}$  is a split Lie supergroup with the supergroup morphisms  $\text{gr}(\mu)$ ,  $\text{gr}(\iota)$  and  $\text{gr}(\varepsilon)$ .

(2) Consider the super Harish-Chandra pair  $(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathfrak{g}^2)$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}^2$  is the following Lie superalgebra:  $\mathfrak{g}^2$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  are isomorphic as vector superspaces and the Lie bracket in  $\mathfrak{g}^2$  is defined by the following formula:

$$[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{g}^2} = \begin{cases} [X, Y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, & \text{if } X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \text{ or } X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \text{ and } Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}; \\ 0, & \text{if } X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{G}^2$  the Lie supergroup corresponding to  $(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathfrak{g}^2)$ .

(3) Consider the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}^3} := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0})$ . For the ringed space  $\mathcal{G}^3 := (\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}^3})$  we can repeat the construction from Section 3.1. Indeed, this ringed space is clearly a supermanifold. Furthermore, the exterior algebra  $\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$  is also a Hopf algebra. Therefore, we can define on  $\mathcal{G}^3$  the multiplication, the inversion and the identity morphisms respectively by the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu^3)^*(f)(X \wedge Y)(g, h) &= f(\text{Ad}(h^{-1})(X) \wedge Y)(gh); \\ (\iota^3)^*(f)(X)(g) &= f(\text{Ad}(g)(S'(X)))(g^{-1}); \\ (\varepsilon^3)^*(f) &= f(1)(e). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Here  $X, Y \in \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ ,  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0})$ ,  $g, h \in \mathcal{G}_0$  and  $S'$  is the antipode map of the Hopf superalgebra  $\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{G}^3 := (\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}^3})$  is a Lie supergroup. Since

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\bigwedge^p \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0})$$

is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded and the morphisms (10) preserve this  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading, we see that  $\mathcal{G}^3$  is a split Lie supergroup.

Later on we will need the explicit expression of left and right translations  $l'_g$  and  $r'_g$  in  $\mathcal{G}^3$ :

$$(l'_g)^*(f)(X)(h) = f(X)(gh); \quad (r'_g)^*(f)(X)(h) = f(\text{Ad}(g^{-1})X)(hg), \quad (11)$$

where  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0})$ ,  $X \in \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$  and  $g, h \in \mathcal{G}_0$ .

In fact, all these split Lie supergroups are isomorphic. To show this we need the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{k}$  be a Lie superalgebra and  $X_i, Y_j \in \mathfrak{k}_{\bar{1}}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, s$  be any elements. Assume that  $[X_i, Y_j] = 0$  for any  $i, j$ . Then we have*

$$\gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}(X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_r \wedge Y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge Y_s) = \gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}(X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_r) \cdot \gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}(Y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge Y_s),$$

where  $\gamma_{\mathfrak{k}}$  is given by (1).

*Proof.* A direct calculation.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *We have  $\mathcal{G}^1 \simeq \mathcal{G}^2 \simeq \mathcal{G}^3$  in the category of Lie supergroups.*

*Proof. (a)* The statement  $\mathcal{G}^1 \simeq \mathcal{G}^2$  was proven in [15], Theorem 3.

**(b)** Let us show that  $\mathcal{G}^2 \simeq \mathcal{G}^3$ . Applying Lemma 1 to  $\mathfrak{g}^2$  and to any elements  $X_i, Y_j \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^2$ , we see that in this case  $\gamma_{\mathfrak{g}^2}$  is not only isomorphism of super coalgebras but of Hopf superalgebras. In other words, the isomorphism

$$\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}^2} : \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0^2)}(\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}^2), \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0})$$

is an isomorphism of Lie supergroups.  $\square$

## 4 Split grading operators

Let again  $\mathcal{M}$  be a supermanifold,  $\text{gr } \mathcal{M}$  be the corresponding split supermanifold and  $\mathcal{J}$  be the sheaf of ideals generated by odd elements of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}er\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  and by  $\text{gr } \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}er(\mathcal{O}_{\text{gr } \mathcal{M}})$  the tangent sheaf of  $\mathcal{M}$  and of  $\text{gr } \mathcal{M}$ , respectively. The sheaf  $\mathcal{T}$  is naturally  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded and the sheaf  $\text{gr } \mathcal{T}$  is naturally  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded: the gradings are induced by the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\text{gr } \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ , respectively. In other words, we have the decomposition:

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathcal{T}_{\bar{1}}, \quad \text{gr } \mathcal{T} = \bigoplus_{p \geq -1} (\text{gr } \mathcal{T})_p.$$

The sheaves  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\text{gr } \mathcal{T}$  are related: this relation can be expressed by the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{(2)\bar{0}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\bar{0}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} (\text{gr } \mathcal{T})_0 \longrightarrow 0, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}_{(2)\bar{0}} = \{v \in \mathcal{T}_{\bar{0}} \mid v(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}) \subset \mathcal{J}^2\}.$$

The morphism  $\alpha$  in (12) is the composition of the natural morphism  $\mathcal{T}_{\bar{0}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\bar{0}}/\mathcal{T}_{(2)\bar{0}}$  and the isomorphism  $\mathcal{T}_{\bar{0}}/\mathcal{T}_{(2)\bar{0}} \rightarrow (\text{gr } \mathcal{T})_0$  that is given by

$$[w] \longmapsto \tilde{w}, \quad \tilde{w}(f + \mathcal{J}^{p+1}) := w(f) + \mathcal{J}^{p+1},$$

where  $w \in \mathcal{T}_{\bar{0}}$ ,  $[w]$  is the image of  $w$  in  $\mathcal{T}_{\bar{0}}/\mathcal{T}_{(2)\bar{0}}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{J}^p$ .

Assume that the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded, i.e.  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} = \bigoplus_p (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_p$ . Then we have the map  $w : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  defined by  $w(f) = pf$ , where  $f \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_p$ . Such maps are called *grading operators* on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Definition 4.** We call a grading operator  $w$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  a *split grading operator* if it corresponds to a split grading of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ , see Definition 1.

In fact any split grading operator  $w$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  is an even vector field on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Indeed,  $w$  is linear, it preserves the parity in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  and for  $f \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_p$  and  $g \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_q$  we have:

$$w(fg) = (p+q)fg = (pf)g + f(qg) = w(f)g + fw(g).$$

Note that  $fg \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_{p+q}$ .

By definition the sheaf  $\text{gr } \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded. Denote by  $a$  the corresponding split grading operator.

**Lemma 2.** 1. A supermanifold  $\mathcal{M}$  is split if and only if the vector field  $a$  is contained in  $\text{Im } H^0(\alpha)$ , where

$$H^0(\alpha) : H^0(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{T}_{\bar{0}}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{M}_0, (\text{gr } \mathcal{T})_0).$$

(We applied the functor  $H^0(\mathcal{M}_0, -)$  to the sequence (12). We write  $H^0(\alpha)$  instead of  $H^0(\mathcal{M}_0, \alpha)$  for notational simplicity.)

2. If  $w$  is a split grading operator on  $\mathcal{M}$ , then any other split grading operator on  $\mathcal{M}$  has the form  $w + \chi$ , where  $\chi \in H^0(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{T}_{(2)\bar{0}})$ .

*Proof.* 1. The statement of the lemma can be deduced from the following observation made by Koszul in [4, Lemma 1.1 and Section 3]. Let  $A$  be a commutative superalgebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a nilpotent ideal in  $A$ . An even derivation  $w$  of  $A$  is called *adapted to the filtration*

$$A \supset \mathfrak{m} \supset \mathfrak{m}^2 \dots$$

if

$$(w - r \text{id})(\mathfrak{m}^r) \subset \mathfrak{m}^{r+1} \text{ for any } r \geq 0.$$

Denote by  $D_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{ad}}$  the set of all derivations adapted to  $\mathfrak{m}$ . In [4, Lemma 1.1] it was shown that  $D_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{ad}}$  is not empty if and only if the filtration of  $A$  is splittable. Moreover, if  $w \in D_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{ad}}$ , then the corresponding splitting of  $A$  is given by eigenspaces of the derivation  $w$ :  $A = \bigoplus_i A_i$ , where  $A_i$  is the eigenspace of  $w$  with the eigenvalue  $i$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}^r = A_r \oplus \mathfrak{m}^{r+1}$  for all  $r \geq 0$ .

We apply Koszul's observation to the sheaf of superalgebras  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  and its subsheaf of ideals  $\mathcal{J}$ . The set  $D_{\mathcal{J}}^{\text{ad}}$  is in this case the set of global derivations of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  adapted to the filtration

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} \supset \mathcal{J} \supset \mathcal{J}^2 \supset \dots \quad (13)$$

Clearly,  $D_{\mathcal{J}}^{\text{ad}}$  is not empty if and only if  $a$  is contained in  $\text{Im } H^0(\alpha)$ . (Actually,  $H^0(\alpha)(D_{\mathcal{J}}^{\text{ad}}) = a$ .) Furthermore, if the supermanifold  $\mathcal{M}$  is split, i.e.

we have a split grading  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_p$ , then  $\mathcal{J}^q = \bigoplus_{p \geq q} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_p$  and  $\mathcal{J}^q = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_q \oplus \mathcal{J}^{q+1}$ . Hence, the split grading determine the splitting of the filtration (13) and the corresponding split grading operator belongs to  $D_{\mathcal{J}}^{ad}$ .

Conversely, if there exists  $w \in D_{\mathcal{J}}^{ad}$ , then we can decompose the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  into eigenspaces

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_q := \{f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} \mid w(f) = qf\}.$$

In this case the sheaves  $\bigoplus_p (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_p$  and  $\text{gr } \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  are isomorphic as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded sheaves of superalgebras since  $\mathcal{J}^q = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_q \oplus \mathcal{J}^{q+1}$ . Hence, the supermanifold is split.

2. Applying the left-exact functor  $H^0(\mathcal{M}_0, -)$  to (12), we get the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{T}_{(2)\bar{0}}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{T}_{\bar{0}}) \xrightarrow{H^0(\alpha)} H^0(\mathcal{M}_0, (\text{gr } \mathcal{T})_0).$$

If  $w_1, w_2$  are two split grading operators on  $\mathcal{M}$ , then

$$H^0(\alpha)(w_1) = H^0(\alpha)(w_2) = a,$$

according to the part 1. Therefore,  $w_1 - w_2 \in H^0(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{T}_{(2)\bar{0}})$ . The result follows.  $\square$

**Example 2.** Consider the supermanifold  $\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}$ . Its structure sheaf is isomorphic to  $\bigwedge(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}})$  (compare with Example 1). Denote by  $(\varepsilon_i)$  the system of odd (global) coordinates on  $\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}$ . An example of a split grading operator on the Lie supergroup  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $\sum \varepsilon^i X_i$ . Here  $(X_i)$  is a basis of odd left invariant vector fields on  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $X_i(\varepsilon^j)(e) = \delta_i^j$ . We may produce other examples if we use right invariant vector fields or odd (global) coordinates on  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_0$ .

By Lemma 2, any split grading operator on a Lie supergroup  $\mathcal{G}$  is given by  $\sum \varepsilon^i X_i + \chi$ , where  $\chi \in H^0(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{T}_{(2)\bar{0}})$  is any vector field on  $\mathcal{G}$ .

## 5 Compatible split gradings on $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$

### 5.1 Compatible gradings on $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a Lie supergroup and  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$  be a homogeneous supermanifold. As above we denote by  $\pi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$  the natural projection.

**Definition 5.** A split grading of the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}} = \bigoplus_p (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}})_p$  is called *compatible* with the inclusion  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} \subset (\pi_0)_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}})$  if the following holds:

$$f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} \Rightarrow f_p \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} \text{ for all } p,$$

where  $f = \sum f_p$  and  $f_p \in (\pi_0)_*((\mathcal{O}_G)_p)$ .

Let us take any split grading operator  $w$  on  $\mathcal{G}$ . Clearly, the corresponding split grading of  $\mathcal{O}_G$  is compatible with  $\mathcal{O}_M$  if and only if  $w(\mathcal{O}_M) \subset \mathcal{O}_M$ . It is not clear from Definition 5 that the compatible grading

$$(\mathcal{O}_M)_p = \mathcal{O}_M \cap (\pi_0)_*((\mathcal{O}_G)_p) \quad (14)$$

of  $\mathcal{O}_M$ , if it exists, is a split grading of  $\mathcal{O}_M$ . However, the following proposition holds:

**Proposition 3.** *Assume that we have the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading:*

$$\mathcal{O}_M = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} (\mathcal{O}_M)_p,$$

where  $(\mathcal{O}_M)_p$  are as in (14). Then this grading is a split grading.

*Proof.* The idea of the proof is to apply Lemma 2 to the grading operator  $w' := w|_{\mathcal{O}_M}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{J}_M$  and by  $\mathcal{J}_G$  the sheaves of ideals generated by odd elements of  $\mathcal{O}_M$  and  $\mathcal{O}_G$ , respectively. Our aim is to show that

$$w'(f) + \mathcal{J}_M^{p+1} = pf + \mathcal{J}_M^{p+1},$$

where  $f \in \mathcal{J}_M^p$ . In other words, we want to show that  $H^0(\alpha)(w')$  is a split grading operator for the grading of  $\text{gr } \mathcal{O}_M$ . (We use notations of Lemma 2.) We have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{gr } \pi)^*(w'(f) + \mathcal{J}_M^{p+1}) &= w(f) + \mathcal{J}_G^{p+1} = pf + \mathcal{J}_G^{p+1}; \\ (\text{gr } \pi)^*(pf + \mathcal{J}_M^{p+1}) &= pf + \mathcal{J}_G^{p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the map  $(\text{gr } \pi)^*$  is injective, we get,  $w'(f) + \mathcal{J}_M^{p+1} = pf + \mathcal{J}_M^{p+1}$ .  $\square$

## 5.2 $\mathcal{H}$ -invariant split grading operators.

First of all let us consider the situation when a split grading operator  $w$  on  $\mathcal{G}$  is invariant with respect to a Lie subsupergrroup  $\mathcal{H}$ . In terms of super Harish-Chandra pairs this means:

$$\begin{aligned} r_h^* \circ w &= w \circ r_h^*, \quad \text{for all } h \in \mathcal{H}_0; \\ [Y, w] &= 0, \quad \text{for all } Y \in \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Here  $(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathfrak{h})$  is the super Harish-Chandra pair of  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $r_h$  is the right translation and  $Y$  is an odd left invariant vector field.

**Proposition 4.** *Assume that  $w$  is an  $\mathcal{H}$ -invariant split grading operator on  $\mathcal{G}$ , i.e. equations (15) hold. Then  $\mathcal{H}$  is an ordinary Lie group.*

*Proof.* The idea of the proof is to show that the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  has the trivial odd part:  $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}} = \{0\}$ .

In Example 2 we saw that any split grading operator on  $\mathcal{G}$  is given by  $w = \sum \varepsilon^i X_i + \chi$ . If  $Z$  is a vector field on  $\mathcal{G}$ , denote by  $Z_e \in T_e(\mathcal{G})$  the corresponding tangent vector at the identity  $e \in \mathcal{G}_0$ . Consider the second equation in (15). At the point  $e$ , we have

$$[Y, w]_e = \left( \sum_i Y(\varepsilon^i) X_i - \sum_i \varepsilon^i Y \circ X_i - \sum_i \varepsilon^i X_i \circ Y + [Y, \chi] \right)_e = 0$$

for any  $Y \in \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}$ . Furthermore,

$$\left( \sum_i \varepsilon^i Y \circ X_i - \sum_i \varepsilon^i X_i \circ Y \right)_e = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [Y, \chi]_e = 0,$$

because  $\varepsilon^i(e) = 0$  and because  $\chi \in H^0(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{T}_{(2)\bar{0}})$ . Therefore,

$$[Y, w]_e = \sum_i Y(\varepsilon^i)(e)(X_i)_e = 0$$

The tangent vectors  $(X_i)_e$  form a basis in  $T_e(\mathcal{G})_{\bar{1}}$ , hence  $Y(\varepsilon^i)(e) = 0$  for all  $i$ . The last statement is equivalent to  $Y_e = 0$ . Since  $Y$  is a left invariant vector field, we get  $Y = 0$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark.** It is well known that the supermanifold  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is an ordinary Lie group, is split (see [5] or [14]). Therefore, the case of  $\mathcal{H}$ -invariant split grading operators does not lead to new examples of homogeneous split supermanifolds.

### 5.3 $\mathcal{G}_0$ -left invariant split grading operators.

Consider now a more general situation, when a split grading operator  $w$  leaves  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  invariant. Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Then  $w(f) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  if and only if

$$r_h^*(w(f)) = w(f) \quad \text{and} \quad Y(w(f)) = 0$$

for  $h \in \mathcal{H}_0$  and  $Y \in \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}$ . These conditions are equivalent to the following ones:

$$(r_h^* \circ w \circ (r_h^{-1})^* - w)|_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}} = 0; \quad [Y, w]|_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}} = 0. \quad (16)$$

Recall that  $r_h^{-1} = r_{h^{-1}}$ .

It seems to us that the system (16) is hard to solve in general. Consider now a special type of split grading operators, called  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -left invariant grading operators.

**Definition 6.** A split grading of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$  is called  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -left invariant if it is invariant with respect to left translations. In other words, from  $f \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}})_p$  it follows that  $l_g^*(f) \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}})_p$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$ .

It is easy to see that a split grading of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$  is  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -left invariant if and only if the corresponding split grading operator  $w$  is invariant with respect to left translations:  $l_g^* \circ w = w \circ l_g^*$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$ . For example, the split grading operator  $\sum \varepsilon^i X_i$  constructed in Example 2 is a  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -left invariant split grading operator, because  $\varepsilon^i$  are  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -left invariant functions and  $X_i$  are left invariant vector fields. In this section we will describe all such operators.

In Section 3.4 we have seen that the supermanifold  $(\mathcal{G}_0, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}))$  is a Lie supergroup isomorphic to  $\text{gr } \mathcal{G}$ . We need the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.** *The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}} &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}), \\ f &\mapsto f \circ \gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} \end{aligned}$$

from Section 3.1 is invariant with respect to left and right translations.

*Proof.* For any  $h \in \mathcal{G}_0$ , denote by  $r'_h$  and  $l'_h$  the right and the left translation in the Lie supergroup  $\mathcal{G}^3 = (\mathcal{G}_0, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}))$ , respectively. (See, (11)) Let us show that

$$(r'_h)^* \circ \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}} = \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ r_h^*. \quad (17)$$

Let us take  $Z \in \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$  and  $g, h \in \mathcal{G}_0$ . Using (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} [(r'_h)^* \circ \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}](f)(Z)(g) &= \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(f)(\text{Ad}(h^{-1})(Z))(gh) = \\ &= f(\gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{Ad}(h^{-1})(Z)))(gh) = f(\text{Ad}(h^{-1})(\gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}(Z)))(gh) = \\ &= r_h^*(f)(\gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}(Z))(g) = [\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ r_h^*](f)(Z)(g). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$(l'_h)^* \circ \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}} = \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ l_h^*.$$

□

The following observation is known to experts, but we cannot find it in the literature:

**Lemma 4.** *The space of  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -left invariant vector fields  $H^0(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{T})^{\mathcal{G}_0}$  on a Lie supergroup  $\mathcal{G}$  is isomorphic to  $H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ . The isomorphism is given by:*

$$f \otimes Z \xrightarrow{F} fZ,$$

where  $f \in H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}})$  and  $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

*Proof.* Clearly, the map  $F$  is injective and its image is contained in the vector space  $H^0(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{T})^{\mathcal{G}_0}$ . Let us show that any vector field  $v$  in  $H^0(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{T})^{\mathcal{G}_0}$  is contained in  $\text{Im}(F)$ .

Let  $(X_i)$  and  $(Z_j)$  be a basis of odd and even left invariant (with respect to the supergroup  $\mathcal{G}$ ) vector fields on  $\mathcal{G}$ , respectively. Assume that

$$v = \sum f^i X_i + \sum g^j Z_j,$$

where  $f^i, g^j \in H^0(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}})$ , be the decomposition of  $v$  with respect to this basis. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} l_g^* \circ v &= \sum l_g^*(f^i) l_g^* \circ X_i + \sum l_g^*(g^j) l_g^* \circ Z_j = \\ &= \sum l_g^*(f^i) X_i \circ l_g^* + \sum l_g^*(g^j) Z_j \circ l_g^* = v \circ l_g^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $l_g^*(f^i) = f^i$  and  $l_g^*(g^j) = g^j$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$ . In other words,  $f^i, g^j \in H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}})$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

The Lie supergroup  $\mathcal{G}$  acts on the vector superspace  $H^0(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{T})^{\mathcal{G}_0}$ . This action we can describe in terms of the corresponding super Harish-Chandra pair  $(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathfrak{g})$  in the following way:

$$g \mapsto (X \mapsto r_g^* \circ X \circ (r_g^{-1})^*), \quad Y \mapsto (X \mapsto [Y, X]), \quad (18)$$

where  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$ ,  $X \in H^0(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{T})^{\mathcal{G}_0}$  and  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Note that this action is well-defined because  $\mathcal{G}$ -left and right actions on  $H^0(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{T})$  commute. The Lie supergroup  $\mathcal{G}$  acts also on the vector superspace  $H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ . This action is given by right translations  $r_g^*$  on  $H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}})$  and by the formulas (18) on  $\mathfrak{g}$  if we assume that  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Clearly, the isomorphism  $F$  from Lemma 4 is equivariant. From now on we will identify  $H^0(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{T})^{\mathcal{G}_0}$  and  $H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  via isomorphism  $F$  from Lemma 4.

If  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Lie subsupergrup of  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie } \mathcal{H}$  then  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$  is an  $\mathcal{H}$ -module.

**Lemma 5.** *Let us take a  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -left invariant split grading operator  $w$ . The vector field  $w$  satisfies (16) if and only if*

$$\bar{w} \in (H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^{\mathcal{H}}, \quad (19)$$

where  $\bar{w}$  is the image of  $w$  by the natural mapping

$$H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{w} \in (H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^{\mathcal{H}}$ . It follows that

$$r_h^* \circ w \circ (r_h^{-1})^* - w \in H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \otimes \mathfrak{h}, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}_0,$$

and

$$[Y, w] \in H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \otimes \mathfrak{h}, \quad Y \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

Hence, the conditions (16) are satisfied.

On the other hand, if the conditions (16) are satisfied, then the vector fields  $r_h^* \circ w \circ (r_h^{-1})^* - w$  and  $[Y, w]$  are vertical with respect to the projection  $\pi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$ . Therefore,  $r_h^* \circ w \circ (r_h^{-1})^* - w$  and  $[Y, w]$  belong to the superspace  $H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ . It is equivalent to conditions (19).  $\square$

Now our aim is to describe the space  $(H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^{\mathcal{H}_0}$ . We have seen in Proposition 1 that the superspace  $H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}})$  is isomorphic to  $\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^*$ . Actually this isomorphism can be chosen in  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -equivariant way. More precisely, we need the following lemma.

**Proposition 5. a.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \otimes \mathfrak{g} &\simeq \bigwedge (\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^*) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \quad \text{as } \mathcal{G}_0\text{-modules,} \\ H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} &\simeq \bigwedge (\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^*) \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} \quad \text{as } \mathcal{H}_0\text{-modules,} \end{aligned}$$

where the action of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  on  $\bigwedge (\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^*)$  is standard.

**b.** *There exists a  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -left and right invariant split grading operator on  $\mathcal{G}$ .*

*Proof.* **a.** We have to show that there exists an  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \xrightarrow{\beta} \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^*.$$

Then the map  $\beta \otimes \text{id}$  will provide the required isomorphism of  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -modules. Consider the Lie supergroup

$$\mathcal{G}^3 = (\mathcal{G}_0, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}_0}))$$

from Section 3.4. It follows from (4) that

$$H^0(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}^3}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, \mathbb{C}) = (\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}})^*.$$

Note that the action of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  on  $(\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}})^*$  by right translations in  $\mathcal{G}^3$ , denoted by  $(r'_g)^*$ , coincides with the standard action of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  on  $(\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}})^*$ . Indeed, let us take

$$f \in H^0(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}^3})^{\mathcal{G}_0} = (\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}})^*.$$

By (11), we have:

$$(r'_g)^*(f)(X)(e) = (r'_g)^*(f)(X)(h) = f(\text{Ad}(g^{-1})X)(hg) = f(\text{Ad}(g^{-1})X)(e).$$

Here  $g, h \in \mathcal{G}_0$ ,  $X \in \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$  and  $e \in \mathcal{G}_0$  is the identity. It remains to note that by Lemma 3, the map  $\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}$  induces the equivariant isomorphism between the superspaces of left invariants  $H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}})$  and  $(\bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}})^*$ .

**b.** We need to show that in the vector space

$$(\bigwedge (\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^*) \otimes \mathfrak{g})^{\mathcal{G}_0} = (\bigwedge (\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^*) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}})^{\mathcal{G}_0} \oplus (\bigwedge (\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^*) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}})^{\mathcal{G}_0}$$

there exists points corresponding to split grading operators. This space always possesses a  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -invariant, precisely, the identity operator  $\text{id} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ . The pre-image of  $\beta^{-1}(\text{id}) \in H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  has the form  $\sum \varepsilon^i X_i$  for some choice of local coordinates such that  $X_i(\varepsilon^j)(e) = \delta_i^j$ , see Example 2. We have seen that such vector fields correspond to  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -left invariant split grading operators on  $\mathcal{G}$ .  $\square$

Denote by  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{G}}$  the tangent sheaf of a Lie group  $\mathcal{G}$  and by  $\bar{v}$  is the image of  $v$  by the natural mapping

$$H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}.$$

The result of our study is:

**Theorem 1.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

**a.** *A homogeneous supermanifold  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$  admits a  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -left invariant split grading that is induced by a grading of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$  and the inclusion  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} \subset (\pi_0)_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}})$ .*

**b.** *There exists a  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -left invariant vector field  $\chi \in H^0(\mathcal{G}_0, (\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{G}})_{(2)\bar{0}})$  such that*

$$\bar{\chi} \in \left( \bigwedge (\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}})^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} \right)^{\mathcal{H}_0}, \quad (20)$$

*and such that for  $w = \beta^{-1}(\text{id}) + \chi$ , where  $\beta^{-1}(\text{id}) = \sum \varepsilon^i X_i$  is from the proof of Proposition 5.b, we have*

$$[Y, w] \in H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \otimes \mathfrak{h}, \quad Y \in \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}. \quad (21)$$

## 6 An application

As above let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a Lie supergroup and  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Lie subsupergroup,  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  be the Lie superalgebras of  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$ , respectively, and  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$ . Consider the map

$$\rho : \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \rightarrow H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}})$$

induced by the action of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ . (Here  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}}$  is the sheaf of vector fields on  $\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}$ .) Let us describe its kernel. For  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$  and  $f \in H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}})$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} X(f)(Y)(e) &= \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} f(\text{Ad}(\exp(-tX))Y)(\exp(tX)) = \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} f(\text{Ad}(\exp(-tX))Y)(e), \end{aligned}$$

where  $Y = Y_1 \cdots Y_r$ ,  $Y_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$  and  $t$  is an even parameter. A vector field  $X$  is in  $\text{Ker } \rho$  if and only if  $X(f)(Y)(e) = 0$  for all  $f$  and  $Y$ . Hence,

$$\text{Ker } \rho = \text{Ker}(\text{ad}|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}}),$$

where  $\text{ad}$  is the adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Furthermore, denote

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= \text{Ker}(\mathcal{G}_0 \ni g \mapsto \bar{l}_g : \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}); \\ \mathfrak{a} &:= \text{Ker}(\mathfrak{g} \ni X \mapsto H^0(\mathcal{G}_0/\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}})). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\bar{l}_g$  is the automorphism of  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$  induced by the left translation  $l_g$ . The pair  $(A, \mathfrak{a})$  is a super Harish-Chandra pair. An action of  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  is called *effective* if the corresponding to  $(A, \mathfrak{a})$  Lie supergroup is trivial. As in the case of Lie groups any action of a Lie supergroup can be factored to be effective.

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that the action of  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  is effective. If*

$$[\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}] \subset \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}} \cap \text{Ker}(\text{ad}|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}}),$$

*then  $\mathcal{M}$  is split.*

*Proof.* Let us show that in this case the vector field  $w = \sum \varepsilon^i X_i + 0 = \sum \varepsilon^i X_i$  from Proposition 5.b is a (left invariant) split grading operator on  $\mathcal{M}$  using Theorem 1.

The condition (20) is satisfied trivially, because  $\chi = 0$ . Let us check the condition (21). We have:

$$[Y, v] = \sum Y(\varepsilon^i) X_i - \sum \varepsilon^i [Y, X_i].$$

Since  $[\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}] \subset \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}}$ , we get

$$\sum \varepsilon^i [Y, X_i] \in H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \otimes \mathfrak{h}.$$

Hence, we have to show that

$$\sum Y(\varepsilon^i) X_i \in H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \otimes \mathfrak{h}.$$

Assume that  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}$ ,  $X_1, \dots, X_k, X_{k+1}, \dots, X_m$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$  and  $(\varepsilon^i)$  is the system of global odd  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -left invariant coordinates corresponding to this basis such that  $\sum \varepsilon^i X_i$  is as in Proposition 5.b. In particular,  $\varepsilon^i(\gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_j)) = \delta_j^i$ , because  $\sum (\varepsilon^i \circ \gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes X_i$  is the identity operator in  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ .

Let us take  $Z \in \text{Ker } \rho$ . Clearly,  $Z(\varepsilon^i) = 0$  and  $X_j(\varepsilon^i)$  is again a  $\mathcal{G}_0$ -left invariant function on  $\mathcal{G}$ . By (8), we also have:

$$\varepsilon^i(X_{i_1} \cdots Z \cdots X_{i_k}) = 0.$$

Furthermore, by definition of  $\varepsilon^i$ , we get that  $\varepsilon^i \circ \gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^*$ . Hence,

$$\varepsilon^i(\gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{i_k})) = 0,$$

if  $k > 1$ . Summing up all these observations we see that

$$\varepsilon^i(\gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) \cdot Y) = \varepsilon^i(\gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}(X \wedge Y)) + 0,$$

where  $Y \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $X \in \bigwedge \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ . Now we can conclude that

$$\sum Y(\varepsilon^i) X_i = -Y \in \mathfrak{h} \subset H^0(\text{pt}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}}) \otimes \mathfrak{h}.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Example 3.** Consider the super-grassmannian  $\mathbf{Gr}_{m|n,k|l}$ . It is a  $\text{GL}_{m|n}$ -homogeneous space, see [9] for more details. Hence,  $\mathbf{Gr}_{m|n,k|l} \simeq \text{GL}_{m|n}/\mathcal{H}$  for a certain  $\mathcal{H}$ . (See, for example, [15].) If the case  $k = 0$  or  $k = m$ , the following holds  $[(\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n})_{\bar{1}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}] = 0$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2, the super-grassmannian is split.

In [9] it was shown that the super-grassmannian  $\text{GL}_{m|n,k|l}$  is not split if and only if  $0 < k < m$  and  $0 < l < n$ . (This fact also follows from results in [6] and [13] about non-projectivity of super-grassmannian.)

Finally, let us recall a result proved in [14]:

**Theorem 3.** *If a complex homogeneous supermanifold  $\mathcal{M}$  is split, then there is a Lie supergroup  $\mathcal{G}$  with  $[\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}, \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}] = 0$ , where  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = \text{Lie } \mathcal{G}$ , such that  $\mathcal{G}$  acts on  $\mathcal{M}$  transitively.*

## References

[1] Berezin F.A., Leites D.A. Supermanifolds. Soviet Math. Dokl. 16, 1975, Pages 1218-1222.

- [2] *Donagi R., Witten E.* Supermoduli space is not projected. arXiv:1304.7798, 57 Pages, 2013.
- [3] *Green P.* On holomorphic graded manifolds. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 85, no. 4, 1982, Pages 587-590.
- [4] *Koszul J.-L.* Connections and splittings of supermanifolds. Differential Geom. Appl. 4, no. 2, 1994, Pages 151-161.
- [5] *Koszul J.-L.* Graded manifolds and graded Lie algebras. Proceeding of the International Meeting on Geometry and Physics (Bologna), Pitagora, 1982, Pages 71-84.
- [6] *LeBrun C., Poon Y. S., Wells R. O.* Projective embeddings of complex supermanifolds. Comm. Math. Phys. 126, no. 3, 1990, Pages 433-452.
- [7] *Leites D.A.* Introduction to the theory of supermanifolds. Russian Math. Surveys 35, 1980, Pages 1-64.
- [8] *Manin Yu.I.* Gauge field theory and complex geometry, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, V. 289, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1997.
- [9] *Onishchik A.L., Serov A.A.* Holomorphic vector fields on super-Grassmannians. Lie groups, their discrete subgroups, and invariant theory, Adv. Soviet Math., 8, Amer. Math. Soc., 1992, Providence, RI, Pages 113-129.
- [10] *Onishchik A.L., Serov A.A.* Vector fields and deformations of isotropic super-Grassmannians of maximal type. Lie Groups and Lie Algebras: E.B. Dynkin's Seminar, AMS Transl. Ser. 2. V. 169. Providence: AMS, 1995, Pages 75-90.
- [11] *Onishchik A.L., Serov A.A.* On isotropic super-Grassmannians of maximal type associated with an odd bilinear form. E. Schrödinger Inst. for Math. Physics, Preprint No. 340. Vienna,
- [12] *Onishchik A.L., Vishnyakova E. G.* Locally free sheaves on complex supermanifolds. Transformation groups, Volume 18, Issue 2, 2013, Pages 483-505.
- [13] *Penkov I. B., Skornyakov I. A.* Projectivity and D-affineness of flag supermanifolds. (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 40, no. 1(241), 1985, Pages 211-212.

- [14] *Vishnyakova E.G.* On complex Lie supergroups and split homogeneous supermanifolds. Transformation groups, Vol. 16, Issue 1, 2011, Pages 265 - 285.
- [15] *Vishnyakova E.G.* On holomorphic functions on a compact complex homogeneous supermanifold. Journal of Algebra, Volume 350, Issue 1, 2012, Pages 174-196.
- [16] *Vishnyakova E.G.* On the Structure of Complex Homogeneous Supermanifolds. arXiv:0811.2581, 2008, 12 Pages.

*Elizaveta Vishnyakova*

Max Planck Institute for Mathematics Bonn and

University of Luxembourg

*E-mail address:* VishnyakovaE@googlemail.com