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Abstract. Krichever–Novikov type algebras are generalizations of the Witt, Virasoro, affine Lie algebras, and their relatives to Riemann surfaces of arbitrary genus. We give the most important results about their structure, almost-grading and central extensions. This contribution is based on a sequence of introductory lectures delivered by the author at the Southeast Lie Theory Workshop 2012 in Charleston, U.S.A.

1. Introduction

The Witt algebra and its universal central extension the Virasoro algebra respectively are in some sense the simplest non-trivial examples of infinite dimensional Lie algebras. Nevertheless, they already exhibit a very rich algebraic theory of representations. Furthermore, they appear prominently as symmetry algebras of quite a number of systems with infinitely many independent degrees of freedom. Their appearance in Conformal Field Theory (CFT) \[4\], \[105\] is well-known. But this is not their only application. At many other places in- and outside of mathematics they play an important role.

The algebras can be given by meromorphic objects on the Riemann sphere (genus zero) with possible poles only at \{0, \infty\}. For the Witt algebra these objects are vector fields. More generally one obtains its central extension the Virasoro algebra, the current algebras and their central extensions the affine Kac-Moody algebras. For Riemann surfaces of higher genus, but still only for two points were poles are allowed, they were generalised by Krichever and Novikov \[56\], \[57\], \[58\] in 1986. In 1990 the author \[77\], \[78\], \[79\], \[80\] extended the approach further to the general multi-point case.

These extensions were not at all straight-forward. The main point was to introduce a replacement of the graded algebra structure present in the “classical” case. Krichever and Novikov found that an almost-grading, see Definition 5.1 below, will be enough to allow for the standard constructions in representation theory.
In [79], [80] it was realized that a splitting of the set $A$ of points where poles are allowed, into two disjoint non-empty subsets $A = I \cup O$ is crucial for introducing an almost-grading. The corresponding almost-grading was explicitly given. A Krichever-Novikov (KN) type algebra is an algebra of meromorphic objects with an almost-grading coming from such a splitting. In the classical situation there is only one such splitting (up to inversion) possible, Hence, there is only one almost-grading, which is indeed a grading.

From the algebraic point of view these KN type algebras are of course infinite dimensional Lie algebras, but they are still defined as (algebraic-)geometric objects. This point of view will be very helpful for further examinations.

As already mentioned above the crucial property is the almost-grading which replaces the honest grading in the Witt and Virasoro case. For a number of representation theoretic constructions the almost-grading will be enough. In contrast to the classical situation, where there is only one grading, we will have a finite set of non-equivalent gradings and new interesting phenomena show up. This is already true for the genus zero case (i.e. the Riemann sphere case) with more than two points where poles are allowed. These algebras will be only almost-graded, see e.g. [81], [29], [30].

Quite recently the book Krichever–Novikov type algebras. Theory and applications [91] by the author appeared. It gives a more or less complete treatment of the state of the art of the theory of KN type algebras including some applications. For more applications in direction of integrable systems and description of the Lax operator algebras see also the recent book Current algebras on Riemann surfaces [102] by Sheinman.

The goal of the lectures at the workshop and of this extended write-up was to give a gentle introduction to the KN type algebras in the multi-point setting, their definitions and main properties. Proofs are mostly omitted. They all can be found in [91], beside in the original works. KN type algebras carry a very rich representation theory. We have Verma modules, highest weight representations, Fermionic and Bosonic Fock representations, semi-infinite wedge forms, $b - c$ systems, Sugawara representations and vertex algebras. Due to space limitations as far as these representations are concerned we are very short here and have to refer to [91] too. There also a quite extensive list of references can be found, including articles published by physicist’s on applications in the field theoretical context. This review extends and updates in certain respects the previous review [89] and has consequently some overlap with it.

It is a pleasure for me to thank the organisers Iana Anguelova, Ben Cox, Elizabeth Jurisich, and Oleg Smirnov of the Southeast Lie Theory Workshop 2012 in Charleston, for the invitation to this very inspiring activity. Particular thank goes also to the audience for their lively feed-back. I acknowledge partial support by Internal Research Project GEOMQ11, University of Luxembourg, and in the frame of the OPEN scheme of the Fonds National de la Recherche (FNR) with the project QUANTMOD O13/570706.

2. A Reminder of the Virasoro Algebra and its Relatives

These algebras are in some sense the simplest non-trivial infinite dimensional Lie algebras. For the convenience of the reader we will start by recalling their conventional algebraic definitions.
2.1. The Witt algebra. The Witt algebra $\mathcal{W}$, sometimes also called Virasoro algebra without central term, is the Lie algebra generated as vector space by the basis elements $\{e_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ with Lie structure

\[(2.1) \quad [e_n, e_m] = (m - n)e_{n+m}, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{Z}.\]

The algebra $\mathcal{W}$ is more than just a Lie algebra. It is a graded Lie algebra. If we set for the degree $\deg(e_n) := n$ then

\[(2.2) \quad \mathcal{W} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{W}_n, \quad \mathcal{W}_n = \langle e_n \rangle \mathbb{C}.
\]

Obviously, $\deg([e_n, e_m]) = \deg(e_n) + \deg(e_m)$.

Algebraically $\mathcal{W}$ can also be given as Lie algebra of derivations of the algebra of Laurent polynomials $\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$.

Remark 2.1. In the purely algebraic context our field of definition $\mathbb{C}$ can be replaced by an arbitrary field $K$ of characteristics 0. This concerns all cases in this section.

2.2. The Virasoro algebra. For the Witt algebra the universal central extension is the Virasoro algebra $\mathcal{V}$. As vector space it is the direct sum $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{W}$. If we set for $x \in \mathcal{W}$, $\hat{x} := (0, x)$, and $t := (1, 0)$ then its basis elements are $\hat{e}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $t$ with the Lie product

\[(2.3) \quad [\hat{e}_n, \hat{e}_m] = (m - n)\hat{e}_{n+m} + \frac{1}{12}(n^3 - n)\delta_n^{-m}t, \quad [\hat{e}_n, t] = [t, t] = 0,
\]

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. By setting $\deg(\hat{e}_n) := \deg(e_n) = n$ and $\deg(t) := 0$ the Lie algebra $\mathcal{V}$ becomes a graded algebra. The algebra $\mathcal{W}$ will only be a subspace, not a subalgebra of $\mathcal{V}$. But it will be a quotient. Up to equivalence of central extensions and rescaling the central element $t$, this is beside the trivial (splitting) central extension the only central extension of $\mathcal{W}$.

2.3. The affine Lie algebra. Given $\mathfrak{g}$ a finite-dimensional Lie algebra (e.g. a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra) then the tensor product of $\mathfrak{g}$ with the associative algebra of Laurent polynomials $\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$ carries a Lie algebra structure via

\[(2.4) \quad [x \otimes z^n, y \otimes z^m] := [x, y] \otimes z^{n+m}.
\]

This algebra is called current algebra or loop algebra and denoted by $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Again we consider central extensions. For this let $\beta$ be a symmetric, bilinear form for $\mathfrak{g}$ which is invariant (e.g. $\beta([x, y], z) = \beta(x, [y, z])$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$). Then a central extension is given by

\[(2.5) \quad [x \otimes z^n, y \otimes z^m] := [x, y] \otimes z^{n+m} - \beta(x, y) \cdot m \delta_n^{-m} \cdot t.
\]

This algebra is denoted by $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and called affine Lie algebra. With respect to the classification of Kac-Moody Lie algebras, in the case of a simple $\mathfrak{g}$ they are exactly the Kac-Moody algebras of affine type, [47], [48], [68].

---

\[^2\text{Here } \delta_k^l \text{ is the Kronecker delta which is equal to 1 if } k = l, \text{ otherwise zero.}\]
2.4. The Lie superalgebra. To complete the description I will give introduce the Lie superalgebra of Neveu-Schwarz type. The centrally extended superalgebra has as basis (we drop the °)

\( e_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \varphi_m, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}, \quad t \)

with structure equations

\[
\begin{align*}
[e_n, e_m] & = (m - n)e_{m+n} + \frac{1}{12} (n^3 - n) \delta_n^{-m} t, \\
[e_n, \varphi_m] & = (m - \frac{n}{2}) \varphi_{m+n}, \\
[\varphi_n, \varphi_m] & = e_{n+m} - \frac{1}{6} (n^2 - \frac{1}{4}) \delta_n^{-m} t.
\end{align*}
\]

By "setting \( t = 0 \)" we obtain the non-extended superalgebra. The elements \( e_n \) (and \( t \)) are a basis of the subspace of even elements, the elements \( \varphi_m \) a basis of the subspace of odd elements.

These algebras are indeed Lie superalgebras. For completeness I recall their definition here.

Remark 2.2. (Definition of a Lie superalgebra). Let \( S \) be a vector space which is decomposed into even and odd elements \( S = S_0 \oplus S_1 \), i.e. \( S \) is a \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \)-graded vector space. Furthermore, let \( [.,.] \) be a \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \)-graded bilinear map \( S \times S \rightarrow S \) such that for elements \( x, y \) of pure parity

\[
[x, y] = -(-1)^{\bar{x} \bar{y}} [y, x].
\]

Here \( \bar{x} \) is the parity of \( x \), etc. These conditions say that

\[
[S_0, S_0] \subseteq S_0, \quad [S_0, S_1] \subseteq S_1, \quad [S_1, S_1] \subseteq S_0,
\]

and that \( [x, y] \) is symmetric for \( x \) and \( y \) odd, otherwise anti-symmetric. Now \( S \) is a Lie superalgebra if in addition the super-Jacobi identity (for \( x, y, z \) of pure parity)

\[
(-1)^{\bar{x} \bar{y}} [x, [y, z]] + (-1)^{\bar{x} \bar{z}} [y, [z, x]] + (-1)^{\bar{y} \bar{z}} [z, [x, y]] = 0
\]

is valid. As long as the type of the arguments is different from (even, odd, odd) all signs can be put to +1 and we obtain the form of the usual Jacobi identity. In the remaining case we get

\[
[x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] - [z, [x, y]] = 0.
\]

By the definitions \( S_0 \) is a Lie algebra.

3. The Geometric Picture

In the previous section I gave the Virasoro algebra and its relatives by purely algebraic means, i.e. by basis elements and structure equations. The full importance and strength will become more visible in a geometric context. Also from these geometric realization the need for a generalization as obtained via the Krichever-Novikov type algebras will become evident.
3.1. The geometric realisations of the Virasoro algebra. One of its realizations is as complexification of the Lie algebra of polynomial vector fields $\text{Vect}_{\text{pol}}(S^1)$ on the circle $S^1$. This is a subalgebra of $\text{Vect}(S^1)$, the Lie algebra of all $C^\infty$ vector fields on the circle. In this realization the basis elements are

$e_n := -i \exp i n \varphi \frac{d}{d\varphi}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$  

where $\varphi$ is the angle variable. The Lie product is the usual Lie bracket of vector fields.

If we extend analytically these generators to the whole punctured complex plane we obtain $e_n = z^{n+1} \frac{d}{dz}$. This gives another realization of the Witt algebra as the algebra of those meromorphic vector fields on the Riemann sphere $S^2 = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ which are holomorphic outside $\{0\}$ and $\{\infty\}$.

Let $z$ be the (quasi) global coordinate $z$ (quasi, because it is not defined at $\infty$). Let $w = 1/z$ be the local coordinate at $\infty$. A global meromorphic vector field $v$ on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ will be given on the corresponding subsets where $z$ respectively $w$ are defined as

$v = \left( v_1(z) \frac{d}{dz}, v_2(w) \frac{d}{dw} \right), \quad v_2(w) = -v_1(z(w))w^2.$

The function $v_1$ will determine the vector field $v$. Hence, we will usually just write down $v_1$ and in fact identify the vector field $v$ with its local representing function $v_1$, which we will denote by the same letter.

For the Lie bracket we calculate

$[v, u] = \left( v \frac{d}{dz} u - u \frac{d}{dz} v \right) \frac{d}{dz}.$

The space of all meromorphic vector fields constitute a Lie algebra.

The subspace of those meromorphic vector fields which are holomorphic outside of $\{0, \infty\}$ is a Lie subalgebra. Its elements can be given as

$v(z) = f(z) \frac{d}{dz}$

where $f$ is a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$, which is holomorphic outside $\{0, \infty\}$. Those are exactly the Laurent polynomials $\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$. Consequently, this subalgebra has the set $\{e_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ as basis. The Lie product is the same and the subalgebra can be identified with the Witt algebra $\mathcal{W}$. The subalgebra of global holomorphic vector fields is $\langle e_{-1}, e_0, e_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$. It is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$.

Similarly, the algebra $\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$ can be given as the algebra of meromorphic functions on $S^2 = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C})$ holomorphic outside of $\{0, \infty\}$.

3.2. Arbitrary genus generalizations. In the geometric setup for the Virasoro algebra the objects are defined on the Riemann sphere and might have poles at most at two fixed points. For a global operator approach to conformal field theory and its quantization this is not sufficient. One needs Riemann surfaces of arbitrary genus, Moreover, one needs more than two points were singularities are allowed $^3$.

Such a generalizations were initiated by Krichever and Novikov $[56], [57], [58]$, who considered arbitrary genus and the two-point case. As far as the current algebras

$^3$The singularities correspond to points where free fields are entering the region of interaction or leaving it. In particular from the very beginning there is a natural decomposition of the set of points into two disjoint subsets.
are concerned see also Sheinman [96], [97], [98], [99]. The multi-point case was systematically examined by the author [77], [78], [79], [80], [81], [82], [83], [84]. For some related approach see also Sadov [76].

For the whole contribution let $\Sigma$ be a compact Riemann surface without any restriction for the genus $g = g(\Sigma)$. Furthermore, let $A$ be a finite subset of $\Sigma$. Later we will need a splitting of $A$ into two non-empty disjoint subsets $I$ and $O$, i.e. $A = I \cup O$. Set $N := \# A$, $K := \# I$, $M := \# O$, with $N = K + M$. More precisely, let

\begin{equation}
I = (P_1, \ldots, P_K), \quad \text{and} \quad O = (Q_1, \ldots, Q_M)
\end{equation}

be disjoint ordered tuples of distinct points (“marked points”, “punctures”) on the Riemann surface. In particular, we assume $P_i \neq Q_j$ for every pair $(i, j)$. The points in $I$ are called the in-points, the points in $O$ the out-points. Occasionally we consider $I$ and $O$ simply as sets.

Sometimes we refer to the classical situation. By this we understand

\begin{equation}
\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) = S^2, \quad I = \{z = 0\}, \quad O = \{z = \infty\},
\end{equation}

and the situation considered in Section 3.1.

The following figures should indicate the geometric picture. Figure 1 shows the classical situation. Figure 2 is genus 2, but still two-point situation. Finally, in Figure 3 the case of a Riemann surface of genus 2 with two incoming points and one outgoing point is visualized.

**Remark 3.1.** We stress the fact, that these generalizations are needed also in the case of genus zero if one considers more than two points. Even there in the case of three points interesting algebras show up.
3.3. Meromorphic forms. To introduce the elements of the generalised algebras we first have to discuss forms of certain (conformal) weights. Recall that $\Sigma$ is a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 0$. Let $A$ be a fixed finite subset of $\Sigma$. In fact we could allow for this and the following sections (as long as we do not talk about almost-grading) that $A$ is an arbitrary subset. This includes the extremal cases $A = \emptyset$ or $A = \Sigma$.

Let $K = K_\Sigma$ be the canonical line bundle of $\Sigma$. Its local sections are the local holomorphic differentials. If $P \in \Sigma$ is a point and $z$ a local holomorphic coordinate at $P$ then a local holomorphic differential can be written as $f(z)dz$ with a local holomorphic function $f$ defined in a neighbourhood of $P$. A global holomorphic section can be described locally in coordinates $(U_i, z_i)$ $i \in J$ by a system of local holomorphic functions $(f_i)_{i \in J}$, which are related by the transformation rule induced by the coordinate change map $z_j = z_j(z_i)$ and the condition $f_i dz_i = f_j dz_j$. This yields

\begin{equation}
(3.7) \quad f_j = f_i \cdot \left(\frac{dz_j}{dz_i}\right)^{-1}.
\end{equation}

A meromorphic section of $K$, i.e. a meromorphic differential is given as a collection of local meromorphic functions $(h_i)_{i \in J}$ with respect to a coordinate covering for which the transformation law (3.7) is true. We will not make any distinction between the canonical bundle and its sheaf of sections, which is a locally free sheaf of rank 1.

In the following $\lambda$ is either an integer or a half-integer. If $\lambda$ is an integer then

(1) $K^\lambda = K \otimes^\lambda$ for $\lambda > 0$,
(2) $K^0 = \mathcal{O}$, the trivial line bundle, and
(3) $K^\lambda = (K^*) \otimes (-\lambda)$ for $\lambda < 0$.

Here $K^*$ denotes the dual line bundle of the canonical line bundle. This is the holomorphic tangent line bundle, whose local sections are the holomorphic tangent vector fields $f(z)(d/dz)$.

If $\lambda$ is a half-integer, then we first have to fix a “square root” of the canonical line bundle, sometimes called a theta characteristic. This means we fix a line bundle $L$ for which $L \otimes^2 = K$. After such a choice of $L$ is done we set $K^\lambda := K_L^\lambda := L \otimes^{2\lambda}$. In most cases we will drop the mentioning of $L$, but we have to keep the choice in mind. The fine-structure of the algebras we are about to define will depend on the choice. But the main properties will remain the same.

**Remark 3.2.** A Riemann surface of genus $g$ has exactly $2^{2g}$ non-isomorphic square roots of $K$. For $g = 0$ we have $K = \mathcal{O}(-2)$, and $L = \mathcal{O}(-1)$, the tautological bundle, is the unique square root. Already for $g = 1$ we have four non-isomorphic
ones. As in this case $K = O$ one solution is $L_0 = O$. But we have also other bundles $L_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Note that $L_0$ has a nonvanishing global holomorphic section, whereas this is not the case for $L_1, L_2$ and $L_3$. In general, depending on the parity of the dimension of the space of globally holomorphic sections, i.e. $\dim H^0(\Sigma, L)$, one distinguishes even and odd theta characteristics $L$. For $g = 1$ the bundle $O$ is an odd, the others are even theta characteristics. The choice of a theta characteristic is also called a spin structure on $\Sigma$.

We set

$$F^\lambda(A) := \{ f \text{ is a global meromorphic section of } K^\lambda | f \text{ is holomorphic on } \Sigma \setminus A \}.$$  

 Obviously this is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space. To avoid cumbersome notation, we will often drop the set $A$ in the notation if $A$ is fixed and/or clear from the context. Recall that in the case of half-integer $\lambda$ everything depends on the theta characteristic.

**Definition 3.3.** The elements of the space $F^\lambda(A)$ are called *meromorphic forms of weight* $\lambda$ (with respect to the theta characteristic $L$).

**Remark 3.4.** In the two extremal cases for the set $A$ we obtain $F^\lambda(\emptyset)$ the global holomorphic forms, and $F^\lambda(\Sigma)$ all meromorphic forms. By compactness each $f \in F^\lambda(\Sigma)$ will have only finitely many poles. In the case that $f \not\equiv 0$ it will also have only finitely many zeros.

Let us assume that $z_i$ and $z_j$ are local coordinates for the same point $P \in \Sigma$. For the bundle $K$ both $dz_i$ and $dz_j$ are frames. If we represent the same form $f$ locally by $f_idz_i$ and $f_jdz_j$ then we conclude from (3.7) that $f_j = f_i \cdot c_1$ and that the transition function $c_1$ is given by

$$c_1 = \left( \frac{dz_j}{dz_i} \right)^{-1} = \frac{dz_i}{dz_j}.$$  

For sections of $K^\lambda$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ the transition functions are $c_\lambda = (c_1)^\lambda$. The corresponding is true also for half-integer $\lambda$. In this case the basic transition function of the chosen theta characteristics $L$ is given as $c_{1/2}$ and all others are integer powers of it. Symbolically, we write $\sqrt{dz_i}$ or $(dz)^{1/2}$ for the local frame, keeping in mind that the signs for the square root is not uniquely defined but depends on the bundle $L$.

If $f$ is a meromorphic $\lambda$-form it can be represented locally by meromorphic functions $f_i$. If $f \not\equiv 0$ the local representing functions have only finitely many zeros and poles. Whether a point $P$ is a zero or a pole of $f$ does not depend on the coordinate $z_i$ chosen, as the transition function $c_\lambda$ will be a nonvanishing function. Moreover, we can define for $P \in \Sigma$ the *order*

$$\text{ord}_P(f) := \text{ord}_P(f_i),$$  

where $\text{ord}_P(f_i)$ is the lowest nonvanishing order in the Laurent series expansion of $f_i$ in the variable $z_i$ around $P$. It will not depend on the coordinate $z_i$ chosen. The order $\text{ord}_P(f)$ is (strictly) positive if and only if $P$ is a zero of $f$. It is negative if and only if $P$ is a pole of $f$. Moreover, its value gives the order of the zero and pole respectively. By compactness our Riemann surface $\Sigma$ can be covered by finitely
many coordinate patches. Hence, \( f \) can only have finitely many zeros and poles. We define the \( (\text{sectional}) \) degree of \( f \) to be
\[
\text{sdeg}(f) := \sum_{P \in \Sigma} \text{ord}_P(f).
\]

**Proposition 3.5.** Let \( f \in \mathcal{F}^\lambda, f \not\equiv 0 \) then
\[
\text{sdeg}(f) = 2\lambda(g - 1).
\]
For this and related results see [85].

4. Algebraic Structures

Next we introduce algebraic operations on the vector space of meromorphic forms of arbitrary weights. This space is obtained by summing over all weights
\[
\mathcal{F} := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}^\lambda.
\]
The basic operations will allow us to introduce finally the algebras we are heading for.

4.1. Associative structure. In this section \( A \) is still allowed to be an arbitrary subset of points in \( \Sigma \). Mostly, we will drop the subset \( A \) in the notation. The natural map of the locally free sheaves of rang one
\[
\mathcal{K}^\lambda \times \mathcal{K}^\nu \to \mathcal{K}^\lambda \otimes \mathcal{K}^\nu \cong \mathcal{K}^{\lambda+\nu}, \quad (s, t) \mapsto s \otimes t,
\]
defines a bilinear map
\[
\cdot : \mathcal{F}^\lambda \times \mathcal{F}^\nu \to \mathcal{F}^{\lambda+\nu}.
\]
With respect to local trivialisations this corresponds to the multiplication of the local representing meromorphic functions
\[
(s dz^\lambda, t dz^\nu) \mapsto s dz^\lambda \cdot t dz^\nu = s \cdot t dz^{\lambda+\nu}.
\]
If there is no danger of confusion then we will mostly use the same symbol for the section and for the local representing function.

The following is obvious

**Proposition 4.1.** The space \( \mathcal{F} \) is an associative and commutative graded \((\text{over} \ \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z})\) algebra. Moreover, \( A = \mathcal{F}^0 \) is a subalgebra and the \( \mathcal{F}^\lambda \) are modules over \( A \).

Of course, \( A \) is the algebra of those meromorphic functions on \( \Sigma \) which are holomorphic outside of \( A \). In case that \( A = \emptyset \), it is the algebra of global holomorphic functions. By compactness, these are only the constants, hence \( A(\emptyset) = \mathbb{C} \). In case that \( A = \Sigma \) it is the field of all meromorphic functions \( \mathcal{M}(\Sigma) \).

4.2. Lie and Poisson algebra structure. Next we define a Lie algebra structure on the space \( \mathcal{F} \). The structure is induced by the map
\[
\mathcal{F}^\lambda \times \mathcal{F}^\nu \to \mathcal{F}^{\lambda+\nu+1}, \quad (e, f) \mapsto [e, f],
\]
which is defined in local representatives of the sections by
\[
(e dz^\lambda, f dz^\nu) \mapsto [e dz^\lambda, f dz^\nu] := \left( -\lambda e \frac{df}{dz} + \nu f \frac{de}{dz} \right) dz^{\lambda+\nu+1},
\]
and bilinearly extended to \( \mathcal{F} \). Of course, we have to show the following
Proposition 4.2. [91, Prop. 2.6 and 2.7] The prescription [...] given by (4.6) is well-defined and defines a Lie algebra structure on the vector space \( \mathcal{F} \).

Proof. It is a nice exercise to show that the expressions on the right hand side in (4.6) transform correctly as local representing functions for \( \lambda + \nu + 1 \) forms. That the Jacobi identity is true follows from direct calculations, see the above reference. \( \square \)

Proposition 4.3. [91, Prop. 2.8] The subspace \( \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \) is a Lie subalgebra, and the \( \mathcal{F}^\lambda \)'s are Lie modules over \( \mathcal{L} \).

Proof. For illustration we supply the proof. For \( \lambda = \nu = -1 \) we get as weight of the Lie product \( \lambda + \nu + 1 = -1 \), hence the subspace is closed under the bracket and a Lie subalgebra. For \( e \in \mathcal{L} \) and \( h \in \mathcal{F}^\lambda \) the Lie module structure is given by \( e \cdot h \). The Jacobi identity for \( e, f \in \mathcal{L} \) and \( h \in \mathcal{F}^\lambda \) reads as

\[
0 = [[e, f], h] + [[f, h], e] + [h, e], f] = [e, f] \cdot h - e \cdot (f \cdot h) + f \cdot (e \cdot h).
\]

This is exactly the condition for \( \mathcal{F}^\lambda \) being a Lie module. \( \square \)

Definition 4.4. An algebra \( (\mathcal{B}, \cdot, [, ,]) \) such that \( \cdot \) defines the structure of an associative algebra on \( \mathcal{B} \) and \( [, ,] \) defines the structure of a Lie algebra on \( \mathcal{B} \) is called a Poisson algebra if and only if the Leibniz rule is true, i.e.

\[
\forall e, f, g \in \mathcal{B} : [e, f \cdot g] = [e, f] \cdot g + f \cdot [e, g].
\]

In other words, via the Lie product \( [, ,] \) the elements of the algebra act as derivations on the associative structure. The reader should be warned that \( [, ,] \) is not necessarily the commutator of the algebra \((\mathcal{B}, \cdot)\).

Theorem 4.5. [91, Thm. 2.10] The space \( \mathcal{F} \) with respect to \( \cdot \) and \( [, ,] \) is a Poisson algebra.

Next we consider important substructures. We already encountered the subalgebras \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{L} \). But there are more structures around.

4.3. The vector field algebra and the Lie derivative. First we look again on the Lie subalgebra \( \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \). Here the Lie action respect the homogeneous subspaces \( \mathcal{F}^\lambda \). As forms of weight \(-1\) are vector fields, it could also be defined as the Lie algebra of those meromorphic vector fields on the Riemann surface \( \Sigma \) which are holomorphic outside of \( \mathcal{A} \). For vector fields we have the usual Lie bracket and the usual Lie derivative for their actions on forms. For the vector fields we have (again naming the local functions with the same symbol as the section) for \( e, f \in \mathcal{L} \)

\[
[e, f] = [e(z) \frac{df}{dz}(z) + \lambda f(z) \frac{de}{dz}(z)] = \left( e(z) \frac{df}{dz}(z) - f(z) \frac{de}{dz}(z) \right) \frac{d}{dz}.
\]

For the Lie derivative we get

\[
\nabla_e(f) = L_e(g) = e \cdot g = \left( e(z) \frac{df}{dz}(z) + \lambda f(z) \frac{de}{dz}(z) \right) \frac{d}{dz}.
\]

Obviously, these definitions coincide with the definitions already given above. But now we obtained a geometric interpretation.
4.4. The algebra of differential operators. If we look at \( \mathcal{F} \), considered as Lie algebra, more closely, we see that \( \mathcal{F}^0 \) is an abelian Lie subalgebra and the vector space sum \( \mathcal{F}^0 \oplus \mathcal{F}^{-1} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{L} \) is also a Lie subalgebra. In an equivalent way this can also be constructed as semidirect sum of \( \mathcal{A} \) considered as abelian Lie algebra and \( \mathcal{L} \) operating on \( \mathcal{A} \) by taking the derivative.

**Definition 4.6.** The Lie algebra of differential operators of degree \( \leq 1 \) is defined as the semidirect sum of \( \mathcal{A} \) with \( \mathcal{L} \) and is denoted by \( D^1 \).

In terms of elements the Lie product is
\[
[(g,e), (h,f)] = (e \cdot h - f \cdot g, \{e,f\}).
\]
Using the fact, that \( \mathcal{A} \) is an abelian subalgebra in \( \mathcal{F} \) this is exactly the definition for the Lie product given for this algebra. Hence, \( D^1 \) is a Lie algebra.

The projection on the second factor \( (g,e) \mapsto e \) is a Lie homomorphism and we obtain a short exact sequences of Lie algebras
\[
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow D^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow 0.
\]
Hence \( \mathcal{A} \) is an (abelian) Lie ideal of \( D^1 \) and \( \mathcal{L} \) a quotient Lie algebra. Obviously \( \mathcal{L} \) is also a subalgebra of \( D^1 \).

**Proposition 4.7.** The vector space \( \mathcal{F}^\lambda \) becomes a Lie module over \( D^1 \) by the operation
\[
(g,e).f := g \cdot f + e.f, \quad (g,e) \in D^1(\mathcal{A}), f \in \mathcal{F}^\lambda(\mathcal{A}).
\]

4.5. Differential operators of all degree. We want to consider also differential operators of arbitrary degree acting on \( \mathcal{F}^\lambda \). This is obtained via some universal constructions. First we consider the universal enveloping algebra \( U(D^1) \). We denote its multiplication by \( \odot \) and its unit by 1.

The universal enveloping algebra contains many elements which act in the same manner on \( \mathcal{F}^\lambda \). For example, if \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \) are functions different from constants then \( h_1 \cdot h_2 \) and \( h_1 \odot h_2 \) are different elements of \( U(D^1) \). Nevertheless, they act in the same way on \( \mathcal{F}^\lambda \).

Hence we will divide out further relations
\[
D = U(D^1)/J, \quad \text{respectively} \quad D^\lambda = U(D^1)/J^\lambda
\]
with the two-sided ideals
\[
J := ( a \odot b - a \cdot b, \ 1 - 1 \mid a,b \in \mathcal{A} ),
\]
\[
J^\lambda := ( a \odot b - a \cdot b, \ 1 - 1, \ a \odot e - a \cdot e + \lambda e \cdot a \mid a,b \in \mathcal{A}, e \in \mathcal{L} ).
\]
By universality the \( \mathcal{F}^\lambda \) are modules over \( U(D^1) \). The relations in \( J \) are fulfilled as \( (a \odot b) \cdot f = a \cdot (b \cdot f) = (a \cdot b) \cdot f \). Hence for all \( \lambda \) the \( \mathcal{F}^\lambda \) are modules over \( D \).

If \( \lambda \) is fixed then the additional relations in \( J^\lambda \) are also true. For this we calculate
\[
(a \odot e) \cdot f = a \cdot (e \cdot f) = ae \frac{df}{dz} + \lambda af \frac{de}{dz},
\]
\[
(a \cdot e) \cdot f = (ae) \frac{df}{dz} + \lambda f \frac{d(ae)}{dz} = (ae) \frac{df}{dz} + \lambda f e \frac{da}{dz} + \lambda f a \frac{de}{dz}
\]
\[
\lambda (e \cdot a) \cdot f = \lambda e f \frac{da}{dz}
\]
Hence,
\[(a \odot e - a \cdot e + \lambda(e \cdot a)) \cdot f = 0.\]

Consequently, for a fixed \(\lambda\) the space \(\mathcal{F}^\lambda\) is a module over \(\mathcal{D}_\lambda\).

**Definition 4.8.** ([36, IV, 16.8, 16.11] and [9]) A linear map \(D : \mathcal{F}^\lambda \to \mathcal{F}^\lambda\) is called an (algebraic) **differential operator** of degree \(\leq n\) with \(n \geq 0\) if and only if

1. If \(n = 0\) then \(D = b\), the multiplication with a function \(b \in \mathcal{A}\).
2. If \(n > 0\), then for \(a \in \mathcal{A}\) (considered as multiplication operator)

\[
[D, a] : \mathcal{F}^\lambda \to \mathcal{F}^\lambda
\]

is a differential operator of degree \(\leq (n - 1)\).

Let \(\text{Diff}^{(n)}(\mathcal{F}^\lambda)\) be the subspace of all differential operators on \(\mathcal{F}^\lambda\) of degree \(\leq n\). By composing the operators

\[
\text{Diff}(\mathcal{F}^\lambda) := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \text{Diff}^{(n)}(\mathcal{F}^\lambda)
\]

becomes an associative algebra which is a subalgebra of \(\text{End}(\mathcal{F}^\lambda)\).

Let \(D \in \mathcal{D}\) and assume that \(D\) is one of the generators

\[(4.17) D = a_0 \odot e_1 \odot a_1 \odot e_2 \odot \cdots \odot a_{n-1} \odot e_n \odot a_n
\]

with \(e_i \in \mathcal{L}\) and \(a_i \in \mathcal{A}\) (written as element in \(U(D^1)\)).

**Proposition 4.9.** [91, Prop. 2.14] *Every element \(D \in \mathcal{D}\) respectively of \(\mathcal{D}_\lambda\) of the form (4.17) operates as (algebraic) differential operator of degree \(\leq n\) on \(\mathcal{F}^\lambda\).*

In fact, we get (associative) algebra homomorphisms

\[
(4.18) \quad \mathcal{D} \to \text{Diff}(\mathcal{F}^\lambda), \quad \mathcal{D}_\lambda \to \text{Diff}(\mathcal{F}^\lambda).
\]

In case that the set \(\mathcal{A}\) of points where poles are allowed is finite and non-empty the complement \(\Sigma \setminus \mathcal{A}\) is affine [39, p.297]. Hence, as shown in [36] every differential operator can be obtained by successively applying first order operators, i.e. by applying elements from \(U(D^1)\). In other words the homomorphisms (4.18) are surjective.

### 4.6. Lie superalgebras of half forms

Recall from Remark 2.2 the definition of a Lie superalgebra.

With the help of our associative product (4.2) we will obtain examples of Lie superalgebras. First we consider

\[(4.19) \quad \cdot : \mathcal{F}^{-1/2} \times \mathcal{F}^{-1/2} \to \mathcal{F}^{-1} = \mathcal{L},\]

and introduce the vector space \(\mathcal{S}\) with the product

\[
(4.20) \quad \mathcal{S} := \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{F}^{-1/2}, \quad [(e, \varphi), (f, \psi)] := ([e, f] + \varphi \cdot \psi, e \cdot \varphi - f \cdot \psi).
\]

The elements of \(\mathcal{L}\) are denoted by \(e, f, \ldots\), and the elements of \(\mathcal{F}^{-1/2}\) by \(\varphi, \psi, \ldots\).

The definition (4.20) can be reformulated as an extension of \([,]_\mathcal{L}\) on \(\mathcal{S}\) by setting

\[
(4.21) \quad [e, \varphi] := -[\varphi, e] := e \cdot \varphi = (e \frac{d\varphi}{dz} - \frac{1}{2} \varphi \frac{de}{dz})(dz)^{-1/2}
\]

and

\[
(4.22) \quad [\varphi, \psi] := \varphi \cdot \psi.
\]
We call the elements of \( \mathcal{L} \) elements of even parity, and the elements of \( \mathcal{F}^{-1/2} \) elements of odd parity. For such elements \( x \) we denote by \( \tilde{x} \in \{0, 1\} \) their parity.

The sum (4.20) can also be described as \( \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_0 \oplus \mathcal{S}_1 \), where \( \mathcal{S}_i \) is the subspace of elements of parity \( i \).

**Proposition 4.10.** [91, Prop. 2.15] The space \( \mathcal{S} \) with the above introduced parity and product is a Lie superalgebra.

**Remark 4.11.** The choice of the theta characteristics corresponds to choosing a spin structure on \( \Sigma \). For the relation of the Neveu-Schwarz superalgebra to the geometry of graded Riemann surfaces see Bryant [17].

### 4.7. Jordan superalgebra.

Leidwanger and Morier-Genoux introduced in [61] a Jordan superalgebra in our geometric setting. They put

\[
\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{F}^0 \oplus \mathcal{F}^{-1/2} = \mathcal{J}_0 \oplus \mathcal{J}_1.
\]

Recall that \( \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}^0 \) is the associative algebra of meromorphic functions. They define the (Jordan) product \( \circ \) via the algebra structures for the spaces \( \mathcal{F}^\lambda \) by

\[
\begin{align*}
 f \circ g :&= f \cdot g \in \mathcal{F}^0, \\
 f \circ \varphi :&= f \cdot \varphi \in \mathcal{F}^{-1/2}, \\
 \varphi \circ \psi :&= [\varphi, \psi] \in \mathcal{F}^0.
\end{align*}
\]

By rescaling the second definition with the factor 1/2 one obtains a Lie anti-algebra as introduced by Ovsienko [72]. See [61] for more details and additional results on representations.


We fix an arbitrary finite-dimensional complex Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \). Our goal is to generalize the classical current algebra to higher genus. For this let \( (\Sigma, A) \) be the geometrical data consisting of the Riemann surface \( \Sigma \) and the subset of points \( A \) used to define \( \mathcal{A} \), the algebra of meromorphic functions which are holomorphic outside of the set \( A \subseteq \Sigma \).

**Definition 4.12.** The higher genus current algebra associated to the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \) and the geometric data \( (\Sigma, A) \) is the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g}(\Sigma, A) \) given as vector space by \( \mathfrak{g}(\Sigma, A) = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{A} \) with the Lie product

\[
[x \otimes f, y \otimes g] = [x, y] \otimes f \cdot g, \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad f, g \in A.
\]

**Proposition 4.13.** \( \mathfrak{g}(\Sigma, A) \) is a Lie algebra.

**Proof.** The antisymmetry is clear from the definition. Moreover

\[
[[x \otimes f, y \otimes g], z \otimes h] = [[x, y], z] \otimes ((f \cdot g) \cdot h).
\]

As \( \mathcal{A} \) is associative and commutative summing up cyclically the Jacobi identity follows directly from the Jacobi identity for \( \mathfrak{g} \).

As usual we will suppress the mentioning of \( (\Sigma, A) \) if not needed. The elements of \( \mathfrak{g}(\Sigma, A) \) can be interpreted as meromorphic functions \( \Sigma \to \mathfrak{g} \) from the Riemann surface \( \Sigma \) to the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \), which are holomorphic outside of \( A \).

Later we will introduce central extensions for these current algebras. They will generalize affine Lie algebras, respectively affine Kac-Moody algebras of untwisted type.
For some applications it is useful to extend the definition by considering differential operators (of degree \( \leq 1 \)) associated to \( \mathfrak{g} \). We define \( D^1_\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathcal{L} \) and take in the summands the Lie product defined there and put additionally

\[
[e, x \otimes g] := -[x \otimes g, e] := x \otimes (e.g).
\]

This operation can be described as semidirect sum of \( \mathfrak{g} \) with \( \mathcal{L} \) and we get

**Proposition 4.14.** [91, Prop. 2.15] \( D^1_\mathfrak{g} \) is a Lie algebra.


Above the set \( A \) of points where poles are allowed was arbitrary. In case that \( A \) is finite and moreover \( \# A \geq 2 \) the constructed algebras are called Krichever–Novikov (KN) type algebras. In this way we get the KN vector field algebra, the function algebra, the current algebra, the differential operator algebra, the Lie superalgebra, etc. The reader might ask what is so special about this situation so that these algebras deserve special names. In fact in this case we can endow the algebra with a (strong) almost-graded structure. This will be discussed in the next section. The almost-grading is a crucial tool for extending the classical result to higher genus. Recall that in the classical case we have genus zero and \( \# A = 2 \).

Strictly speaking, a KN type algebra should be considered to be one of the above algebras for \( 2 \leq \# A < \infty \) together with a fixed chosen almost-grading induced by the splitting \( A = I \cup O \) into two disjoint non-empty subset, see Definition 5.1.

### 5. Almost-Graded Structure

#### 5.1. Definition of almost-gradedness.

In the classical situation discussed in Section 2 the algebras introduced in the last section are graded algebras. In the higher genus case and even in the genus zero case with more than two points where poles are allowed there is no non-trivial grading anymore. As realized by Krichever and Novikov [56] there is a weaker concept, an almost-grading, which to a large extend is a valuable replacement of a honest grading. Such an almost-grading is induced by a splitting of the set \( A \) into two non-empty and disjoint sets \( I \) and \( O \). The (almost-)grading is fixed by exhibiting certain basis elements in the spaces \( \mathcal{F}^\lambda \) as homogeneous.

**Definition 5.1.** Let \( \mathcal{L} \) be a Lie or an associative algebra such that \( \mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_n \) is a vector space direct sum, then \( \mathcal{L} \) is called an almost-graded (Lie-) algebra if

(i) \( \dim \mathcal{L}_n < \infty \),

(ii) There exists constants \( L_1, L_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that

\[
\mathcal{L}_n \cdot \mathcal{L}_m \subseteq \bigoplus_{h=n+m-L_1}^{n+m+L_2} \mathcal{L}_h, \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

The elements in \( \mathcal{L}_n \) are called homogeneous elements of degree \( n \), and \( \mathcal{L}_n \) is called homogeneous subspace of degree \( n \).

If \( \dim \mathcal{L}_n \) is bounded with a bound independent of \( n \) we call \( \mathcal{L} \) strongly almost-graded. If we drop the condition that \( \dim \mathcal{L}_n \) is finite we call \( \mathcal{L} \) weakly almost-graded.

In a similar manner almost-graded modules over almost-graded algebras are defined. We can extend in an obvious way the definition to superalgebras, respectively even to more general algebraic structures. This definition makes complete sense also for more general index sets \( J \). In fact we will consider the index set...
$\mathbb{J} = (1/2)\mathbb{Z}$ in the case of superalgebras. The even elements (with respect to the super-grading) will have integer degree, the odd elements half-integer degree.

5.2. Separating cycle and Krichever-Novikov pairing. Before we give the almost-grading we introduce an important structure. Let $C_i$ be positively oriented (deformed) circles around the points $P_i$ in $I$, $i = 1, \ldots, K$ and $C_j^*$ positively oriented circles around the points $Q_j$ in $O$, $j = 1, \ldots, M$.

A cycle $C_S$ is called a separating cycle if it is smooth, positively oriented of multiplicity one and if it separates the in-points from the out-points. It might have multiple components. In the following we will integrate meromorphic differentials on $\Sigma$ without poles in $\Sigma \setminus A$ over closed curves $C$. Hence, we might consider the $C$ and $C'$ as equivalent if $[C] = [C']$ in $H_1(\Sigma \setminus A, \mathbb{Z})$. In this sense we can write for every separating cycle

\begin{equation}
[C_S] = \sum_{i=1}^{K} [C_i] = - \sum_{j=1}^{M} [C_j^*].
\end{equation}

The minus sign appears due to the opposite orientation. Another way for giving such a $C_S$ is via level lines of a “proper time evolution”, for which I refer to [91, Section 3.9].

Given such a separating cycle $C_S$ (respectively cycle class) we define a linear map

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{F}^1 \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \omega \mapsto \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_S} \omega.
\end{equation}

The map will not depend on the separating line $C_S$ chosen, as two of such will be homologous and the poles of $\omega$ are only located in $I$ and $O$.

Consequently, the integration of $\omega$ over $C_S$ can also be described over the special cycles $C_i$ or equivalently over $C_j^*$. This integration corresponds to calculating residues

\begin{equation}
\omega \mapsto \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_S} \omega = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \text{res}_{P_i}(\omega) = - \sum_{i=1}^{M} \text{res}_{Q_i}(\omega).
\end{equation}

**Definition 5.2.** The pairing

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{F}^\lambda \times \mathcal{F}^{1-\lambda} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad (f, g) \mapsto \langle f, g \rangle := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_S} f \cdot g,
\end{equation}

between $\lambda$ and $1-\lambda$ forms is called Krichever-Novikov (KN) pairing.

Note that the pairing depends not only on $A$ (as the $\mathcal{F}^\lambda$ depend on it) but also critically on the splitting of $A$ into $I$ and $O$ as the integration path will depend on it. Once the splitting is fixed the pairing will be fixed too.

In fact there exit dual basis elements (see (5.9)) hence the pairing is non-degenerate.

5.3. The homogeneous subspaces. Given the vector spaces $\mathcal{F}^\lambda$ of forms of weight $L$ we will now single out subspaces $\mathcal{F}^\lambda_m$ of degree $m$ by giving a basis of these subspaces. This has been done in the 2-point case by Krichever and Novikov [56] and in the multi-point case by the author [77], [78], [79], [80], see also Sadov [76]. See in particular [91, Chapters 3,4,5] for a complete treatment. All proofs of the statements to come can be found there.
Depending on whether the weight \(\lambda\) is integer or half-integer we set \(J_\lambda = \mathbb{Z}\) or \(J_\lambda = \mathbb{Z} + 1/2\). For \(\mathcal{F}_\lambda\) we introduce for \(m \in J_\lambda\) subspaces \(\mathcal{F}_m\) of dimension \(K\), where \(K = \# I\), by exhibiting certain elements \(f_{m,p}^\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda, \ p = 1, \ldots, K\) which constitute a basis of \(\mathcal{F}_m\). Recall that the spaces \(\mathcal{F}_\lambda\) for \(\lambda \in \mathbb{Z} + 1/2\) depend on the chosen square root \(L\) (the theta characteristic) of the bundle chosen. The elements are the elements of degree \(m\). As explained in the following, the degree is in an essential way related to the zero orders of the elements at the points in \(I\).

Let \(I = \{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_K\}\) then we have for the zero-order at the point \(P_i \in I\) of the element \(f_{n,p}^\lambda\)

\[
\text{ord}_{P_i}(f_{n,p}^\lambda) = (n + 1 - \lambda) - \delta_i^p, \quad i = 1, \ldots, K.
\]

The prescription at the points in \(O\) is made in such a way that the element \(f_{n,p}^\lambda\) is essentially uniquely given. Essentially unique means up to multiplication with a constant\(^4\). After fixing as additional geometric data a system of coordinates \(z_l\) centered at \(P_l\) for \(l = 1, \ldots, K\) and requiring that

\[
(f_{n,p}^\lambda(z_p)) = z_p^{n+1-\lambda}(1 + O(z_p))(dz_p)^\lambda
\]

the element \(f_{n,p}^\lambda\) is uniquely fixed. In fact, the element \(f_{n,p}^\lambda\) only depends on the first jet of the coordinate \(z_p\).

**Example.** Here we will not give the general recipe for the prescription at the points in \(O\). Just to give an example which is also an important special case, assume \(O = \{Q\}\) is a one-element set. If either the genus \(g = 0\), or \(g \geq 2, \lambda \neq 0, 1/2, 1\) and the points in \(A\) are in generic position then we require

\[
\text{ord}_Q(f_{n,p}^\lambda) = -K \cdot (n + 1 - \lambda) + (2\lambda - 1)(g - 1).
\]

In the other cases (e.g. for \(g = 1\)) there are some modifications at the point in \(O\) necessary for finitely many \(m\).

**Theorem 5.3.** [91, Thm. 3.6] Set

\[
\mathcal{B}_\lambda := \{ f_{n,p}^\lambda | n \in J_\lambda, \ p = 1, \ldots, K \}.
\]

Then (a) \(\mathcal{B}_\lambda\) is a basis of the vector space \(\mathcal{F}_\lambda\).

(b) The introduced basis \(\mathcal{B}_\lambda\) of \(\mathcal{F}_\lambda\) and \(\mathcal{B}^{1-\lambda}\) of \(\mathcal{F}^{1-\lambda}\) are dual to each other with respect to the Krichever-Novikov pairing (5.4), i.e.

\[
\langle f_{n,p}^\lambda, f_{m,r}^{1-\lambda} \rangle = \delta_p^r \delta_n^m, \quad \forall n, m \in J_\lambda, \ r, p = 1, \ldots, K.
\]

In particular, from part (b) of the theorem it follows that the Krichever-Novikov pairing is non-degenerate. Moreover, any element \(v \in \mathcal{F}^{1-\lambda}\) acts as linear form on \(\mathcal{F}_\lambda\) via

\[
\Phi_v : \mathcal{F}_\lambda \mapsto \mathbb{C}, \quad w \mapsto \Phi_v(w) := \langle v, w \rangle.
\]

Via this pairing \(\mathcal{F}^{1-\lambda}\) can be considered as restricted dual of \(\mathcal{F}_\lambda\). The identification depends on the splitting of \(A\) into \(I\) and \(O\) as the KN pairing depends on it. The full

\(^4\)Strictly speaking, there are some special cases where some constants have to be added such that the Krichever-Novikov duality (5.9) is valid.
space \((\mathcal{F}^\lambda)^*\) can even be described with the help of the pairing in a “distributional interpretation” via the distribution \(\Phi_e\) associated to the formal series

\[
\hat{v} := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{p=1}^{K} a_{m,p} f_{m,p}^{1-\lambda}, \quad a_{m,p} \in \mathbb{C}.
\]

The dual elements of \(\mathcal{L}\) will be given by the formal series (5.11) with basis elements from \(\mathcal{F}^2\), the quadratic differentials, the dual elements of \(\mathcal{A}\) correspondingly from \(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\), the differentials, and the dual elements of \(\mathcal{F}^{-1/2}\) correspondingly from \(\mathcal{F}^{3/2}\).

It is quite convenient to use special notations for elements of some important weights:

\[
e_{n,p} := f_{n,p}^{-1}, \quad \varphi_{n,p} := f_{n,p}^{-1/2}, \quad A_{n,p} := f_{n,p}^0,
\]

\[
\omega^{n,p} := f_{-n,p}^{-1}, \quad \Omega^{n,p} := f_{-n,p}^2.
\]

In view of (5.9) for the forms of weight 1 and 2 we invert the index \(n\) and write it as a superscript.

Remark 5.4. It is also possible (and for certain applications necessary) to write explicitly down the basis elements \(f_{\lambda}^{\lambda}n,p\) in terms of usual objects defined on the Riemann surface \(\Sigma\). For genus zero they can be given with the help of rational functions in the quasi-global variable \(z\). For genus one (i.e. the torus case) representations with the help of Weierstraß \(\sigma\) and Weierstraß \(\wp\) functions exists. For genus \(\geq 1\) there exists expressions in terms of theta functions (with characteristics) and prime forms. Here the Riemann surface has first to be embedded into its Jacobian via the Jacobi map. See [91, Chapter 5], [78], [81] for more details.

5.4. The algebras.

Theorem 5.5. [91, Thm. 3.8] There exists constants \(R_1\) and \(R_2\) (depending on the number and splitting of the points in \(A\) and on the genus \(g\)) independent of \(\lambda\) and \(\nu\) and independent of \(n, m \in \mathbb{J}\) such that for the basis elements

\[
f_{\lambda}^{\lambda}n,p \cdot f_{\nu}^{\nu}m,r = f_{\lambda+\nu}^{\lambda+\nu}n+m,r \delta_p^{h,s} + \sum_{h=n+m+1}^{n+m+R_1} \sum_{s=1}^{K} a_{(h,s)}^{(h,s)}(n,p,m,r) f_{h,s}^{\lambda+\nu}, \quad a_{(h,s)}^{(h,s)}(n,p,m,r) \in \mathbb{C},
\]

\[
[f_{\lambda}^{\lambda}n,p, f_{\nu}^{\nu}m,r] = (-\lambda m + \nu n) f_{\lambda+\nu}^{\lambda+\nu}n+m,r \delta_p^{h,s} + \sum_{h=n+m+1}^{n+m+R_2} \sum_{s=1}^{K} b_{(h,s)}^{(h,s)}(n,p,m,r) f_{h,s}^{\lambda+\nu}, \quad b_{(h,s)}^{(h,s)}(n,p,m,r) \in \mathbb{C}.
\]

This says in particular that with respect to both the associative and Lie structure the algebra \(\mathcal{F}\) is weakly almost-graded. In generic situations and for \(N = 2\) points one obtains \(R_1 = g\) and \(R_2 = 3g\).

The reason why we only have weakly almost-gradedness is that

\[
\mathcal{F}^{\lambda} = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{J}} \mathcal{F}^{\lambda}_m, \quad \text{with} \quad \dim \mathcal{F}^{\lambda}_m = K.
\]

If we add up for a fixed \(m\) all \(\lambda\) we get that our homogeneous spaces are infinite dimensional.
In the definition of our KN type algebra only finitely many $\lambda$ are involved, hence the following is immediate.

**Theorem 5.6.** The Krichever-Novikov type vector field algebras $L$, function algebras $A$, differential operator algebras $D^1$, Lie superalgebras $S$, and Jordan superalgebras $J$ are all (strongly) almost-graded algebras and the corresponding modules $F^\lambda$ are almost-graded modules.

We obtain with $n \in J^\lambda$ 
\[ \dim L_n = \dim A_n = \dim F^\lambda_n = K, \]
\[ \dim S_n = \dim J_n = 2K, \quad \dim D^1_n = 3K. \]
If $U$ is any of these algebras, with product denoted by $[.,.]$ then 
\[ [U_{n},U_{m}] \subseteq \bigoplus_{h=n+m} U_{n+m+R_i}, \]
with $R_i = R_1$ for $U = A$ and $R_i = R_2$ otherwise.

For further reference let us specialize the lowest degree term component in (5.13) for certain special cases.

\[ A_{n,p} \cdot A_{m,r} = A_{n+m,r} \delta_r^p + \text{h.d.t.} \]
\[ A_{n,p} \cdot f_{m,r} = f_{n+m,r} \delta_r^p + \text{h.d.t.} \]
\[ [e_{n,p},e_{m,r}] = (m-n) \cdot e_{n+m,r} \delta_r^p + \text{h.d.t.} \]
\[ e_{n,p} \cdot f_{m,r} = (m+\lambda n) \cdot f_{n+m,r} \delta_r^p + \text{h.d.t.} \]

Here h.d.t. denote linear combinations of basis elements of degree between $n+m+1$ and $n + m + R_i$.

Finally, the almost-grading of $A$ induces an almost-grading of the current algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ by setting $\mathfrak{g}_n = g \otimes A_n$. We obtain 
\[ \mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_n, \quad \dim \mathfrak{g}_n = K \cdot \dim g. \]

**5.5. Triangular decomposition and filtrations.** Let $U$ be one of the above introduced algebras (including the current algebra). On the basis of the almost-grading we obtain a triangular decomposition of the algebras 
\[ U = U_{[+]} \oplus U_{[0]} \oplus U_{[-]}, \]
where 
\[ U_{[+]} := \bigoplus_{m > 0} U_m, \quad U_{[0]} = \bigoplus_{m=0} U_m, \quad U_{[-]} := \bigoplus_{m < -R_i} U_m. \]
By the almost-gradedness the $[+]$ and $[-]$ subspaces are (infinite dimensional) subalgebras. The $[0]$ spaces in general not. Sometimes we will use critical strip for them.

With respect to the almost-grading of $F^\lambda$ we introduce a filtration 
\[ F^\lambda_{(n)} := \bigoplus_{m \geq n} F^\lambda_m, \]
\[ \ldots \supseteq F^\lambda_{(n-1)} \supseteq F^\lambda_{(n)} \supseteq F^\lambda_{(n+1)} \ldots \]
Proposition 5.7. [91, Prop. 3.15]  

\[(5.22) \quad \mathcal{F}_{(n)}^\lambda = \{ f \in \mathcal{F}^\lambda \mid \text{ord}_{P_i}(f) \geq n - \lambda, \forall i = 1, \ldots, K \} \].

This proposition is very important. In case that \( O \) has more than one point there are certain choices, e.g. numbering of the points in \( O \), different rules, etc. involved in defining the almost-grading. Hence, if the choices are made differently the subspaces \( \mathcal{F}_{(n)}^\lambda \) might depend on them, and consequently also the almost-grading. But by this proposition the induced filtration is indeed canonically defined via the splitting of \( A \) into \( I \) and \( O \).

Moreover, different choices will give equivalent almost-grading. We stress the fact, that under a KN algebra we will always understand one of introduced algebras together with an almost-grading (respectively equivalence class of almost-grading, respectively filtration) introduced by the splitting \( A = I \cup O \).

6. Central Extensions

Central extension of our algebras appear naturally in the context of quantization and regularization of actions. Of course they are also of independent mathematical interest.

6.1. Central extensions and cocycles. For the convenience of the reader let us repeat the relation between central extensions and the second Lie algebra cohomology with values in the trivial module. A central extension of a Lie algebra \( W \) is a special Lie algebra structure on the vector space direct sum \( \hat{W} = \mathbb{C} \oplus W \).

If we denote \( \hat{x} := (0, x) \) and \( t := (1, 0) \) then the Lie structure is given by

\[ [\hat{x}, \hat{y}] = \overline{x} \cdot y + \psi(x, y) \cdot t, \quad [t, \hat{W}] = 0, \quad x, y \in W, \]

with bilinear form \( \psi \). The map \( x \mapsto \hat{x} = (0, x) \) is a linear splitting map. \( \hat{W} \) will be a Lie algebra, e.g. will fulfill the Jacobi identity, if and only if \( \psi \) is an antisymmetric bilinear form and fulfills the Lie algebra 2-cocycle condition

\[ 0 = d_2 \psi(x, y, z) := \psi([x, y], z) + \psi([y, z], x) + \psi([z, x], y). \]

Two central extensions are equivalent if they essentially correspond only to the choice of different splitting maps. A 2-cochain \( \psi \) is a coboundary if there exists a linear form \( \varphi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) such that

\[ \psi(x, y) = \varphi([x, y]). \]

Every coboundary is a cocycle. The second Lie algebra cohomology \( H^2(W, \mathbb{C}) \) of \( W \) with values in the trivial module \( \mathbb{C} \) is defined as the quotient of the space of cocycles modulo coboundaries. Moreover, two central extensions are equivalent if and only if the difference of their defining 2-cocycles \( \psi \) and \( \psi' \) is a coboundary. In this way the second Lie algebra cohomology \( H^2(W, \mathbb{C}) \) classifies equivalence classes of central extensions. The class \([0]\) corresponds to the trivial central extension. In this case the splitting map is a Lie homomorphism. To construct central extensions of our algebras we have to find such Lie algebra 2-cocycles.

Clearly, equivalent central extensions are isomorphic. The opposite is not true. In our case we can always rescale the central element by multiplying it with a nonzero scalar. This is an isomorphism but not an equivalence of central extensions. Nevertheless it is an irrelevant modification. Hence we will be mainly interested in
central extensions modulo equivalence and rescaling. They are classified by $[0]$ and the elements of the projectivized cohomology space $\mathbb{P}(H^2(W, \mathbb{C}))$.

In the classical case we have $\dim H^2(W, \mathbb{C}) = 1$, hence there are only two essentially different central extensions, the splitting one given by the direct sum $\mathbb{C} \oplus W$ of Lie algebras and the up to equivalence and rescaling unique non-trivial one, the Virasoro algebra $\mathcal{V}$.

6.2. Geometric cocycles. The defining cocycle

\[
\frac{1}{12} (n^3 - n) \delta_a^m
\]

for the Virasoro algebra is very special. Obviously it does not make any sense in the higher genus and/or multi-point case. We need to find a geometric description. For this we have first to introduce connections.

6.2.1. Projective and affine connections. Let $(U_\alpha, z_\alpha)$ be a covering of the Riemann surface by holomorphic coordinates with transition functions $z_\beta = f_{\beta,\alpha}(z_\alpha)$.

**Definition 6.1.** (a) A system of local (holomorphic, meromorphic) functions $R = (R_\alpha(z_\alpha))$ is called a (holomorphic, meromorphic) projective connection if it transforms as

\[
R_\beta(z_\beta) \cdot (f_{\beta,\alpha}')^2 = R_\alpha(z_\alpha) + S(f_{\beta,\alpha}), \quad \text{with} \quad S(h) = \frac{h'''}{h'} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{h''}{h'} \right)^2,
\]

the Schwartzian derivative. Here $'$ denotes differentiation with respect to the coordinate $z_\alpha$.

(b) A system of local (holomorphic, meromorphic) functions $T = (T_\alpha(z_\alpha))$ is called a (holomorphic, meromorphic) affine connection if it transforms as

\[
T_\beta(z_\beta) \cdot (f_{\beta,\alpha}') = T_\alpha(z_\alpha) + \frac{f_{\beta,\alpha}''}{f_{\beta,\alpha}'}.
\]

Every Riemann surface admits a holomorphic projective connection $[40],[38]$. Given a point $P$ then there exists always a meromorphic affine connection holomorphic outside of $P$ and having maximally a pole of order one there $[80]$.

From their very definition it follows that the difference of two affine (projective) connections will be a (quadratic) differential. Hence, after fixing one affine (projective) connection all others are obtained by adding (quadratic) differentials.

6.2.2. The function algebra $A$. We consider it as abelian Lie algebra. Let $C$ be an arbitrary smooth but not necessarily connected curve. We set

\[
\psi^1_C(g, h) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C gdh, \quad g, h \in A.
\]

6.2.3. The current algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ we fix a symmetric, invariant, bilinear form $\beta$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ (not necessarily non-degenerate). Recall, that invariance means that we have $\beta([x, y], z) = \beta(x, [y, z])$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then a cocycle is given as

\[
\psi^2_{C,\beta}(x \otimes g, y \otimes h) := \beta(x, y) \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C gdh, \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, g, h \in \mathcal{A}.
\]
6.2.4. The vector field algebra \( \mathcal{L} \). Here it is a little bit more delicate. First we have to choose a (holomorphic) projective connection \( R \). We define
\[
\psi^3_{C,R}(e,f) := \frac{1}{24\pi i} \int_C \left( \frac{1}{2} (e''' f - ef''') - R \cdot (e' f - ef') \right) dz.
\]
Only by the term coming with the projective connection it will be a well-defined differential, i.e. independent of the coordinate chosen. It is shown in [80] (and [91]) that it is a cocycle. Another choice of a projective connection will result in a cohomologous one. Hence, the equivalence class of the central extension will be the same.

6.2.5. The differential operator algebra \( \mathcal{D} \). For the differential operator algebra the cocycles of type (6.7) for \( \mathcal{A} \) can be extended by zero on the subspace \( \mathcal{L} \). The cocycles for \( \mathcal{L} \) can be pulled back. In addition there is a third type of cocycles mixing \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{L} \):
\[
\psi^4_{C,T}(e,g) := \frac{1}{24\pi i} \int_C (eg'' + Teg') dz, \quad e \in \mathcal{L}, g \in \mathcal{A},
\]
with an affine connection \( T \), with at most a pole of order one at a fixed point in \( O \). Again, a different choice of the connection will not change the cohomology class. For more details on the cocycles see [83], [91].

6.2.6. The Lie superalgebra \( \mathcal{S} \). Here we have to take into account that it is not a Lie algebra. Hence, the Jacobi identity has to be replaced by the super-Jacobi identity. The conditions for being a cocycle for the superalgebra cohomology will change too. Recall the definition of the algebra from Section 4.6, in particular that the even elements (parity 0) are the vector fields and the odd elements (parity 1) are the half-forms. A bilinear form \( c \) is a cocycle if the following is true. The bilinear map \( c \) will be symmetric if both \( x \) and \( y \) are odd, otherwise it will be antisymmetric:
\[
c(x, y) = -(-1)^{\tilde{x} \tilde{y}} c(y, x).
\]
The super-cocycle condition reads as
\[
(-1)^{\tilde{x} \tilde{y}} c(x, [y, z]) + (-1)^{\tilde{y} \tilde{z}} c(y, [z, x]) + (-1)^{\tilde{z} \tilde{y}} c(z, [x, y]) = 0.
\]
With the help of \( c \) we can define central extensions in the Lie superalgebra sense. If we put the condition that the central element is even then the cocycle \( c \) has to be an even map and \( c \) vanishes for pairs of elements of different parity.

By convention we denote vector fields by \( e, f, g, ... \) and -1/2-forms by \( \varphi, \psi, \chi, ... \) and get
\[
c(e, \varphi) = 0, \quad e \in \mathcal{L}, \varphi \in \mathcal{F}^{-1/2}.
\]
The super-cocycle conditions for the even elements is just the cocycle condition for the Lie subalgebra \( \mathcal{L} \). The only other nonvanishing super-cocycle condition is for the \((even, odd, odd)\) elements and reads as
\[
c(e, [\varphi, \psi]) - c(\varphi, e . \psi) - c(\psi, e . \varphi) = 0.
\]
Here the definition of the product \([e, \psi] := e . \psi\) was used.

If we have a cocycle \( c \) for the algebra \( \mathcal{S} \) we obtain by restriction a cocycle for the algebra \( \mathcal{L} \). For the mixing term we know that \( c(e, \psi) = 0 \). A naive try to put just anything for \( c(\varphi, \psi) \) (for example 0) will not work as (6.14) relates the restriction of the cocycle on \( \mathcal{L} \) with its values on \( \mathcal{F}^{-1/2} \).
Proposition 6.2. Let \( C \) be any closed (differentiable) curve on \( \Sigma \) not meeting the points in \( A \), and let \( R \) be any (holomorphic) projective connection then the bilinear extension of

\[
\Phi_{C,R}(e,f) := \frac{1}{24\pi i} \int_C \left( \frac{1}{2} (e''' f - ef''') - R \cdot (ef' - e'f) \right) dz
\]

\[
\Phi_{C,R}(\varphi,\psi) := -\frac{1}{24\pi i} \int_C (\varphi'' \cdot \psi + \varphi \cdot \psi'' - R \cdot \varphi \cdot \psi) dz
\]

\[
\Phi_{C,R}(e,\varphi) := 0
\]

gives a Lie superalgebra cocycle for \( S \), hence defines a central extension of \( S \). A different projective connection will yield a cohomologous cocycle.

A similar formula was given by Bryant in [17]. By adding the projective connection in the second part of (6.15) he corrected some formula appearing in [12]. He only considered the two-point case and only the integration over a separating cycle. See also [54] for the multi-point case, where still only the integration over a separating cycle is considered.

In contrast to the differential operator algebra case the two parts cannot be prescribed independently. Only with the same integration path (more precisely, homology class) and the given factors in front of the integral it will work. The reason for this is that (6.14) relates both.

6.3. Uniqueness and classification of central extensions. The above introduced cocycles depend on the choice of the connections \( R \) and \( T \). Different choices will not change the cohomology class. Hence, this ambiguity does not disturb us. What really matters is that they depend on the integration curve \( C \) chosen.

In contrast to the classical situation, for the higher genus and/or multi-point situation there are many essentially different closed curves and hence many non-equivalent central extensions defined by the integration.

But we should take into account that we want to extend the almost-grading from our algebras to the centrally extended ones. This means we take \( \deg \hat{x} := \deg x \) and assign a degree \( \deg(t) \) to the central element \( t \), and still we want to obtain almost-gradedness.

This is possible if and only if our defining cocycle \( \psi \) is “local” in the following sense (the name was introduced in the two point case by Krichever and Novikov in [56]). There exists \( M_1, M_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that

\[
\forall n, m : \psi(W_n, W_m) \neq 0 \implies M_1 \leq n + m \leq M_2.
\]

Here \( W \) stands for any of our algebras (including the supercase). Very important, “local” is defined in terms of the almost-grading, and the almost-grading itself depends on the splitting \( A = I \cup O \). Hence what is “local” depends on the splitting too.

We will call a cocycle bounded (from above) if there exists \( M \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that

\[
\forall n, m : \psi(W_n, W_m) \neq 0 \implies n + m \leq M.
\]

Similarly bounded from below can be defined. Locality means bounded from above and from below.

Given a cocycle class we call it bounded (respectively local) if and only if it contains a representing cocycle which is bounded (respectively local). Not all
cocycles in a bounded class have to be bounded. If we choose as integration path a separating cocycle $C_S$, or one of the $C_i$ then the above introduced geometric cocycles are local, respectively bounded. Recall that in this case integration can be done by calculating residues at the in-points or at the out-points. All these cocycles are cohomologically nontrivial. The theorems in the following concern the opposite direction. They were treated in my works [83], [84], [88]. See also [91] for a complete and common treatment.

The following result for the vector field algebra $\mathcal{L}$ gives the principal structure of the classification results.

**Theorem 6.3.** [83], [91, Thm. 6.41] Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the Krichever–Novikov vector field algebra with a given almost-grading induced by the splitting $A = I \cup O$.

(a) The space of bounded cohomology classes is $K$-dimensional ($K = \# I$). A basis is given by setting the integration path in (6.9) to $C_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, K$ the little (deformed) circles around the points $P_i \in I$.

(b) The space of local cohomology classes is one-dimensional. A generator is given by integrating (6.9) over a separating cocycle $C_S$, i.e.

$$\psi_{C_S,R}^3(e,f) = \frac{1}{24\pi i} \int_{C_S} \left( \frac{1}{2} (e'''f - ef''') - R \cdot (e'f - ef') \right) dz.$$  

(c) Up to equivalence and rescaling there is only one non-trivial one-dimensional central extension $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ of the vector field algebra $\mathcal{L}$ which allows an extension of the almost-grading.

**Remark 6.4.** In the classical situation, Part (c) shows also that the Virasoro algebra is the unique non-trivial central extension of the Witt algebra (up to equivalence and rescaling). This result extends to the more general situation under the condition that one fixes the almost-grading, hence the splitting $A = I \cup O$. Here I like to repeat the fact that for $\mathcal{L}$ depending on the set $A$ and its possible splittings into two disjoint subsets there are different almost-gradings. Hence, the “unique” central extension finally obtained will also depend on the splitting. Only in the two point case there is only one splitting possible. In the case that the genus $g \geq 1$ there are even integration paths possible in the definition of (6.9) which are not homologous to a separating cycle of any splitting. Hence, there are other central extensions possible not corresponding to any almost-grading.

The above theorem is a model for all other classification results. We will always obtain a statement about the bounded (from above) cocycles and then for the local cocycles.

If we consider the function algebra $\mathcal{A}$ as an abelian Lie algebra then every skew-symmetric bilinear form will be a non-trivial cocycle. Hence, there is no hope of uniqueness. But if we add the condition of $\mathcal{L}$-invariance, which is given as

$$\psi(e,g,h) + \psi(g,e,h) = 0, \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{L}, \ g, h \in \mathcal{A}$$

things will change.

Let us denote the the subspace of local cohomology classes by $H^2_{loc}$, and the subspace of local and $\mathcal{L}$-invariant cohomology classes by $H^2_{L,loc}$. Note that the condition is only required for at least one representative in the cohomology class. We collect a part of the results for the cocycle classes of the other algebras in the following theorem.
Theorem 6.5. [91, Cor. 6.48]

(a) \( \dim H^2_{\mathcal{L}, \text{loc}}(A, \mathbb{C}) = 1 \),
(b) \( \dim H^2_{\text{loc}}(L, \mathbb{C}) = 1 \),
(c) \( \dim H^2_{\text{loc}}(D^1, \mathbb{C}) = 3 \),
(d) \( \dim H^2_{\text{loc}}(g, \mathbb{C}) = 1 \) for \( g \) a simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra,
(e) \( \dim H^2_{\text{loc}}(S, \mathbb{C}) = 1 \).

A basis of the cohomology spaces are given by taking the cohomology classes of the cocycles (6.7), (6.9), (6.10), (6.8), (6.15) obtained by integration over a separating cycle \( C_S \).

Consequently, we obtain also for these algebras the corresponding result about uniqueness of almost-graded central extensions. For the differential operator algebra we get three independent cocycles. This generalizes results of [2] for the classical case.

For result on the bounded cocycle classes we have to multiply the dimensions above by \( K \). For the supercase with odd central element the bounded cohomology vanishes.

For \( g \) a reductive Lie algebra and the cocycle \( \mathcal{L} \)-invariant if restricted to the abelian part, a complete classification of local cocycle classes for both \( \mathfrak{g} \) and \( D^1_0 \) can be found in [84], [91, Chapter 9]. See also the examples below. Note that in the case of a simple Lie algebra every symmetric, invariant bilinear form \( \beta \) is a multiple of the Cartan-Killing form.

I like to mention that in all the applications I know of, the cocycles coming from representations, regularizations, etc. are local. Hence, the uniqueness or classification result above can be used.

7. Examples and Generalizations

7.1. Examples: \( \mathfrak{sl}(n) \) and \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \). For illustration we give the cocycles for the important special cases \( \mathfrak{sl}(n) \) (which is a simple algebra) and the case of \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \) (which is reductive but not semisimple). We will study the current and the affine algebras, the differential operator algebras, and their central extensions.

7.1.1. \( \mathfrak{sl}(n) \). This is the Lie algebra of trace-less complex \( n \times n \) matrices. Up to multiplication with a scalar the Cartan-Killing form \( \beta(x, y) = \text{tr}(xy) \) is the unique symmetric invariant bilinear form. It is non-degenerate.

Proposition 7.1. [91, Prop. 9.47] (a) Every local cocycle for the current algebra \( \mathfrak{sl}(n) \) is cohomologous to

\[
\gamma(x(g), y(h)) = r \frac{\text{tr}(xy)}{2\pi i} \int_{C_S} gdh, \quad r \in \mathbb{C}.
\]

(b) Every \( \mathcal{L} \)-invariant local cocycle equals the cocycle (7.1) with a suitable \( r \).
(c) Every local cocycle for the differential operator algebra \( D^1_{\mathfrak{sl}(n)} \) is cohomologous to a linear combination of (7.1) and the standard local cocycle (6.18) for the vector field algebra. In particular, no cocycles of pure mixing type exists.
7.1.2. \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \). This is the Lie algebra of all complex \( n \times n \)-matrices. Recall that \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \) can be written as Lie algebra direct sum
\[
\mathfrak{gl}(n) = \mathfrak{s}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(n) \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(n).
\]
Here \( \mathfrak{s}(n) \) denotes the \( n \times n \) scalar matrices. This decomposition is the decomposition as reductive Lie algebra into its abelian and semisimple summands.

After tensoring with \( \mathcal{A} \) we obtain
\[
\overline{\mathfrak{gl}}(n) = \overline{\mathfrak{s}}(n) \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{sl}}(n) \cong \mathcal{A} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{sl}}(n).
\]

PROPOSITION 7.2. [91, Prop. 9.48] (a) A cocycle \( \gamma \) for \( \overline{\mathfrak{gl}}(n) \) is local and restricted to \( \overline{\mathfrak{s}}(n) \) is \( \mathcal{L} \)-invariant if and only if it is cohomologous to a linear combination \( \gamma' \) of the following two cocycles
\[
\gamma_1(x(g), y(h)) = \frac{\text{tr}(xy)}{2\pi i} \int_{C_S} gdh,
\]
\[
\gamma_2(x(g), y(h)) = \frac{\text{tr}(x)\text{tr}(y)}{2\pi i} \int_{C_S} gdh.
\]
(b) If the cocycle \( \gamma \) of (a) is \( \mathcal{L} \)-invariant then \( \gamma \) is equal to a linear combination \( r_1 \gamma_1 + r_2 \gamma_2 \) of the cocycles (7.4).
(c) \( \dim H^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathfrak{gl}(n), \mathbb{C}) = 2 \).

PROPOSITION 7.3. [91, Prop. 9.49] (a) Every local cocycle \( \gamma \) for \( \mathcal{D}^1_{\mathfrak{gl}(n)} \) is cohomologous to a linear combination of the cocycles \( \gamma_1 \) and \( \gamma_2 \) of (7.4), of the mixing cocycle
\[
\gamma^{(m)}_{S, Tr, T}(e, x(g)) = \frac{\text{tr}(x)}{2\pi i} \int_{C_S} (e g'' + T e g') dz,
\]
and of the standard local cocycle \( \psi^3_{C, R} \) for the vector field algebra, i.e.
\[
\gamma = r_1 \gamma_1 + r_2 \gamma_2 + r_3 \gamma^{(m)}_{S, Tr, T} + r_4 \psi^3_{C, R} + \text{coboundary},
\]
with suitable \( r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 \in \mathbb{C} \).
(b) If the cocycle \( \gamma \) is local and restricted to \( \overline{\mathfrak{gl}}(n) \) is \( \mathcal{L} \)-invariant, and \( r_3, r_4 \neq 0 \) then there exist an affine connection \( T^l \) and a projective connection \( T^r \) holomorphic outside \( A \) such that \( \gamma = r_1 \gamma_1 + r_2 \gamma_2 + r_3 \gamma_{S, Tr, T} + r_4 \gamma^{(m)}_{S, R} \).
(c) \( \dim H^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{D}^1_{\mathfrak{gl}(n)}, \mathbb{C}) = 4 \).

7.2. The genus zero and three-point situation. For illustration let us consider the three-point KN type algebras of genus zero. We consider the Riemann sphere \( S^2 = \mathbb{P}^1 \) and a set \( A \) consisting of 3 points. Given any triple of 3 points there exists always an analytic automorphism of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) mapping this triple to \( \{a, -a, \infty\} \), with \( a \neq 0 \). In fact \( a = 1 \) would suffice. Without restriction we can take
\[
I := \{\infty\}, \quad O := \{a, -a\}.
\]
Due to the symmetry of the situation it is more convenient to take a symmetrized basis of \( \mathcal{A} \) (with \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \))
\[
A_{2k} := (z - a)^k (z + a)^k, \quad A_{2k + 1} := z (z - a)^k (z + a)^k,
\]
for \( \mathcal{L} \) (with \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \))
\[
V_{2k} := z (z - a)^k (z + a)^k \frac{d}{dz}, \quad V_{2k + 1} := (z - a)^{k+1} (z + a)^k \frac{d}{dz},
\]
and for the $-1/2$-forms

\begin{equation}
\varphi_{2k-1/2} := (z-a)^k(z+a)^k \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^{-1/2}, \quad \varphi_{2k+1/2} := z(z-a)^k(z+a)^k \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^{-1/2}.
\end{equation}

Also we inverted the grading. By straight-forward calculations we obtain for the algebras the following structures.

The function algebra.

\begin{equation}
A_n \cdot A_m = \begin{cases}
A_{n+m}, & n \text{ or } m \text{ even,} \\
A_{n+m} + a^2 \otimes A_{n+m-2}, & n \text{ and } m \text{ odd.}
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

The vector field algebra.

\begin{equation}
[V_n, V_m] = \begin{cases}
(m - n)V_{n+m}, & n, m \text{ odd,} \\
(m - n)(V_{n+m} + a^2V_{n+m-2}), & n, m \text{ even,} \\
(m - n)V_{n+m} + (m - n - 1)a^2V_{n+m-2}, & n \text{ odd, } m \text{ even.}
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

The current algebra.

\begin{equation}
[x \otimes A_n, y \otimes A_m] = \begin{cases}
[x, y] \otimes A_{n+m}, & n \text{ or } m \text{ even,} \\
[x, y] \otimes A_{n+m} + a^2[x, y] \otimes A_{n+m-2}, & n \text{ and } m \text{ odd.}
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

The structure equations for the superalgebra look similar and can be easily calculated.

The central extensions can be given by determining the cocycle values by calculating the residues of the integrand at $\infty$. For example the local cocycle $\psi_{CS}^1$ for the function algebra calculates as (see [30, A.13 and A.14])

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{CS} A_n dA_m = \begin{cases}
-n\delta_{-m}^{-n}, & n \text{ even,} \\
0, & n, m \text{ different parity,} \\
-n\delta_{-m}^{-n} + a^2(-n + 1)\delta_{-m}^{-n+2}, & n, m \text{ odd.}
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

The affine algebra is now given as the almost-graded central extension $\hat{g}_{s, S}$ of the current algebra given by the cocycle

\begin{equation}
\psi_{CS, s}^2(x \otimes A_n, y \otimes A_m) = \beta(x, y) \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{CS} A_n dA_m = \beta \cdot \psi_{CS}^1.
\end{equation}

Three-point $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$-current algebra for genus 0.

Given a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with generators and structure equations the relations above can be written in these terms. An important example is $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ with the standard generators

\[
h := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
We set \( e_n := e \otimes A_n, \) \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) and in the same way \( f_n \) and \( h_n \). Recall that the invariant bilinear form \( \beta(x, y) = \text{tr}(x \cdot y) \). We calculate

\[
\begin{align*}
[ e_n, f_m ] &= \begin{cases} h_{n+m}, & n \text{ or } m \text{ even}, \\ h_{n+m} + a^2 h_{n+m-2}, & n \text{ and } m \text{ odd}, \end{cases} \\
[ h_n, e_m ] &= \begin{cases} 2e_{n+m}, & n \text{ or } m \text{ even}, \\ 2e_{n+m} + 2a^2 e_{n+m-2}, & n \text{ and } m \text{ odd}, \end{cases} \\
[ h_n, f_m ] &= \begin{cases} -2f_{n+m}, & n \text{ or } m \text{ even}, \\ -2f_{n+m} - 2a^2 f_{n+m-2}, & n \text{ and } m \text{ odd}. \end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

For the central extension we obtain

\[
[ e_n, f_m ] = \begin{cases} h_{n+m} - n \delta_m^{-n}, & n \text{ or } m \text{ even}, \\ h_{n+m} + a^2 h_{n+m-2} - n \delta_m^{-n} - a^2(n-1)\delta_m^{-n+2}, & n \text{ and } m \text{ odd}. \end{cases}
\]

For the other commutators we do not have contributions to the center.

### 7.3. Deformations.

As the second Lie algebra cohomology of the Witt and Virasoro algebra in their adjoint module vanishes \([87], [27], [28]\) both are formally and infinitesimally rigid. This means that all formal (and infinitesimal) families with special fiber these algebras are equivalent to the trivial one. If we consider the examples of Section 7.2 parameterized by a variable \( a \), then they are non-trivial (even locally non-trivial) families which have themselves as special elements for \( a = 0 \) the classical algebras. The geometric context is clear: the two points \( a \) and \( -a \) move together. By Fialowski and Schlichenmaier \([29], [30], [31]\) the above algebras and similar families of algebras on tori, were used to exhibit the fact, that e.g. the Witt and Virasoro algebra despite their formal rigidity allow non-trivial algebraic-geometric deformations. This is an effect that cannot appear in the finite-dimensional algebra setting. For families on tori see the above quoted results, respectively \([91], \text{Chapter 12}\). See also \([78], [13], [15], [19], [24], [74]\).

### 7.4. Genus zero multi-point algebras – integrable systems.

Already the Witt and Virasoro algebra in genus zero with two points where poles are allowed are mathematically highly interesting objects which have e.g. a non-trivial representation theory. If we remain on the Riemann sphere but now allow more than two poles we obtain an even more demanding mathematical theory. For the multi-point case the related systems are important. For example the classical Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov models of Conformal Field Theory (CFT) are of this type, see e.g. \([53]\). Integrable systems show up.

Due to the connection between CFT and statistical mechanics it is not a surprise that the genus zero multi-point Krichever–Novikov algebras turn out to be related to algebras appearing in statistical mechanics. For example the Onsager algebra appears as subalgebra of the three-point, \( g = 0 \), \( sl(2, \mathbb{C}) \)-Krichever–Novikov algebra. In this context see e.g. the work of Terwilliger and collaborators \([41], [5], [46]\).

For the genus zero multi-point situation quite a number of publications appeared. Some references are \([78], [29], [30], [31], [14], [16], [86], [1], [21]\).

From the point of view of symmetries of integrable systems the concept of auto-morphic Lie algebras shows up. It was e.g. developed by Lombardo, Mikailov, and Sanders in \([64], [65], [66]\). Invariant objects under finite subgroups of \( PGL(2, \mathbb{C}) \),
the symmetry group of the Riemann sphere, are studied. Of course, there are relations to the $g = 0$, multi-point Krichever–Novikov type algebras. Chopp [20] obtained some results for the genus one multi-point setting.

### 7.5. Toroidal Lie algebras

The path of generalization starting from the classical picture as taken by Krichever–Novikov goes from genus zero to higher genus. There is another path by considering instead of the classical algebra of Laurent polynomial $\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}, z]$ as starting point, the algebra generated by several "Laurent variables". This generalization is in the context of current algebras quite natural, as there the finite-dimensional Lie algebra can be tensorized by an arbitrary commutative algebra. The algebras obtained in this way are called toroidal algebras. They correspond to increasing the dimension instead of the genus. A short collection of references and names is given by Bermann, Billig, Buelk, Cox, Futorny, Hu, Jurisich, Kashuba, Penkov, Szmigielski, Xia, Yokumuma, [8], [18], [35], [6], [7], [22], [10], [25], [69], [70], [107].

### 7.6. Other generalizations of KN type algebras

We considered geometric vector fields, respectively differential operators. Donin and Khesin [26] showed that also pseudo-differential symbols could be treated via some Krichever–Novikov like objects.

Furthermore there are discretized and $q$-deformed Krichever–Novikov type algebras. In the definition of the KN vector field algebra the differential can be replaced by a difference operator assuming that we have a geometric situation appropriate to this discretization. See e.g. Meiler and Ruffing [73], [67].

Such discretizations are related to $q$-deformed versions of the Krichever–Novikov vector field algebra - again for special geometric cases. In some sense the structure equations are deformed by expressions depending on a formal parameter $q$. One does not obtain Lie algebras anymore, but $q$-Lie algebras. The $q$-Witt and $q$-Virasoro algebras are of certain importance in the context of integrable systems. One might guess that the same will be the case for the $q$-deformed Krichever–Novikov vector field algebra. See e.g. the references Kuang [60], Oh and Singh [71].

### 8. Lax Operator Algebras

Recently, a new class of current type algebras appeared, the Lax operator algebras. As the naming indicates, they are related to integrable systems [101]. The algebras were introduced by Krichever [55], and Krichever and Sheinman [59]. Here I will report on their definition. See the book [102] of Sheinman for more details.

Compared to the KN current type algebra we will allow additional singularities which will play a special role. The points where these singularities are allowed are called weak singular points. The set of such points is denoted by

$$W = \{ \gamma_s \in \Sigma \setminus A \mid s = 1, \ldots, R \}.$$  

Here let $\mathfrak{g}$ be one of the classical matrix algebras $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$, $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$, $\mathfrak{so}(n)$, $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$. We assign to every point $\gamma_s$ a vector $\alpha_s \in \mathbb{C}^n$ (respectively $\in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ for $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$). The system

$$T := \{ (\gamma_s, \alpha_s) \in \Sigma \times \mathbb{C}^n \mid s = 1, \ldots, R \}$$
is called Tyurin data.

**Remark 8.1.** In case that $R = n \cdot g$ and for generic values of $(\gamma_s, \alpha_s)$ with $\alpha_s \neq 0$ the tuples of pairs $(\gamma_s, [\alpha_s])$ with $[\alpha_s] \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ parameterize semi-stable rank $n$ and degree $ng$ framed holomorphic vector bundles as shown by Tyurin [106]. Hence, the name Tyurin data.

We consider $\mathfrak{g}$-valued meromorphic functions 5

\begin{equation}
L : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathfrak{g},
\end{equation}

which are holomorphic outside $W \cup A$, have at most poles of order one (respectively of order two for $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$) at the points in $W$, and fulfill certain conditions at $W$ depending on $T$. To describe them let us fix local coordinates $w_s$ centered at $\gamma_s$, $s = 1, \ldots, R$. For $\mathfrak{g}(n)$ the conditions are as follows. For $s = 1, \ldots, R$ we require that there exist $\beta_s \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\kappa_s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the function $L$ has the following expansion at $\gamma_s \in W$

\begin{equation}
L(w_s) = \frac{L_{s,-1}}{w_s} + L_{s,0} + \sum_{k>0} L_{s,k} w_s^k,
\end{equation}

with

\begin{equation}
L_{s,-1} = \alpha_s \beta_s^t, \quad \text{tr}(L_{s,-1}) = \beta_s^t \alpha_s = 0, \quad L_{s,0} \alpha_s = \kappa_s \alpha_s.
\end{equation}

In particular, if $L_{s,-1}$ is non-vanishing then it is a rank 1 matrix, and if $\alpha_s \neq 0$ then it is an eigenvector of $L_{s,0}$. The requirements (8.5) are independent of the chosen coordinates $w_s$.

It is not at all clear that the commutator of two such matrix functions fulfills again these conditions. But it is shown in [59] that they indeed close to a Lie algebra (in fact in the case of $\mathfrak{g}(n)$ they constitute an associative algebra under the matrix product). If one of the $\alpha_s = 0$ then the conditions at the point $\gamma_s$ correspond to the fact, that $L$ has to be holomorphic there. If all $\alpha_s$'s are zero or $W = \emptyset$ we obtain back the current algebra of KN type. For the algebra under consideration here, in some sense the Lax operator algebras generalize them. In the bundle interpretation of the Tyurin data the KN case corresponds to the trivial rank $n$ bundle.

For $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ the only additional condition is that in (8.4) all matrices $L_{s,k}$ are trace-less. The conditions (8.5) remain unchanged.

In the case of $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ one requires that all $L_{s,k}$ in (8.4) are skew-symmetric. In particular, they are trace-less. Following [59] the set-up has to be slightly modified. First only those Tyurin parameters $\alpha_s$ are allowed which satisfy $\alpha_s^t \alpha_s = 0$. Then, (8.5) is changed in the following way:

\begin{equation}
L_{s,-1} = \alpha_s \beta_s^t - \beta_s \alpha_s^t, \quad \text{tr}(L_{s,-1}) = \beta_s^t \alpha_s = 0, \quad L_{s,0} \alpha_s = \kappa_s \alpha_s.
\end{equation}

For $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ we consider a symplectic form $\hat{\sigma}$ for $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ given by a non-degenerate skew-symmetric matrix $\sigma$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ is the Lie algebra of matrices $X$ such that $X^t \sigma + \sigma X = 0$. The condition $\text{tr}(X) = 0$ will be automatic. At the weak singularities we have the expansion

\begin{equation}
L(w_s) = \frac{L_{s,-2}}{w_s^2} + \frac{L_{s,-1}}{w_s} + L_{s,0} + L_{s,1} w_s + \sum_{k>1} L_{s,k} w_s^k.
\end{equation}

5Strictly speaking, the interpretation as function is a little bit misleading, as they behave under differentiation like operators on trivialized sections of vector bundles.
The condition (8.5) is modified as follows (see [59]): there exist \( \beta_s \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}, \nu_s, \kappa_s \in \mathbb{C} \) such that
\begin{equation}
L_{s,-2} = \nu_s \alpha_s \alpha_s^t \sigma, \quad L_{s,-1} = (\alpha_s \beta_s^t + \beta_s \alpha_s^t) \sigma, \quad \beta_s^t \sigma \alpha_s = 0, \quad L_{s,0} \alpha_s = \kappa_s \alpha_s.
\end{equation}
Moreover, we require \( \alpha_s^t \sigma L_{s,1} \alpha_s = 0 \). Again under the point-wise matrix commutator the set of such maps constitute a Lie algebra.

It is possible to introduce an almost-graded structure for these Lax operator algebras induced by a splitting of the set \( A = I \cup O \). This is done for the two-point case in [59] and for the multi-point case in [90]. From the applications there is again a need to classify almost-graded central extensions.

The author obtained this jointly with O. Sheinman in [95] for the two-point case. For the multi-point case see [90]. For the Lax operator algebras associated to the simple algebras \( \mathfrak{sl}(n), \mathfrak{so}(n), \mathfrak{sp}(n) \) it will be unique (meaning: given a splitting of \( A \) there is an almost-grading and with respect to this there is up to equivalence and rescaling only one non-trivial almost-graded central extension). For \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \) we obtain two independent local cocycle classes if we assume \( \mathcal{L} \)-invariance on the reductive part. Both in the definition of the cocycle and in the definition of \( \mathcal{L} \)-invariance a connection shows up.

Remark 8.2. Recently, Sheinman extended the set-up to \( G_2 \) [103] and moreover gave a recipe for all semi-simple Lie algebras [104].

9. Fermionic Fock Space

9.1. Semi-Infinite forms and fermionic Fock space representations.
Our Krichever-Novikov vector field algebras \( \mathcal{L} \) have as Lie modules the spaces \( F^\lambda \). These representations are not of the type physicists are usually interested in. There are neither annihilation nor creation operators which can be used to construct the full representation out of a vacuum state.

To obtain representation with the required properties the almost-grading again comes into play. First, using the grading of \( F^\lambda \) it is possible to construct starting from \( F^\lambda \) the forms of weight \( \lambda \in 1/2\mathbb{Z} \), the semi-infinite wedge forms \( \mathcal{H}^\lambda \).

The vector space \( \mathcal{H}^\lambda \) is generated by basis elements which are formal expressions of the type
\begin{equation}
\Phi = f^\lambda_{(i_1)} \wedge f^\lambda_{(i_2)} \wedge f^\lambda_{(i_3)} \wedge \cdots,
\end{equation}
where \( (i) = (m_1, p_1) \) is a double index indexing our basis elements. The indices are in strictly increasing lexicographical order. They are stabilizing in the sense that they will increase exactly by one starting from a certain index, depending on \( \Phi \). The action of \( \mathcal{L} \) should be extended by Leibniz rule from \( F^\lambda \) to \( \mathcal{H}^\lambda \). But a problem arises. For elements of the critical strip \( \mathcal{L}_{[0]} \) of the algebra \( \mathcal{L} \) it might happen that they produce infinitely many contributions. The action has to be regularized (as physicists like to call it), which is a well-defined mathematical procedure.

Here the almost-grading has his second appearance. By the (strong) almost-graded module structure of \( F^\lambda \) the algebra \( \mathcal{L} \) can be embedded into the Lie algebra of both-sided infinite matrices
\begin{equation}
\mathfrak{gl}(\infty) := \{ A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mid \exists r = r(A), \text{ such that } a_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } |i - j| > r \},
\end{equation}
with “finitely many diagonals”. The embedding will depend on the weight \( \lambda \). For \( \mathfrak{g}l(\infty) \) there exists a procedure for the regularization of the action on the semi-infinite wedge product \([23], [50]\), see also \([51]\). In particular, there is a unique non-trivial central extension \( \hat{\mathfrak{g}l(\infty)} \). If we pull-back the defining cocycle for the extension we obtain a central extension \( \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\lambda} \) of \( \mathcal{L} \) and the required regularization of the action of \( \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\lambda} \) on \( \mathcal{H}^\lambda \). As the embedding of \( \mathcal{L} \) depends on the weight \( \lambda \) the cocycle will depend too. The pull-back cocycle will be local. Hence, by the classification results of Section 6.3 it is the unique central extension class defined by \((6.9)\) integrated over \( C_S \) (up to a rescaling).

In \( \mathcal{H}^\lambda \) there are invariant subspaces, which are generated by a certain “vacuum vectors”. The subalgebra \( \mathcal{L}_{\{+\}} \) annihilates the vacuum, the central element and the other elements of degree zero act by multiplication with a constant and the whole representation state is generated by \( \mathcal{L}\{−\} \oplus \mathcal{L}\{0\} \) from the vacuum.

As the function algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) operates as multiplication operators on \( \mathcal{F}^\lambda \) the above representation can be extended to the algebra \( \mathcal{D}^1 \) (see details in \([80], [91]\)) after one passes to central extensions. The cocycle again is local and hence, up to coboundary, it will be a certain linear combination of the 3 generating cocycles for the differential operator algebra. In fact its class will be

\[
(9.3) \quad c_{\lambda}\{\psi_3^3\} + \frac{2\lambda - 1}{2}[\psi_3^3] - [\psi_1^1], \quad c_\lambda := -2(6\lambda^2 - 6\lambda + 1).
\]

Recall that \( \psi_3 \) is the cocycle for the vector field algebra, \( \psi_1 \) the cocycle for the function algebra, and \( \psi_4 \) the mixing cocycle. Note that the expression for \( c_\lambda \) appears also in Mumford’s formula \([85]\) relating divisors on the moduli space of curves.

For \( \mathcal{L} \) we could rescale the central element. Hence essentially, the central extension \( \hat{\mathcal{L}} \) did not depend on the weight. Here this is different. The central extension \( \hat{\mathcal{D}}^1_{\lambda} \) depends on it. Furthermore, the representation on \( \mathcal{H}^\lambda \) gives a projective representation of the algebra of \( \mathcal{D}_\lambda \) of differential operators of all orders. It is exactly the combination \((9.3)\) which lifts to a cocycle for \( \mathcal{D}_\lambda \) and gives a central extension \( \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{\lambda} \).

For the centrally extended algebras \( \hat{\mathfrak{g}_l} \) in a similar way fermionic Fock space representations can be constructed, see \([100], [93]\).

9.2. \( b - c \) systems. Related to the above there are other quantum algebra systems which can be realized on \( \mathcal{H}^\lambda \). On the space \( \mathcal{H}^\lambda \) the forms \( \mathcal{F}^\lambda \) act by wedging elements \( f^\lambda \in \mathcal{F}^\lambda \) in front of the semi-infinite wedge form, i.e.

\[
(9.4) \quad \Phi \mapsto f^\lambda \wedge \Phi.
\]

Using the Krichever-Novikov duality pairing \((5.4)\) and by contracting the elements in the semi-infinite wedge forms the forms \( f^{1 - \lambda} \in \mathcal{F}^{1 - \lambda} \) will act on them too. For \( \Phi \) a basis element \((9.1)\) of \( \mathcal{H}^\lambda \) the contraction is defines via

\[
(9.5) \quad i(f^{1 - \lambda})\Phi = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{l-1} \langle f^{1 - \lambda}, f_{(i)}^\lambda \rangle \cdot f_{(i)}^\lambda \wedge f_{(i)}^\lambda \wedge \cdots f_{(i)}^\lambda.
\]

Here \( f_{(i)}^\lambda \) indicates as usual that this element will not be there anymore.

Both operations create a Clifford algebra like structure, which is sometimes called a \( b - c \) system, see \([91, \text{Chapters 7 and 8}]\).
9.3. **Vertex algebras.** From \( b - c \) systems it is not far to describe the mathematical notion of a global operator field. Furthermore, it is possible to describe operator product expansions also in the Krichever–Novikov setting. Above we discussed fermionic representations. In physics also bosonic representations are needed. From the physicists’ point of view vertex operators give a “boson-fermion correspondence”.

For the mathematical background of vertex algebras in the classical genus zero setting see [48], [52], [34], [49]. We will not recall their definition here. Let me only say, that there is a state-field correspondence fulfilling certain axioms.

It has to be pointed out that vertex algebras do not only play a role in field theory. They were also crucial in understanding the Monster and Moonshine phenomena which refers to the fact that the dimensions of the irreducible representations of the largest sporadic finite group, the monster group, show up in the coefficients of the \( q \)-expansion of the elliptic modular function \( j \). This was first seen experimentally and later explained with the help of representations of a certain vertex algebra which was related to the monster. The \( j \)-function appears as graded dimension of a representation of this vertex algebra. The details can be found in [34]. Also with the help of vertex algebras representations of Kac-Moody algebras can be constructed.

To construct vertex algebras in higher genus there are different strategies. One is by some kind of semi-local approach very much in the spirit of Tsuchiya, Ueno and Yamada [105]. An example is given by Zhu [108]. Another direction is based on an operadic approach. See for example Huang and Lepowsky [42], [43], [44], [45]. Also there is a sheaf theoretic approach due to Frenkel and Ben-Zvi [32], [33].

A mathematical treatment via the Krichever–Novikov objects which stays very close to the axiomatic treatment in genus zero is given by Linde [62], [63]. Strictly speaking, he does it only for the two-point case. His objects, as they are formulated in terms of the KN basis, should extend to the multi-point situation too. The details are not yet done.

A physicists approach via Krichever–Novikov objects in the context of explicit types of field theories and their special properties is given by Bonora and collaborators [11], [75]. For a general use of KN type algebras in Quantum Field Theory by physicists see [91, Section 14.5]. There an extensive list of names and references can be found.

10. **Sugawara Representation**

In the classical set-up the Sugawara construction relates to a representation of the classical affine Lie algebra \( \hat{g} \) a representation of the Virasoro algebra, see e.g. [48], [51]. In joint work with O. Sheinman the author succeeded in extending it to arbitrary genus and the multi-point setting [92]. For an updated treatment, incorporating also the uniqueness results of central extensions, see [91, Chapter 10]. Here we will give an extremely rough sketch.

We start with an admissible representation \( V \) of a centrally extended current algebra \( \hat{g} \). Admissible means, that the central element operates as constant \( \times \) identity, and that every element \( v \) in the representation space will be annihilated by the elements in \( \hat{g} \) of sufficiently high degree (the degree depends on the element \( v \)).
For simplicity let \( g \) be either abelian or simple and \( \beta \) the non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form used to construct \( \hat{g} \) (now we need that it is non-degenerate). Let \( \{ u_i \}, \{ v^j \} \) be a system of dual basis elements for \( g \) with respect to \( \beta \), i.e. \( \beta(u_i, v^j) = \delta^j_i \). Note that the Casimir element of \( g \) can be given by \( \sum u_i \).

For \( x \in g \) we consider the family of operators \( x(n, p) \) given by the operation of \( x \otimes A_{n,p} \) on \( V \). We group them together in a formal sum

\[
\hat{x}(Q) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{p=1}^{K} x(n, p) \omega^{n,p}(Q), \quad Q \in \Sigma.
\]

Such a formal sum is called a field if applied to a vector \( v \in V \) it gives again a formal sum (now of elements from \( V \)) which is bounded from above. By the condition of admissibility \( \hat{x}(Q) \) is a field. It is of conformal weight one, as the one-differentials \( \omega^{n,p} \) show up.

The current operator fields are defined as

\[
J_i(Q) := \hat{u}_i(Q) = \sum_{n,p} u_i(n, p) \omega^{n,p}(Q).
\]

The Sugawara operator field \( T(Q) \) is defined by

\[
T(Q) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_i :J_i(Q)J_i^i(Q) :.
\]

Here \( :, : \) denotes some normal ordering, which is needed to make the product of two fields again a field. The standard normal ordering is defined as

\[
:x(n, p)y(m, r): = \begin{cases} 
  x(n, p)y(m, r), & (n, p) \leq (m, r) \\
  y(m, r)x(n, p), & (n, p) > (m, r)
\end{cases}
\]

where the indices \( (n, p) \) are lexicographically ordered. By this prescription the annihilation operator, i.e. the operators of positive degree, are brought as much as possible to the right so that they act first.

As the current operators are fields of conformal weights one the Sugawara operator field is a field of weight two. Hence we write it as

\[
T(Q) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{p=1}^{K} L_{k,p} \cdot \Omega^{k,p}(Q)
\]

with certain operators \( L_{k,p} \). The \( L_{k,p} \) are called modes of the Sugawara field \( T \) or just Sugawara operators.

Let \( 2\kappa \) be the eigenvalue of the Casimir operator in the adjoint representation. For \( g \) abelian \( \kappa = 0 \). For \( g \) simple and \( \beta \) normalized that such the longest roots have square length 2 then \( \kappa \) is the dual Coxeter number. Recall that the central element \( t \) acts on the representation space \( V \) as \( c \cdot id \) with a scalar \( c \). This scalar is called the level of the representation. The key result is (where \( x(g) \) denotes the operator corresponding to the element \( x \otimes g \))

**Proposition 10.1.** [91, Prop. 10.8] Let \( g \) be either an abelian or a simple Lie algebra. Then

\[
[L_{k,p}, x(g)] = -(c + \kappa) \cdot x(e_{k,p} \cdot g).
\]

\[\text{For simplicity we drop mentioning the range of summation here and in the following when it is clear.}\]
\( [L_{k,p}, \tilde{x}(Q)] = (c + \kappa) \cdot (e_{k,p} \cdot \tilde{x}(Q)) . \)

Recall that \( e_{k,p} \) are the KN basis elements for the vector field algebra \( \mathcal{L} \).

In the next steps the commutators of the operators \( L_{k,p} \) can be calculated. In the case the \( c + \kappa = 0 \), called the critical level, these operators generate a subalgebra of the center of \( \mathfrak{gl}(V) \). If \( c + \kappa \neq 0 \) (i.e. at a non-critical level) the \( L_{k,p} \) can be replaced by rescaled elements \( L^*_{k,p} = \frac{-1}{c + \kappa} L_{k,p} \) and we denote by \( T[.] \) the linear representation of \( \mathcal{L} \) induced by

\[
(10.8) \quad T[e_{k,p}] = L^*_{k,p}.
\]

The result is that \( T \) defines a projective representation of \( \mathcal{L} \) with a local cocycle. This cocycle is up to rescaling our geometric cocycle \( \psi_{\mathcal{L}} \) with a projective connection. In detail,

\[
(10.9) \quad T[[e, f]] = [T[e], T[f]] + \frac{c \dim \mathfrak{g}}{c + \kappa} \psi_{\mathcal{L}}(e, f) id.
\]

Consequently, by setting

\[
(10.10) \quad T[e] := T[e], \quad T[t] := \frac{c \dim \mathfrak{g}}{c + \kappa} id.
\]

we obtain a honest Lie representation of the centrally extended vector field algebra \( \mathcal{L} \) given by this local cocycle. For the general reductive case, see [91, Section 10.2.1].

11. Application to Moduli Space

This application deals with Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten models and Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov Connection. Despite the fact, that it is a very important application, the following description is very condensed. More can be found in [93], [94]. See also [91], [102]. Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten (WZNW) models are defined on the basis of a fixed finite-dimensional simple (or semi-simple) Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \). One considers families of representations of the affine algebras \( \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \) (which is an almost-graded central extension of \( \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \) defined over the moduli space of Riemann surfaces of genus \( g \) with \( K + 1 \) marked points and splitting of type \( (K, 1) \). The single point in \( O \) will be a reference point. The data of the moduli of the Riemann surface and the marked points enter the definition of the algebra \( \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \) and the representation. The construction of certain co-invariants yields a special vector bundle of finite rank over moduli space, called the vector bundle of conformal blocks, or Verlinde bundle. With the help of the Krichever Novikov vector field algebra, and using the Sugawara construction, the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (KZ) connection is given. It is projectively flat. An essential fact is that certain elements in the critical strip \( \mathcal{L}_{[0]} \) correspond to infinitesimal deformations of the moduli and to moving the marked points. This gives a global operator approach in contrast to the semi-local approach of Tsuchia, Ueno, and Yamada [105].
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