

Derived category of filtered objects

Pierre Schapira and Jean-Pierre Schneiders

June 7, 2013

Abstract

For an abelian category \mathcal{C} and a filtrant preordered set Λ , we prove that the derived category of the quasi-abelian category of filtered objects in \mathcal{C} indexed by Λ is equivalent to the derived category of the abelian category of functors from Λ to \mathcal{C} . We apply this result to the study of the category of filtered modules over a filtered ring in a tensor category.

1 Introduction

Filtered modules over filtered sheaves of rings appear naturally in mathematics, such as for example when studying \mathcal{D}_X -modules on a complex manifold X , \mathcal{D}_X denoting the filtered ring of differential operators (see [Ka03]). As it is well-known, the category of filtered modules over a filtered ring is not abelian, only exact in the sense of Quillen [Qu73] or quasi-abelian in the sense of [Sn99], but this is enough to consider the derived category (see [BBD82, La83]). However, quasi-abelian categories are not easy to manipulate, and we shall show in this paper how to substitute a very natural abelian category to this quasi-abelian category, giving the same derived category.

More precisely, consider an abelian category \mathcal{C} admitting small exact filtrant (equivalently, “directed”) colimits and a filtrant preordered set Λ . In this paper, we regard a filtered object in \mathcal{C} as a functor $M: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ with the property that all $M(\lambda)$ are sub-objects of $\varinjlim M$. We prove that the derived category of the quasi-abelian category of filtered objects in \mathcal{C} indexed by Λ is equivalent to the derived category of the abelian category of functors from

Λ to \mathcal{C} . Note that a particular case of this result, in which $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$ and \mathcal{C} is the category of abelian groups, was already obtained in [Sn99, § 3.1].

Next, we assume that \mathcal{C} is a tensor category and Λ is a preordered semi-group. In this case, we can define what is a filtered ring A indexed by Λ and a filtered A -module in \mathcal{C} and we prove a similar result to the preceding one, namely that the derived category of the category of filtered A -modules is equivalent to the derived category of the abelian category of modules over the Λ -ring A .

Applications to the study of filtered \mathcal{D}_X -modules will be developed in the future. Indeed it is proved in [GS12] that, on a complex manifold X endowed with the subanalytic topology X_{sa} , the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{sa}}}$ (which is in fact an object of the derived category of sheaves, no more concentrated in degree zero) may be endowed with various filtrations and the results of this paper will be used when developing this point.

2 A review on quasi-abelian categories

In this section, we briefly review the main notions on quasi-abelian categories and their derived categories, after [Sn99]. We refer to [KS06] for an exposition on abelian, triangulated and derived categories.

Let \mathcal{C} be an additive category admitting kernels and cokernels. Recall that, for a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{C} , $\text{Im}(f)$ is the kernel of $Y \rightarrow \text{Coker}(f)$, and $\text{Coim}(f)$ is the cokernel of $\text{Ker}(f) \rightarrow X$. Then f decomposes as

$$X \rightarrow \text{Coim}(f) \rightarrow \text{Im}(f) \rightarrow Y.$$

One says that f is *strict* if $\text{Coim}(f) \rightarrow \text{Im}(f)$ is an isomorphism. Note that a monomorphism (resp. an epimorphism) $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is strict if and only if $X \rightarrow \text{Im}(f)$ (resp. $\text{Coim}(f) \rightarrow Y$) is an isomorphism. For any morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$,

- $\text{Ker}(f) \rightarrow X$ and $\text{Im}(f) \rightarrow Y$ are strict monomorphisms,
- $X \rightarrow \text{Coim}(f)$ and $Y \rightarrow \text{Coker}(f)$ are strict epimorphisms.

Note also that a morphism f is strict if and only if it factors as $i \circ s$ with a strict epimorphism s and a strict monomorphism i .

Definition 2.1. A *quasi-abelian* category is an additive category which admits kernels and cokernels and satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) strict epimorphisms are stable by base changes,
- (ii) strict monomorphisms are stable by co-base changes.

The condition (i) means that, for any strict epimorphism $u: X \rightarrow Y$ and any morphism $Y' \rightarrow Y$, setting $X' = X \times_Y Y' = \text{Ker}(X \times Y' \rightarrow Y)$, the composition $X' \rightarrow X \times Y' \rightarrow Y'$ is a strict epimorphism. The condition (ii) is similar by reversing the arrows.

Note that, for any morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in a quasi-abelian category, $\text{Coim}(f) \rightarrow \text{Im}(f)$ is both a monomorphism and an epimorphism.

Remark that if \mathcal{C} is a quasi-abelian category, then its opposite category \mathcal{C}^{op} is also quasi-abelian.

Of course, an abelian category is quasi-abelian category in which all morphisms are strict.

Definition 2.2. Let \mathcal{C} be a quasi-abelian category. A sequence $M' \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{f'} M''$ with $f' \circ f = 0$ is strictly exact if f is strict and the canonical morphism $\text{Im } f \rightarrow \text{Ker } f'$ is an isomorphism.

Equivalently such a sequence is strictly exact if the canonical morphism $\text{Coim } f \rightarrow \text{Ker } f'$ is an isomorphism.

One shall be aware that the notion of strict exactness is not auto-dual.

Consider a functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ of quasi-abelian categories. Recall that F is

- strictly exact if it sends any strict exact sequence $X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X''$ to a strict exact sequence,
- strictly left exact if it sends any strict exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X''$ to a strict exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F(X') \rightarrow F(X) \rightarrow F(X'')$,
- left exact if it sends any strict exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X'' \rightarrow 0$ to a strict exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F(X') \rightarrow F(X) \rightarrow F(X'')$.

Derived categories

Let \mathcal{C} be an additive category. One denotes as usual by $\text{C}(\mathcal{C})$ the additive category consisting of complexes in \mathcal{C} . For $X \in \text{C}(\mathcal{C})$, one denotes by X^n ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$) its n 's component and by $d_X^n: X^n \rightarrow X^{n+1}$ the differential. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, one denotes by $X \mapsto X[k]$ the shift functor (also called translation

functor). We denote by $C^+(\mathcal{C})$ (resp. $C^-(\mathcal{C})$, $C^b(\mathcal{C})$) the full subcategory of $C(\mathcal{C})$ consisting of objects X such that $X^n = 0$ for $n \ll 0$ (resp. $n \gg 0$, $|n| \gg 0$). One also sets $C^{ub}(\mathcal{C}) := C(\mathcal{C})$ (ub stands for unbounded).

We do not recall here neither the construction of the mapping cone $Mc(f)$ of a morphism f in $C(\mathcal{C})$ nor the construction of the triangulated categories $K^*(\mathcal{C})$ ($*$ = ub, +, -, b), called the homotopy categories of \mathcal{C} .

Recall that a null system \mathcal{N} in a triangulated category \mathcal{T} is a full triangulated saturated subcategory of \mathcal{T} , saturated meaning that an object X belongs to \mathcal{N} whenever X is isomorphic to an object of \mathcal{N} . For a null system \mathcal{N} , the localization \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{N} is a triangulated category. A distinguished triangle $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X[1]$ in \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{N} is a triangle isomorphic to the image of a distinguished triangle in \mathcal{T} .

Let \mathcal{C} be quasi-abelian category. One says that a complex X is

- *strict* if all the differentials d_X^n are strict,
- *strictly exact in degree n* if the sequence $X^{n-1} \rightarrow X^n \rightarrow X^{n+1}$ is strictly exact.
- *strictly exact* if it is strictly exact in all degrees.

If X is strictly exact, then X is a strict complex and $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(d_X^n) \rightarrow X^n \rightarrow \text{Ker}(d_X^{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$ is strictly exact for all n .

Note that if two complexes X and Y are isomorphic in $K(\mathcal{C})$, and if X is strictly exact, then so is Y . Let \mathcal{E} be the full additive subcategory of $K(\mathcal{C})$ consisting of strictly exact complexes. Then \mathcal{E} is a null system in $K(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition 2.3. The derived category $D(\mathcal{C})$ is the quotient category $K(\mathcal{C})/\mathcal{E}$, where \mathcal{E} is the null system in $K(\mathcal{C})$ consisting of strictly exact complexes. One defines similarly the categories $D^*(\mathcal{C})$ for $*$ = +, -, b.

A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in $K(\mathcal{C})$ is called a *quasi-isomorphism* (a qis for short) if, after being embedded in a distinguished triangle $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X[1]$, Z belongs to \mathcal{E} . This is equivalent to saying that its image in $D(\mathcal{C})$ is an isomorphism. It follows that given morphisms $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ in $K(\mathcal{C})$, if two of f , g and $g \circ f$ are qis, then all the three are qis.

Note that if $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ is a sequence of morphisms in $C(\mathcal{C})$ such that $0 \rightarrow X^n \rightarrow Y^n \rightarrow Z^n \rightarrow 0$ is strictly exact for all n , then the natural morphism $Mc(f) \rightarrow Z$ is a qis, and we have a distinguished triangle

$$X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X[1]$$

in $D(\mathcal{C})$.

Left t -structure

Let \mathcal{C} be a quasi-abelian category. Recall that for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $D^{\leq n}(\mathcal{C})$ (resp. $D^{\geq n}(\mathcal{C})$) denotes the full subcategory of $D(\mathcal{C})$ consisting of complexes X which are strictly exact in degrees $k > n$ (resp. $k < n$). Note that $D^+(\mathcal{C})$ (resp. $D^-(\mathcal{C})$) is the union of all the $D^{\geq n}(\mathcal{C})$'s (resp. all the $D^{\leq n}(\mathcal{C})$'s), and $D^b(\mathcal{C})$ is the intersection $D^+(\mathcal{C}) \cap D^-(\mathcal{C})$. The associated truncation functors are then given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{\leq n} X: \dots &\rightarrow X^{n-2} \rightarrow X^{n-1} \rightarrow \text{Ker } d_X^n \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots \\ \tau^{\geq n} X: \dots &\rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Coim } d_X^{n-1} \rightarrow X^n \rightarrow X^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

The functor $\tau^{\leq n}: D(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow D^{\leq n}(\mathcal{C})$ is a right adjoint to the inclusion functor $D^{\leq n}(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow D(\mathcal{C})$, and $\tau^{\geq n}: D(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow D^{\geq n}(\mathcal{C})$ is a left adjoint functor to the inclusion functor $D^{\geq n}(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow D(\mathcal{C})$.

The pair $(D^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{C}), D^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{C}))$ defines a t -structure on $D(\mathcal{C})$ by [Sn99]. We refer to [BBD82] for the general theory of t -structures (see also [KS90] for an exposition).

The heart $D^{\leq 0}(\mathcal{C}) \cap D^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{C})$ is an abelian category called the left heart of $D(\mathcal{C})$ and denoted $\text{LH}(\mathcal{C})$ in [Sn99]. The embedding $\mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \text{LH}(\mathcal{C})$ induces an equivalence

$$D(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D(\text{LH}(\mathcal{C})).$$

By duality, one also defines the right t -structure and the right heart of $D(\mathcal{C})$.

Derived functors

Given an additive functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ of quasi-abelian categories, its right or left derived functor is defined in [Sn99, Def. 1.3.1] by the same procedure as for abelian categories.

Definition 2.4. (See [Sn99, Def. 1.3.2].) A full additive subcategory \mathcal{P} of \mathcal{C} is called F -projective if

- (a) for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists a strict epimorphism $Y \rightarrow X$ with $Y \in \mathcal{P}$,
- (b) for any strict exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X'' \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{C} , if $X, X'' \in \mathcal{P}$, then $X' \in \mathcal{P}$,

- (c) for any strict exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X'' \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{C} , if $X', X, X'' \in \mathcal{P}$, then the sequence $0 \rightarrow F(X') \rightarrow F(X) \rightarrow F(X'') \rightarrow 0$ is strictly exact in \mathcal{C}' .

If F admits an F -projective category, one says that F is explicitly left derivable. In this case, F admits a left derived functor LF and this functor is calculated as usual by the formula

$$LF(X) \simeq F(Y), \text{ where } Y \in K^-(\mathcal{P}), Y \xrightarrow{\simeq} X \text{ in } D^-(\mathcal{C}).$$

We refer to [Sn99, §1.3] for details.

If LF has bounded cohomological dimension, then it extends as a triangulated functor $LF: D(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{C}')$.

3 Filtered objects

We shall assume

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \Lambda \text{ is a small filtrant category,} \\ \mathcal{C} \text{ is an abelian category admitting small inductive limits and} \\ \text{filtrant such limits are exact.} \end{cases}$$

Denote by $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ the abelian category of functors from Λ to \mathcal{C} , and denote as usual by $\Delta: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ the functor which, to $X \in \mathcal{C}$, associates the constant functor $\lambda \mapsto X$ and by $\varinjlim: \text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ the inductive limit functor. Then (\varinjlim, Δ) is a pair of adjoint functors:

If $M \in \text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$, we set for short $M(\infty) := \varinjlim M$ and we denote by $j_M(\lambda)$ the morphism $M(\lambda) \rightarrow M(\infty)$. If $f: M \rightarrow M'$ is a morphism in $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$, we denote by $f(\infty): M(\infty) \rightarrow M'(\infty)$ the associated morphism.

Definition 3.1. (a) The category $F_\Lambda \mathcal{C}$ of Λ -filtered objects in \mathcal{C} is the full additive subcategory of $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ formed by the functors which send morphisms to monomorphisms.

(b) We denote by $\iota: F_\Lambda \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ the inclusion functor.

Inductive limits in \mathcal{C} being exact, the morphisms $j_M(\lambda)$ are monomorphisms.

Remark 3.2. (i) Let M be a Λ -filtered object of \mathcal{C} and let $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda$. Since $j_M(\lambda) \circ M(s) = j_M(\lambda')$ for any morphism $s : \lambda' \rightarrow \lambda$ of Λ and since $j_M(\lambda)$ is a monomorphism, it is clear that $M(s)$ does not depend on s . It follows that the category $F_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ is equivalent to the category $F_{\Lambda_{\text{pos}}}(\mathcal{C})$ where Λ_{pos} denotes the category corresponding to the preordered set associated with Λ , *i. e.* the category having the same objects as Λ but for which

$$\text{Hom}_{\Lambda_{\text{pos}}}(\lambda', \lambda) = \begin{cases} \{\text{pt}\} & \text{if } \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\lambda', \lambda) \neq \emptyset, \\ \emptyset & \text{if } \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\lambda', \lambda) = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, when we study the properties of $F_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ we can always assume that Λ is the category associated with a preordered set.

(ii) When Λ is a preordered set, M defines an increasing map from Λ to the poset of subobjects of $M(\infty)$. Moreover, $M(\infty)$ is the union of the $M(\lambda)$'s and we recover the usual notion of an exhaustive filtration.

Basic properties of $F_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$

In this subsection, we shall prove that the category $F_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ is quasi-abelian. The next result is obvious.

Proposition 3.3. *The subcategory $F_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ of $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ is stable by subobjects. In particular, the category $F_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ admits kernels and the functor ι commutes with kernels.*

Definition 3.4. Let $M \in \text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we set $\kappa(M)(\lambda) = \text{Im } j_M(\lambda)$ and for a morphism $s : \lambda' \rightarrow \lambda$ in Λ we define $\kappa(M)(s)$ as the morphism induced by the identity of $M(\infty)$.

These definitions turn obviously $\kappa(M)$ into an object of $F_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ and give a functor

$$(3.2) \quad \kappa : \text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow F_\Lambda(\mathcal{C}).$$

Proposition 3.5. *The functor κ in (3.2) is left adjoint to the inclusion functor ι and $\kappa \circ \iota \simeq \text{id}_{F_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})}$. In particular the category $F_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ admits cokernels and κ commutes with cokernels.*

Proof. Let M be an object of $F_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ and let M' be an object of $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$. Consider a morphism $f : M' \rightarrow \iota(M)$ in $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$. It induces a morphism

$f(\infty) : M'(\infty) \rightarrow M(\infty)$ in \mathcal{C} . Since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M'(\lambda) & \xrightarrow{f(\lambda)} & M(\lambda) \\ j_{M'(\lambda)} \downarrow & & \downarrow j_{M(\lambda)} \\ M'(\infty) & \xrightarrow{f(\infty)} & M(\infty) \end{array}$$

is commutative for every object λ of Λ and since $j_M(\lambda)$ is a monomorphism, the morphism $f(\lambda)$ induces a canonical morphism $f'(\lambda) : \text{Im } j_{M'(\lambda)} \rightarrow M(\lambda)$ and these morphisms give us a morphism $f' : \kappa(M') \rightarrow M$. The preceding construction gives a morphism of abelian groups

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})}(M', \iota(M)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{F}_\Lambda \mathcal{C}}(\kappa(M'), M)$$

and it is clearly an isomorphism. Since ι is fully faithful, we have $\kappa \circ \iota \simeq \text{id}_{\text{F}_\Lambda \mathcal{C}}$ and the conclusion follows. Q.E.D.

By the preceding results, the category $\text{F}_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ is additive and has kernels and cokernels and hence images and coimages. However, if $f : M' \rightarrow M$ is a morphism in $\text{F}_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ the canonical morphism from $\text{Coim}(f)$ to $\text{Im}(f)$ is in general not an isomorphism, in other words, f is not in general a strict morphism and the inclusion functor ι does not commute with cokernels (see Example 3.7 below).

Corollary 3.6. *Let $f : M' \rightarrow M$ be a morphism in $\text{F}_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ and let Ker , Coker , Im and Coim be calculated in the category $\text{F}_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$. Then, one has the canonical isomorphisms for $\lambda \in \Lambda$:*

- (a) $(\text{Ker } f)(\lambda) \simeq \text{Ker } f(\lambda)$,
- (b) $(\text{Coker } f)(\lambda) \simeq \text{Im}[M(\lambda) \rightarrow \text{Coker } f(\infty)]$,
- (c) $(\text{Im } f)(\lambda) \simeq \text{Ker}[M(\lambda) \rightarrow \text{Coker } f(\infty)]$,
- (d) $(\text{Coim } f)(\lambda) \simeq \text{Im } f(\lambda)$.

In particular,

- (i) f is strict in $\text{F}_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ if and only if the canonical square below is Cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Im } f(\lambda) & \longrightarrow & \text{Im } f(\infty) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M(\lambda) & \longrightarrow & M(\infty), \end{array}$$

(ii) a sequence $M' \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{f'} M''$ in $F_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ with $f' \circ f = 0$ is strictly exact if and only if the canonical morphism $\text{Im } f(\lambda) \rightarrow \text{Ker } f'(\lambda)$ is an isomorphism for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Example 3.7. Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{C} = \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C})$ and denote by $\mathbb{C}[X]^{\leq n}$ the space of polynomials in one variable X over \mathbb{C} of degree $\leq n$. Consider the two objects M' and M of $F_\Lambda \mathcal{C}$:

$$\begin{aligned} M' &: n \mapsto \mathbb{C}[X]^{\leq n}, \\ M &: n \mapsto \mathbb{C}[X]^{\leq n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $f: M' \rightarrow M$ the natural morphism. Then $M'(\infty) \simeq M(\infty) \simeq \mathbb{C}[X]$ and $f(\infty)$ is an isomorphism. Therefore, $(\text{Im } f)(n) \simeq M(n)$ and $(\text{Coim } f)(n) \simeq \text{Im}(f(n)) \simeq M'(n)$.

Proposition 3.8. Let $0 \rightarrow M' \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{f'} M'' \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$. Assume that M'' belongs to $F_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$. Then the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \kappa(M') \xrightarrow{\kappa(f)} \kappa(M) \xrightarrow{\kappa(f')} \kappa(M'') \rightarrow 0$$

is strictly exact in $F_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ (i. e. $\kappa(f)$ is a kernel of $\kappa(f')$ and $\kappa(f')$ is a cokernel of $\kappa(f)$).

Proof. We know that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M'(\lambda) & \xrightarrow{f(\lambda)} & M(\lambda) & \xrightarrow{f'(\lambda)} & M''(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow j_{M'(\lambda)} & & \downarrow j_{M(\lambda)} & & \downarrow j_{M''(\lambda)} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M'(\infty) & \xrightarrow{f(\infty)} & M(\infty) & \xrightarrow{f'(\infty)} & M''(\infty) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

is commutative and has exact rows. Since the last vertical arrow is a monomorphism it follows that we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Ker } j_{M'(\lambda)} \simeq \text{Ker } j_{M(\lambda)}.$$

Therefore, in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } j_{M'}(\lambda) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } j_M(\lambda) & \longrightarrow & 0 \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M'(\lambda) & \longrightarrow & M(\lambda) & \longrightarrow & M''(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \kappa(M')(\lambda) & \longrightarrow & \kappa(M)(\lambda) & \longrightarrow & \kappa(M'')(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

the columns and the two lines in the top are exact. Therefore the last row is also exact and the conclusion follows from Corollary 3.6. Q.E.D.

Theorem 3.9. *Assume 3.1. The category $F_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ is quasi-abelian.*

Proof. Consider a Cartesian square in $F_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
N' & \xrightarrow{g} & N \\
\downarrow u' & & \downarrow u \\
M' & \xrightarrow{f} & M
\end{array}$$

and assume that f is a strict epimorphism in $F_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$. It follows from Proposition 3.3 and Corollary 3.6 (ii) that this square is also Cartesian in $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ and that f is an epimorphism in this category. Hence g is an epimorphism in $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ and Corollary 3.6 (ii) shows that g is a strict epimorphism in $F_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$.

Consider now a co-Cartesian square in $F_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
M' & \xrightarrow{f} & M \\
\downarrow u' & & \downarrow u \\
N' & \xrightarrow{g} & N
\end{array}$$

and assume that f is a strict monomorphism in $F_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$. We know from Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.5 that this square is the image by κ of the

co-Cartesian square of $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ with solid arrow

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 M' & \xrightarrow{f} & M & \cdots \xrightarrow{q} & C \\
 \downarrow u' & & \downarrow v & \nearrow q' & \\
 N' & \xrightarrow{h} & P & &
 \end{array}$$

Denote by

$$q : M \rightarrow C$$

the canonical morphism from M to the cokernel of f in $\text{F}_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$. Since f is a strict monomorphism of $\text{F}_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$, C is also the cokernel of f in $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ and there is a unique morphism $q' : P \rightarrow C$ such that $q' \circ v = q$ and $q' \circ h = 0$. Moreover, one checks easily that this morphism q' is a cokernel of h in $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$. It follows that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N' \xrightarrow{h} P \xrightarrow{q'} C \rightarrow 0$$

is exact in $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$. Applying κ to this sequence and using Proposition 3.8, we get a strictly exact sequence of the form:

$$0 \rightarrow N' \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0.$$

This shows in particular that g is a strict monomorphism in $\text{F}_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ and the conclusion follows. Q.E.D.

The Rees functor

From now on we assume that Λ is a category associated with a preordered set. Thanks to Remark 3.2 (i), this assumption is not really restrictive.

In the sequel, given a direct sum $\bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i$ we denote by $\sigma_i : X_i \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i$ the canonical morphism.

Definition 3.10. For $M \in \text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ we define $\Sigma(M) \in \text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ as follows. For $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ and for $s : \lambda_0 \rightarrow \lambda_1$, we set

$$\Sigma(M)(\lambda_0) = \bigoplus_{s_0 : \lambda'_0 \rightarrow \lambda_0} M(\lambda'_0),$$

and define

$$\Sigma(M)(s) : \Sigma(M)(\lambda_0) \rightarrow \Sigma(M)(\lambda_1)$$

as the only morphism such that $\Sigma(M)(s) \circ \sigma_{s_0} = \sigma_{s \circ s_0}$ for any $s_0 : \lambda'_0 \rightarrow \lambda_0$.

Proposition 3.11. *Let $M: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a functor and let $s: \lambda_0 \rightarrow \lambda_1$ be a morphism of Λ . Then $\Sigma(M)(s): \Sigma(M)(\lambda_0) \rightarrow \Sigma(M)(\lambda_1)$ is a split monomorphism. In particular $\Sigma(M)$ is an object of $F_\Lambda \mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. Let us define $\rho: \Sigma(M)(\lambda_1) \rightarrow \Sigma(M)(\lambda_0)$ as the unique morphism such that

$$\rho \circ \sigma_{s_1} = \begin{cases} \sigma_{s_0} & \text{if } s_1 = s \circ s_0 \text{ for some } s_0: \lambda'_1 \rightarrow \lambda_0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This definition makes sense since if $s_1 = s \circ s_0$ for some $s_0: \lambda'_1 \rightarrow \lambda_0$ then such an s_0 is unique (recall that Λ is a poset). Since

$$\rho \circ \Sigma(M)(s) \circ \sigma_{s_0} = \rho \circ \sigma_{s \circ s_0} = \sigma_{s_0}$$

for any $s_0: \lambda'_0 \rightarrow \lambda_0$ in Λ , the conclusion follows. Q.E.D.

Remark 3.12. The preceding construction gives rise to a functor, that we call the Rees functor,

$$\Sigma: \text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow F_\Lambda \mathcal{C}.$$

This functor sends exact sequences in $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ to strict exact sequences in $F_\Lambda \mathcal{C}$.

Definition 3.13. For any $M \in \text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ we define the morphism $\varepsilon_M: \Sigma(M) \rightarrow M$ by letting

$$(3.3) \quad \varepsilon_M(\lambda_0): \Sigma(M)(\lambda_0) \rightarrow M(\lambda_0)$$

be the unique morphism such that $\varepsilon_M(\lambda_0) \circ \sigma_{s_0} = M(s_0)$ for any $s_0: \lambda'_0 \rightarrow \lambda_0$ in Λ .

Proposition 3.14. *For any $M \in \text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ and $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$, the morphism (3.3) is a split epimorphism of \mathcal{C} . In particular, the morphism $\varepsilon_M: \Sigma(M) \rightarrow M$ is an epimorphism in $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. This follows directly from

$$\varepsilon_M(\lambda_0) \circ \sigma_{\text{id}_{\lambda_0}} = M(\text{id}_{\lambda_0}) = \text{id}_{M(\lambda_0)}, \lambda_0 \in \Lambda.$$

Q.E.D.

Corollary 3.15. *The category $F_\Lambda \mathcal{C}$ is a κ -projective subcategory of the category $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$. In particular the functor*

$$\kappa: \text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow F_\Lambda \mathcal{C}$$

is explicitly left derivable. Moreover, it has finite cohomological dimension.

Proof. The properties (a), (b) and (c) of Definition 2.4 follow respectively from Proposition 3.14, Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.8. Hence the category $F_\Lambda \mathcal{C}$ is κ -projective. Since it is also stable by subobjects it follows that any object of $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ has a two terms resolution by objects of $F_\Lambda \mathcal{C}$ and the conclusion follows. Q.E.D.

Theorem 3.16. *Assume (3.1) and assume that Λ is a preordered set. The functor $\iota: F_\Lambda \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ is strictly exact and induces an equivalence of categories for $*$ = ub, b, +, -*

$$\iota: D^*(F_\Lambda \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow D^*(\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C}))$$

whose quasi-inverse is given by

$$L\kappa: D^*(\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})) \rightarrow D^*(F_\Lambda \mathcal{C}).$$

Moreover, ι induces an equivalence of abelian categories

$$\text{LH}(F_\Lambda \mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C}).$$

4 Filtered modules in an abelian tensor category

Abelian tensor categories

In this subsection we recall a few facts about abelian tensor categories. References are made to [KS06, Ch. 5] for details.

Let \mathcal{C} be an additive category. A biadditive tensor product on \mathcal{C} is the data of a functor $\otimes: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ additive with respect to each argument together with functorial associativity isomorphisms

$$\alpha_{X,Y,Z}: (X \otimes Y) \otimes Z \xrightarrow{\simeq} X \otimes (Y \otimes Z)$$

satisfying the natural compatibility conditions.

From now on, we assume that \mathcal{C} is endowed with such a tensor product. A ring object of \mathcal{C} (or, equivalently, “a ring in \mathcal{C} ”) is then an object A of \mathcal{C} endowed with an associative multiplication $\mu_A: A \otimes A \rightarrow A$.

Let A be such a ring object. Then, an A -module of \mathcal{C} is the data of an object M of \mathcal{C} together with an associative action $\nu_M: A \otimes M \rightarrow M$.

The A -modules of \mathcal{C} form a category denoted $\text{Mod}(A)$. A morphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ in this category is simply a morphism of \mathcal{C} which is A -linear, *i. e.*, which is compatible with the actions of A on M and N . Most of the properties of $\text{Mod}(A)$ can be deduced from that of \mathcal{C} thanks to following result, whose proof is left to the reader.

Lemma 4.1. *The category $\text{Mod}(A)$ is an additive category and the forgetful functor $\text{for}: \text{Mod}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is additive, faithful, conservative and reflects projective limits. This functor also reflects inductive limits which are preserved by $A \otimes \bullet: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.*

One easily deduces:

Proposition 4.2. *Assume that \mathcal{C} is abelian (resp. quasi-abelian) and the functor $A \otimes \bullet$ commutes with cokernels. Then $\text{Mod}(A)$ is abelian (resp. quasi-abelian). Moreover, the forgetful functor $\text{for}: \text{Mod}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is additive, faithful, conservative and commutes with kernels and cokernels. If one assumes moreover that \mathcal{C} admits small inductive limits and that $A \otimes \bullet$ commutes with such limits, then $\text{Mod}(A)$ admits small inductive limits and the forgetful functor for commutes with such limits.*

Remark 4.3. Let A be a ring object in \mathcal{C} . We have defined an A -module by considering the left action of A . In other words, we have defined left A -modules. Clearly, one can defined right A -modules similarly, which is equivalent to replacing the tensor product \otimes with the opposite tensor product given by $X \otimes^{\text{op}} Y = Y \otimes X$.

Assume that the tensor category \mathcal{C} admits a unit, denoted $\mathbf{1}$ and that the ring object A also admits a unit $e: \mathbf{1} \rightarrow A$. In this case, we consider the full subcategory $\text{Mod}(A_e)$ of $\text{Mod}(A)$ consisting of modules such that the action of A is unital, which is translated by saying that the diagram below

commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{1} \otimes M & \xrightarrow{e \otimes \text{id}_M} & A \otimes M \\ & \searrow \sim & \downarrow \nu_M \\ & & M. \end{array}$$

All results concerning $\text{Mod}(A)$ still hold for $\text{Mod}(A_e)$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let \mathcal{C} be Grothendieck category with a generator G and assume that \mathcal{C} is also a tensor category with unit $\mathbf{1}$. Let A be a ring in \mathcal{C} with unit e and assume that the functor $A \otimes \bullet$ commutes with small inductive limits. Then $\text{Mod}(A_e)$ is a Grothendieck category and $A \otimes G$ is a generator of this category.*

Proof. For any $X \in \mathcal{C}$ the morphism

$$\bigoplus_{s \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, X)} G \rightarrow X$$

is an epimorphism. It follows that for any $M \in \text{Mod}(A_e)$ the morphism

$$\bigoplus_{s \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(G, M)} A \otimes G \rightarrow A \otimes M$$

is an epimorphism. Since A has a unit, there is an epimorphism $A \otimes M \rightarrow M$.
Q.E.D.

Λ -rings and Λ -modules

In this section, we shall assume

$$(4.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Lambda \text{ is a filtrant preordered additive semigroup (viewed as a} \\ \text{tensor category),} \\ \mathcal{C} \text{ is an abelian tensor category which admits small inductive} \\ \text{limits which commute with } \otimes \text{ and small filtrant inductive} \\ \text{limits are exact.} \end{array} \right.$$

Definition 4.5. For $M_1, M_2 \in \text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ we define $M_1 \otimes M_2 \in \text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ as follows. For $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda$ and $s: \lambda' \rightarrow \lambda$ we set

$$(M_1 \otimes M_2)(\lambda) = \varinjlim_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \leq \lambda} M_1(\lambda_1) \otimes M_2(\lambda_2),$$

and we define $(M_1 \otimes M_2)(s): (M_1 \otimes M_2)(\lambda') \rightarrow (M_1 \otimes M_2)(\lambda)$ to be the morphism induced by the inclusion

$$\{(\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2) \in \Lambda \times \Lambda: \lambda'_1 + \lambda'_2 \leq \lambda'\} \subset \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Lambda \times \Lambda: \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \leq \lambda\}.$$

Proposition 4.6. *The functor*

$$\otimes: \text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C}) \times \text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$$

defined above turns $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ into a tensor category. Moreover, it commutes with small inductive limits.

Proof. The fact that the functor commutes with small inductive limits follows from its definition. The associativity follows from the associativity of the tensor product in \mathcal{C} and the canonical isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} ((M_1 \otimes M_2) \otimes M_3)(\lambda) &\simeq \varinjlim_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \leq \lambda} (M_1(\lambda_1) \otimes M_2(\lambda_2)) \otimes M_3(\lambda_3), \\ (M_1 \otimes (M_2 \otimes M_3))(\lambda) &\simeq \varinjlim_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \leq \lambda} M_1(\lambda_1) \otimes (M_2(\lambda_2) \otimes M_3(\lambda_3)). \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Definition 4.7. (a) A Λ -ring of \mathcal{C} is a ring of the tensor category $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ considered in Proposition 4.6.

(b) A Λ -module of \mathcal{C} over a Λ -ring A of \mathcal{C} is an A -module of the tensor category $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$.

(c) As usual, we denote by $\text{Mod}(A)$ the category of A -modules, that is, Λ -modules in \mathcal{C} over the Λ -ring A .

Remark 4.8. It follows from the preceding definitions that a Λ -ring of \mathcal{C} is the data of a functor $A: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ together with a multiplication morphism

$$A(\lambda_1) \otimes A(\lambda_2) \rightarrow A(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$$

functorial in $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ and associative in a natural way. Moreover, if A is such a ring then a Λ -module of \mathcal{C} over A is the data of a functor $M: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ together with a functorial associative action morphism

$$A(\lambda_1) \otimes M(\lambda_2) \rightarrow M(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2).$$

Remark 4.9. Assume that the semigroup Λ admits a unit, denoted 0 (in which case, one says that Λ is a monoid), and the tensor category \mathcal{C} admits a unit, denoted $\mathbf{1}$. Then the tensor category $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ admits a unit, still denoted $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$, defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{1}_\Lambda(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{1} & \text{if } \lambda \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In such a case, the notion of a Λ -ring A with unit e makes sense as well as the notion of an A_e -module.

Proposition 4.10. *Let A be a Λ -ring of \mathcal{C} . Then, the category $\text{Mod}(A)$ is abelian and admits small inductive limits. Moreover, the forgetful functor $\text{for}: \text{Mod}(A) \rightarrow \text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ is additive, faithful, conservative and commutes with kernels and small inductive limits. In particular it is exact.*

Proof. This follows directly from the preceding results and Proposition 4.2
Q.E.D.

Filtered rings and modules

Definition 4.11. We define the functor

$$\otimes_F: \text{F}_\Lambda \mathcal{C} \times \text{F}_\Lambda \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{F}_\Lambda \mathcal{C}$$

by the formula

$$M_1 \otimes_F M_2 = \kappa(\iota(M_1) \otimes \iota(M_2))$$

where the tensor product in the right-hand side is the tensor product of $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$.

Proposition 4.12. *There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\kappa(M_1 \otimes M_2) \simeq \kappa(M_1) \otimes_F \kappa(M_2)$$

functorial in $M_1, M_2 \in \text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$.

Proof. We know that for $\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$, $\kappa(M_1 \otimes M_2)(\lambda)$ is the image of the canonical morphism $(M_1 \otimes M_2)(\lambda) \rightarrow (M_1 \otimes M_2)(\infty)$ and that $\kappa(M_1)(\lambda_1)$ and $\kappa(M_2)(\lambda_2)$ are respectively the images of the canonical morphisms $M_1(\lambda_1) \rightarrow M_1(\infty)$ and $M_2(\lambda_2) \rightarrow M_2(\infty)$. Since the morphisms

$$M_1(\lambda_1) \rightarrow \kappa(M_1)(\lambda_1) \quad \text{and} \quad M_2(\lambda_2) \rightarrow \kappa(M_2)(\lambda_2)$$

are epimorphisms, so is the morphism

$$M_1(\lambda_1) \otimes M_2(\lambda_2) \rightarrow \kappa(M_1)(\lambda_1) \otimes \kappa(M_2)(\lambda_2).$$

It follows that the canonical morphism

$$\varinjlim_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2 \leq \lambda} M_1(\lambda_1) \otimes M_2(\lambda_2) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2 \leq \lambda} \kappa(M_1)(\lambda_1) \otimes \kappa(M_2)(\lambda_2).$$

is also an epimorphism. Since

$$\begin{aligned} (M_1 \otimes M_2)(\infty) &\simeq M_1(\infty) \otimes M_2(\infty) \simeq \kappa(M_1)(\infty) \otimes \kappa(M_2)(\infty) \\ &\simeq \kappa(M_1 \otimes M_2)(\infty) \end{aligned}$$

the conclusion follows. Q.E.D.

Proposition 4.13. *The functor $\otimes_F: F_\Lambda \mathcal{C} \times F_\Lambda \mathcal{C} \rightarrow F_\Lambda \mathcal{C}$ turns $F_\Lambda \mathcal{C}$ into a tensor category. Moreover \otimes_F commutes with small inductive limits.*

Proof. By the preceding result we have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa((\iota(M_1) \otimes \iota(M_2)) \otimes \iota(M_3)) &\simeq \kappa(\iota(M_1) \otimes \iota(M_2)) \otimes_F \kappa(\iota(M_3)) \\ &\simeq (M_1 \otimes_F M_2) \otimes_F M_3, \\ \kappa(\iota(M_1) \otimes (\iota(M_2) \otimes \iota(M_3))) &\simeq \kappa(\iota(M_1)) \otimes_F \kappa(\iota(M_2) \otimes \iota(M_3)) \\ &\simeq M_1 \otimes_F (M_2 \otimes_F M_3). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the associativity of \otimes_F follows from that of the tensor product of $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$. Since κ commutes with small inductive limits, a similar argument shows that \otimes_F has the same property. Q.E.D.

Definition 4.14. (a) A Λ -filtered ring of \mathcal{C} is a ring object in the tensor category $F_\Lambda \mathcal{C}$.

(b) A Λ -filtered module FM over a Λ -filtered ring FA , or simply, an FA -module FM , is an FA -module in the tensor category $F_\Lambda \mathcal{C}$.

(c) As usual, we denote by $\text{Mod}(FA)$ the category of FA -modules.

Remark 4.15. It follows from the preceding definitions that $\text{Mod}(FA)$ is the full subcategory of $\text{Mod}(A)$ formed by the functors which send morphisms of Λ to monomorphisms of \mathcal{C} . The multiplication on FA and the action of FA on a module FM may be described as in Remark 4.8.

Proposition 4.16. *Let FA be a Λ -filtered ring of \mathcal{C} . The category $\text{Mod}(FA)$ is quasi-abelian and admits small inductive limits. Moreover, the forgetful functor $\text{for}: \text{Mod}(FA) \rightarrow \text{F}_\Lambda \mathcal{C}$ is additive, faithful, conservative and commutes with kernels and inductive limits.*

Proof. This follows directly from the preceding results and Proposition 4.2
Q.E.D.

Proposition 4.17. *Let FA be a Λ -filtered ring of \mathcal{C} . Then $A := \iota FA$ is a Λ -ring of \mathcal{C} and the functors $\iota: \text{F}_\Lambda(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ and $\kappa: \text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{F}_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ induce functors*

$$\iota_A: \text{Mod}(FA) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_A: \text{Mod}(A) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(FA).$$

Moreover κ_A is a left adjoint of ι_A .

Proof. This follows easily from Proposition 4.12 and the fact that κ is a left adjoint of ι .
Q.E.D.

Proposition 4.18. *Let FA be a Λ -filtered ring of \mathcal{C} and set $A := \iota FA$. Let M be an A -module. Then the functor $\Sigma(M)$ of Definition 3.10 has a canonical structure of an A -module and the morphism $\varepsilon_M: \Sigma(M) \rightarrow M$ is A -linear.*

Proof. We define the action of FA on $\Sigma(M)$ as the composition of the morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} A(\lambda_1) \otimes \Sigma(M)(\lambda_2) &= A(\lambda_1) \otimes \bigoplus_{s_2: \lambda'_2 \rightarrow \lambda_2} M(\lambda'_2) \\ (*) \quad &\simeq \bigoplus_{s_2: \lambda'_2 \rightarrow \lambda_2} A(\lambda_1) \otimes M(\lambda'_2) \\ (**) \quad &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{s_2: \lambda'_2 \rightarrow \lambda_2} M(\lambda_1 + \lambda'_2) \\ (***) \quad &\xrightarrow{v} \bigoplus_{s_3: \lambda'_3 \rightarrow \lambda_1 + \lambda_2} M(\lambda'_3) \\ &= \Sigma(M)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \end{aligned}$$

where (*) comes from the fact that \otimes commutes with small inductive limits, (**) comes from the action of A on M and (***) is characterized by the fact that

$$v \circ \sigma_{s_2} = \sigma_{\text{id}_{\lambda_1} + s_2}$$

where $\text{id}_{\lambda_1} + s_2: \lambda_1 + \lambda'_2 \rightarrow \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ is the map induced by $s_2: \lambda'_2 \rightarrow \lambda_2$. It is then easily verified that this action turns $\Sigma(M)$ into an A -module for which the morphism $\varepsilon_M: \Sigma(M) \rightarrow M$ becomes A -linear. Q.E.D.

The following results can now be obtained by working as in Section 3.

Corollary 4.19. *Let FA be a Λ -filtered ring of \mathcal{C} . Then the category $\text{Mod}(FA)$ is a κ_A -projective subcategory of $\text{Mod}(A)$. In particular the functor*

$$\kappa_A: \text{Mod}(A) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(FA)$$

is explicitly left derivable. Moreover, it has finite cohomological dimension.

Theorem 4.20. *Assume (4.1). The functor*

$$\iota_A: \text{Mod}(FA) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(A)$$

is strictly exact and induces an equivalence of categories for $$ = ub, b, +, -:*

$$\iota_A: D^*(\text{Mod}(FA)) \rightarrow D^*(\text{Mod}(A))$$

whose quasi-inverse is given by

$$L\kappa_A: D^*(\text{Mod}(A)) \rightarrow D^*(\text{Mod}(FA)).$$

Moreover, ι_A induces an equivalence of abelian categories

$$\text{LH}(\text{Mod}(FA)) \simeq \text{Mod}(A).$$

Remark 4.21. Assume that the semigroup Λ admits a unit, denoted 0, and the tensor category \mathcal{C} admits a unit, denoted $\mathbf{1}$. Then the unit $\mathbf{1}_\Lambda$ of the category $\text{Fct}(\Lambda, \mathcal{C})$ (see Remark 4.9) belongs to $F_\Lambda \mathcal{C}$ and is a unit in this tensor category. In such a case, the notion of a Λ -filtered ring FA with unit e makes sense as well as the notion of FA_e -module.

Moreover, the results of Theorem 4.20 remain true with $\text{Mod}(FA)$ and $\text{Mod}(A)$ replaced with $\text{Mod}(FA_e)$ and $\text{Mod}(A_e)$, respectively.

Assume moreover that \mathcal{C} is a Grothendieck category. In this case, $\text{Mod}(A_e)$ is again a Grothendieck category by Proposition 4.4.

Example: modules over a filtered sheaf of rings

Let X be a site and let \mathbf{k} be a commutative unital algebra with finite global dimension. Consider the category $\mathcal{C} = \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ of sheaves of \mathbf{k}_X -modules and its derived category, $D(\mathbf{k}_X)$. Let Λ be as in (4.1).

Definition 4.22. (a) A Λ -filtered sheaf $F\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ endowed with a family of subsheaves $\{F_\lambda\mathcal{F}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ such that $F_{\lambda'}\mathcal{F} \subset F_\lambda\mathcal{F}$ for any pair $\lambda' \leq \lambda$ and $\bigcup_j F_\lambda\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$. (Of course, the union \bigcup is taken in the category of sheaves.)

(b) A Λ -filtered sheaf of \mathbf{k}_X -algebras $F\mathcal{R}$ is a filtered sheaf such that the underlying sheaf \mathcal{R} is a sheaf of \mathbf{k}_X -algebras and $F_\lambda\mathcal{R} \otimes F_{\lambda'}\mathcal{R} \subset F_{\lambda+\lambda'}\mathcal{R}$ for all $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda$. (In particular, $F_0\mathcal{R}$ is a subring of \mathcal{R} .)

(c) Given $F\mathcal{R}$ as above, a left filtered module $F\mathcal{M}$ over $F\mathcal{R}$ is filtered sheaf such that the underlying sheaf \mathcal{M} is a sheaf of modules over \mathcal{R} and $F_\lambda\mathcal{R} \otimes F_{\lambda'}\mathcal{M} \subset F_{\lambda+\lambda'}\mathcal{M}$ for all $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda$.

(d) If \mathcal{R} is unital, we ask that the unit of \mathcal{R} is a section of $F_0\mathcal{R}$ and acts as the identity on each $F_\lambda\mathcal{M}$.

The category $\text{Mod}(F\mathcal{R})$ of filtered modules over $F\mathcal{R}$ is quasi-abelian.

On the other-hand, an object $F\mathcal{N}$ of the abelian category $\text{Mod}(\iota F\mathcal{R})$ is the data of a family of sheaves $\{F_\lambda\mathcal{N}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, morphisms $F_\lambda\mathcal{N} \rightarrow F_{\lambda'}\mathcal{N}$ for any pair $\lambda \leq \lambda'$ and morphisms $F_\lambda\mathcal{R} \otimes F_{\lambda'}\mathcal{N} \rightarrow F_{\lambda+\lambda'}\mathcal{N}$ for all $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda$ satisfying the natural compatibility conditions but we do not ask any more that $F_{\lambda'}\mathcal{N}$ is a subsheaf of $F_\lambda\mathcal{N}$ for $\lambda' \leq \lambda$.

By Theorem 4.20, we have an equivalence of categories for $* = \text{ub}, \text{b}, +, -$:

$$D^*(\text{Mod}(F\mathcal{R})) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^*(\text{Mod}(\iota F\mathcal{R})).$$

Example 4.23. Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a complex manifold and let \mathcal{D}_X be the sheaf of finite order differential operators.

We apply the preceding construction to the tensor category $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_X)$. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $F_j\mathcal{D}_X$ the subsheaf of \mathcal{D}_X whose sections are differential operators of order $\leq j$, with $F_j\mathcal{D}_X = 0$ for $j < 0$, and we denote by $F\mathcal{D}_X$ the ring \mathcal{D}_X endowed with this filtration. Recall that a filtered left \mathcal{D}_X -module $F\mathcal{M}$ is a left \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{M} endowed with a family of subsheaves $F_j\mathcal{M}$ ($j \in \mathbb{Z}$) and morphisms $F_i\mathcal{D}_X \otimes F_j\mathcal{M} \rightarrow F_{i+j}\mathcal{M}$ satisfying

natural compatibility conditions (the $F_j\mathcal{M}$'s are thus \mathcal{O}_X -modules) and such that $\bigcup_j F_j\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}$. Therefore, $F\mathcal{D}_X$ is a \mathbb{Z} -ring in $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_X)$ and $F\mathcal{M}$ is an $F\mathcal{D}_X$ -module, that is, an object of $\text{Mod}(F\mathcal{D}_X)$.

References

- [BBD82] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, and P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, in Analysis and topology on singular spaces, Luminy 1981, Astérisque **100**, Soc. Math. France (1982).
- [GS12] S. Guillermou and P. Schapira, *Subanalytic topologies I. Construction of sheaves*, arXiv:math.arXiv:1212.4326
- [Ka03] M. Kashiwara, *D-modules and Microlocal Calculus*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, **217** American Math. Soc. (2003).
- [Ka08] ———, *Equivariant derived category and representation of real semisimple Lie groups*, in: Representation Theory and Complex Analysis, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, **1931** Springer, 2008.
- [KS90] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Sheaves on Manifolds*, Grundlehren der Math. Wiss. **292** Springer-Verlag (1990).
- [KS06] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Categories and Sheaves*, Grundlehren der Math. Wiss. Springer-Verlag (2006).
- [La83] G. Laumon, *Sur la catégorie dérivée des D-modules filtrés*, Algebraic geometry (Tokyo/Kyoto, 1982), Lecture Notes in Math., **1016**, Springer, Berlin (1983) pp. 151–237.
- [Qu73] D. Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory I*, Lecture Notes in Math., **341**, Springer, Berlin (1973) pp. 85–147.
- [Sn99] J.-P. Schneiders, *Quasi-abelian categories and sheaves*, Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) **76** (1999).

Pierre Schapira
Institut de Mathématiques, Université Pierre et Marie Curie
and
Mathematics Research Unit,
University of Luxemburg
e-mail: schapira@math.jussieu.fr
<http://www.math.jussieu.fr/~schapira/>

Jean-Pierre Schneiders
Institut de Mathématiques
Université de Liège
email: jpschneiders@ulg.ac.be
<http://www.analg.ulg.ac.be/jps/>