

**Equivalent Representations of a Set Function
with Applications to Game Theory
and Multicriteria Decision Making**

by

Jean-Luc MARICHAL
University of Liège, Belgium

Michel GRABISCH
Thomson-CSF, Orsay, France

Marc ROUBENS
University of Liège, Belgium

Set functions in game theory and multicriteria decision making

Consider a set function $v : 2^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

- Game theory: $v(\emptyset) = 0$
 N is a set of players
 v is the characteristic function of a game
 $v(S)$ = worth or power of $S \subseteq N$.
- Multicriteria decision making:
 $v(\emptyset) = 0, v(N) = 1, S \subseteq T \Rightarrow v(S) \leq v(T)$
 N is a set of criteria
 $v(S)$ = weight of importance of $S \subseteq N$.

Any real valued set function v can be assimilated unambiguously with a pseudo-Boolean function $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$v \longleftrightarrow f$$

If e_S represents the characteristic vector of S in $\{0, 1\}^n$, we have

$$v(S) = f(e_S), \quad S \subseteq N.$$

Theorem (Hammer and Rudeanu, 1968)

Any pseudo-Boolean function $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a unique expression as a multilinear polynomial in n variables:

$$f(x) = \sum_{T \subseteq N} a(T) \prod_{i \in T} x_i, \quad x \in \{0, 1\}^n,$$

where $a(T) \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$v(S) = \sum_{T \subseteq S} a(T), \quad S \subseteq N.$$

In combinatorics, a viewed as a set function on N is called the Möbius transform of v .

$$a(S) = \sum_{T \subseteq S} (-1)^{s-t} v(T), \quad S \subseteq N$$

where $s = |S|$ and $t = |T|$.

a is a representation of v , and conversely : defining one of the two allows to compute the other without ambiguity.

Definition

A set function $w : 2^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a representation of v if there exists an invertible transform \mathcal{T} such that

$$w = \mathcal{T}(v) \quad \text{and} \quad v = \mathcal{T}^{-1}(w).$$

Banzhaf and Shapley power indices

Given $i \in N$, it may happen that

- $v(i) = 0$,
- $v(T \cup i) \gg v(T)$ for many $T \subseteq N \setminus i$

The overall importance of $i \in N$ should not be solely determined by $v(i)$, but also by all $v(T \cup i)$ such that $T \subseteq N \setminus i$.

The marginal contribution of i in coalition $T \subseteq N \setminus i$ is defined by

$$v(T \cup i) - v(T)$$

A *power index* for i is given by an average value of the marginal contributions of i alone in all coalitions.

- The Banzhaf power index (1965) :

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_B^v(i) &:= \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{T \subseteq N \setminus i} [v(T \cup i) - v(T)] \\ &= \sum_{T \ni i} \frac{1}{2^{t-1}} a(T). \end{aligned}$$

- The Shapley power index (1953) :

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{Sh}^v(i) &:= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{t}} \sum_{\substack{T \subseteq N \setminus i \\ |T|=t}} [v(T \cup i) - v(T)] \\ &= \sum_{T \subseteq N \setminus i} \frac{(n-t-1)! t!}{n!} [v(T \cup i) - v(T)] \\ &= \sum_{T \ni i} \frac{1}{t} a(T). \end{aligned}$$

Banzhaf and Shapley interaction indices

The difference

$$a(ij) = v(ij) - v(i) - v(j)$$

seems to reflect a degree of interaction between i and j :

- positive interaction $a(ij) > 0$: i and j should cooperate
- negative interaction $a(ij) < 0$: i and j should not cooperate
- no interaction $a(ij) = 0$: i and j can act independently

As for power indices, an interaction index should consider not only $v(i), v(j), v(ij)$ but also the worths of all subsets not containing i and j . We may say that i and j have interest to cooperate if

$$v(T \cup ij) - v(T \cup i) > v(T \cup j) - v(T), \quad T \subseteq N \setminus ij.$$

i and j can act independently in case of $=$ and have no interest to cooperate in case of $<$.

An *interaction* index for the pair $i, j \in N$ is given by the average of the marginal interaction between i and j , conditioned to the presence of elements of the coalition $T \subseteq N \setminus ij$: (Murofushi and Soneda, 1993)

$$I_{\text{B}}^v(ij) := \frac{1}{2^{n-2}} \sum_{T \subseteq N \setminus ij} [v(T \cup ij) - v(T \cup i) - v(T \cup j) + v(T)]$$

$$I_{\text{Sh}}^v(ij) := \sum_{T \subseteq N \setminus ij} \frac{(n-t-2)!t!}{(n-1)!} [v(T \cup ij) - v(T \cup i) - v(T \cup j) + v(T)].$$

Interaction indices among a combination S of players or criteria has been introduced by Grabisch and Roubens (1998) as natural extensions of the case $|S| = 2$:

- The *Banzhaf interaction index* :

$$I_{\text{B}}^v(S) := \frac{1}{2^{n-s}} \sum_{T \subseteq N \setminus S} \sum_{L \subseteq S} (-1)^{s-l} v(L \cup T), \quad S \subseteq N$$

- The *Shapley interaction index* :

$$I_{\text{Sh}}^v(S) := \sum_{T \subseteq N \setminus S} \frac{(n-t-s)! t!}{(n-s+1)!} \sum_{L \subseteq S} (-1)^{s-l} v(L \cup T), \quad S \subseteq N$$

(characterized by Grabisch and Roubens, 1998)

We have

$$I_{\text{B}}^v(S) = \sum_{T \supseteq S} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{t-s} a(T)$$

$$I_{\text{Sh}}^v(S) = \sum_{T \supseteq S} \frac{1}{t-s+1} a(T)$$

and

$$\phi_{\text{B}}^v(i) = I_{\text{B}}^v(i) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{\text{Sh}}^v(i) = I_{\text{Sh}}^v(i)$$

for all $i \in N$.

Theorem

The interaction indices I_{B} and I_{Sh} , viewed as set functions from 2^N to \mathbb{R} are equivalent representations of v .

Multilinear extension of pseudo-Boolean functions

Let $S \subseteq N$. The S -derivative of the pseudo-Boolean function f at $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$, denoted $\Delta_S f(x)$, is defined inductively as

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_i f(x) &:= f(x \mid x_i = 1) - f(x \mid x_i = 0), \\ \Delta_{ij} f(x) &:= \Delta_i(\Delta_j f)(x) = \Delta_j(\Delta_i f)(x), \\ &\vdots \\ \Delta_S f(x) &:= \Delta_i(\Delta_{S \setminus i} f)(x)\end{aligned}$$

Definition The multilinear extension (MLE) of a pseudo-Boolean function f (or a game v) is defined by

$$g(x) := \sum_{T \subseteq N} a(T) \prod_{i \in T} x_i, \quad x \in [0, 1]^n$$

see Hammer and Rudeanu (1968) and Owen (1972).

The S -derivative of g is defined inductively in the same way as for f :

$$\Delta_S g(x) = \sum_{T \supseteq S} a(T) \prod_{i \in T \setminus S} x_i, \quad x \in [0, 1]^n$$

In particular, we have

$$I_B(S) = \sum_{T \supseteq S} \frac{1}{2^{t-s}} a(T) = \int_{[0,1]^n} (\Delta_S g)(x) dx.$$

Note that it has been proved that

$$I_B(S) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (\Delta_S f)(x).$$

Recall that

$$\Delta_S g(x) = \sum_{T \supseteq S} a(T) \prod_{i \in T \setminus S} x_i, \quad x \in [0, 1]^n$$

Setting $\underline{x} := (x, \dots, x)$, we have

$$\Delta_S g(\underline{x}) = \sum_{T \supseteq S} a(T) x^{t-s}, \quad x \in [0, 1]^n$$

Consequently, we have, for all $S \subseteq N$,

$$a(S) = (\Delta_S g)(\underline{0})$$

$$I_B(S) = \sum_{T \supseteq S} \frac{1}{2^{t-s}} a(T) = (\Delta_S g)(\underline{1/2})$$

$$I_{\text{Sh}}(S) = \sum_{T \supseteq S} \frac{1}{t-s+1} a(T) = \int_0^1 (\Delta_S g)(\underline{x}) dx.$$

Some conversion formulas derived from the MLE

For the multilinear extension g , the operator Δ_S identifies with the classical S -derivative, that is

$$\Delta_S g(x) = \frac{\partial^s g(x)}{\partial x_{i_1} \cdots \partial x_{i_s}} \quad \text{where } S = \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}.$$

The Taylor formula for functions of several variables then can be applied to g . This leads to the equality:

$$g(x) = \sum_{T \subseteq N} \prod_{i \in T} (x_i - y_i) \Delta_T g(y), \quad x, y \in [0, 1]^n. \quad (*)$$

Replacing x by e_S ($S \subseteq N$) provides:

$$v(S) = \sum_{T \subseteq N} \prod_{i \in T} ((e_S)_i - y) (\Delta_T g)(\underline{y}), \quad y \in [0, 1].$$

$$\boxed{y \rightarrow 0, 1/2 : \text{ passages from } a \text{ and } I_B \text{ to } v}$$

By successive derivations of $(*)$, we obtain:

$$\Delta_S g(x) = \sum_{T \supseteq S} \prod_{i \in T \setminus S} (x_i - y_i) \Delta_T g(y), \quad \forall x, y \in [0, 1]^n.$$

In particular,

$$(\Delta_S g)(\underline{x}) = \sum_{T \supseteq S} (x - y)^{t-s} (\Delta_T g)(\underline{y}), \quad \forall x, y \in [0, 1].$$

$$\boxed{x, y \rightarrow 0, 1/2 : \text{ passages between } a \text{ and } I_B}$$

Recall that

$$(\Delta_S g)(\underline{x}) = \sum_{T \supseteq S} (x - y)^{t-s} (\Delta_T g)(\underline{y}), \quad \forall x, y \in [0, 1].$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\text{Sh}}(S) &= \int_0^1 (\Delta_S g)(\underline{x}) dx \\ &= \sum_{T \supseteq S} \left[\int_0^1 (x - y)^{t-s} dx \right] (\Delta_T g)(\underline{y}) \\ &= \sum_{T \supseteq S} \frac{(1 - y)^{t-s+1} - (-y)^{t-s+1}}{t - s + 1} (\Delta_T g)(\underline{y}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{y \rightarrow 0, 1/2 : \text{ passages from } a \text{ and } I_B \text{ to } I_{\text{Sh}}}$$

Let $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of Bernoulli numbers:

$$B_0 = 1, B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}, B_2 = \frac{1}{6}, B_3 = 0, B_4 = -\frac{1}{30}, B_5 = 0, B_6 = \frac{1}{42}, \dots$$

and define the Bernoulli polynomials by

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} B_k x^{n-k}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem We have

$$(\Delta_S g)(\underline{x}) = \sum_{T \supseteq S} B_{t-s}(x) I_{\text{Sh}}(T), \quad \forall x \in [0, 1].$$

$$\boxed{x \rightarrow 0, 1/2 : \text{ passages from } I_{\text{Sh}} \text{ to } a \text{ and } I_B}$$

Conversion formulas

$$v(S) = \sum_{T \subseteq S} a(T)$$

$$v(S) = \sum_{T \subseteq N} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^t (-1)^{|T \setminus S|} I_B(T)$$

$$a(S) = \sum_{T \subseteq S} (-1)^{s-t} v(T)$$

$$a(S) = \sum_{T \supseteq S} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{t-s} I_B(T)$$

$$a(S) = \sum_{T \supseteq S} B_{t-s} I_{\text{Sh}}(T)$$

$$I_B(S) = \sum_{T \supseteq S} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{t-s} a(T)$$

$$I_B(S) = \sum_{T \supseteq S} \left(\frac{1}{2^{t-s-1}} - 1\right) B_{t-s} I_{\text{Sh}}(T)$$

$$I_{\text{Sh}}(S) = \sum_{T \supseteq S} \frac{1}{t-s+1} a(T)$$

$$I_{\text{Sh}}(S) = \sum_{T \supseteq S} \frac{1 + (-1)^{t-s}}{(t-s+1) 2^{t-s+1}} I_B(T)$$