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Abstract—Automotive Ethernet (AE) is becoming more and more relevant to the automotive industry due to its support of emerging in-car applications, which have high bandwidth demands and stringent requirements in terms of latency and time synchronization. One of the standards under consideration for AE is IEEE 802.1 Audio Video Bridging (AVB)/Time Sensitive Networking (TSN) that provides deterministic data link layer and bounded latency to real-time traffic classes. So far, this protocol stack has only been evaluated using either simulations or proprietary and expensive platforms. In this paper, we design a real testbed system for AE using general-purpose single-board computers and conduct experiments to assess the real-time performance of an open-source AVB/TSN implementation. Our preliminary results show that even under heavy load, AVB/TSN can fulfill the latency requirements of AE while keeping a constant latency variation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, cars are becoming increasingly dependant on a broad range of embedded computers, sensors, cameras, and other advanced systems, to enable safe and comfortable journey for drivers and passengers. In addition, it is expected that self-driving cars will hit our roads in the coming years, which will require an increased number of advanced sensors and high resolution driver assistance camera systems. To integrate these new features and ensure the delivery of bandwidth-hungry and delay-sensitive traffic, it is crucial to have reliable, deterministic and bandwidth-guarantee communication protocols. Current intra-car networks adopted by car manufacturers include Controller Area Network (CAN), Local Interconnect Network (LIN), Media Oriented Serial Transport (MOST), and FlexRay. The main limitation of these technologies is that, at the time of their conception, they were not tailored with the sharp rise of high-bandwidth and delay-sensitive applications. To support the above mentioned requirements, in-car networks have to undergo significant changes.

Automotive Ethernet (AE) is considered to be a potential candidate technology able to meet the new stringent automotive requirements, at the same time offering much higher capacity than current technologies. Several AE-based protocols are currently being considered, such as IEEE Audio Video Bridging (AVB)/Time Sensitive Networking (TSN) and Time-Triggered Ethernet (TTEthernet), which are compared in [1]. Among these, AVB/TSN is gaining more attention from both the research community and automotive industry. However, to the best of our knowledge, the implementation and evaluation of AVB/TSN has been carried out using either computer simulations [1] or by running experiments on expensive testbeds with proprietary hardware and software [2], [3].

The contribution of this paper is two-fold: (i) we design a real AVB/TSN testbed system based on OpenAvnu [4], the open-source reference implementation provided by the Avnu Alliance; (ii) we investigate the performances of AVB/TSN in terms of latency and delay variation (jitter), defined as in [5], under different traffic loads using this testbed. We demonstrate that the timing constraints of critical data traffic can be ensured even in presence of high levels of best-effort background traffic.

II. BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORK

AVB/TSN is an evolution of Ethernet proposed to provide a deterministic data link layer. It includes a set of technical standards developed initially by the IEEE 802.1 AVB Task Group since 2005, which was renamed to TSN Task Group in 2012 [6]. The main standards included in AVB/TSN are: 1) IEEE 802.1AS for timing and synchronisation; 2) IEEE 802.1Qat for online stream reservation; 3) IEEE 802.1Qav for forwarding and queuing enhancement; 4) IEEE 802.1BA for audio and video bridging systems. AVB/TSN supports two traffic classes with different end-to-end latency guarantees: $\leq 2$ ms for class A traffic, and $\leq 50$ ms for class B traffic.

Since AVB/TSN is hardware constrained, many studies have focused on simulations. For example, a comparison between TTEthernet and AVB was done in [1]. Lim et al. tested AVB performance under different additional network loads (up to 70%) and multiple hops, by relying on OMNeT++ based simulations [7]. Outside the simulation environment, a performance evaluation of AVB and IEEE 802.1Q using embedded systems in a single-switch network was done in [2], even though the authors do not specify the software used. This work has been extended in [3], where a multi-switch network has been considered.

Differently from existing studies, we build an experimental testbed for AE based on general-purpose single-board computers using an open-source implementation of AVB/TSN, namely OpenAvnu [4]. We provide insights into how to build such a system and run experiments to validate the main features of AVB/TSN.
III. METHODOLOGY AND EVALUATION

Our testbed consists of two APU2C4 single-board computers equipped with Intel® I210 1 Gbit/s Network Interface Cards (NICs) supporting hardware timestamping, which is necessary for Generalized Precision Time Protocol (gPTP). Both devices run Ubuntu 16.04 GNU/Linux OS and the latest version (git commit 6788f2f) of OpenAvnu [4]. We reduced the NIC speed to 100 Mbit/s, to bring the network more easily to its limits. To compare AVB/TSN with “normal” Ethernet, we developed a Python script\(^1\) to exchange raw Ethernet frames between the two computers. For the background traffic, we used iperf 3.5 to send best-effort UDP packets in parallel. The gPTP protocol, which is part of OpenAvnu, synchronizes the NIC clocks with a mean drift of \(-13.4\) ns.

We carried out two experiments consisting of a Talker directly connected to the Listener, sending a 113.8 MB video file. The goal of the first experiment is to verify if AVB/TSN is able to fulfil the maximum end-to-end latency constraint of 2 ms under different network traffic loads. To this end, we vary the background traffic between 0–100 % (100 % \(\equiv 77.7\) Mbit/s) with a 22 % step size and we measure the obtained latency. Results are depicted in Figure 1. Each boxplot represents the first and third quartiles as a box, the median value as a central line inside the box, and the minimum and maximum values with whiskers. The horizontal red line indicates the latency threshold for class A traffic. We can notice that both AVB/TSN and Ethernet have small delays for lower network traffic loads, while they present slightly increased delays for higher loads. However, it can be seen that AVB/TSN is able to always guarantee an end-to-end delay \(\leq 2\) ms, independently from the considered network traffic load. Ethernet, on the other hand, fails to do so, especially under high traffic load in background. In particular, the percentage of measured delay values above the 2 ms threshold is 0.0069, 0.02, 0.062 and 8.1 % in case of 40, 60, 80 and 100 % levels of background best-effort traffic.

In the second experiment, we investigate the delay variance (jitter) for both AVB/TSN and Ethernet. Experimental results (average with 95 % confidence interval) are shown in Figure 2. The mean delay variation ranges between 0.01–0.13 ms for AVB/TSN, while for Ethernet the range is in between 0.008–0.18 ms. We can see that AVB/TSN is more resilient than Ethernet against different levels of traffic load, with a maximum difference of mean jitter of 0.12 ms for AVB/TSN, and 0.17 ms for Ethernet. The variance of the jitter in this experiment is 0.001 for AVB/TSN and 0.004 for raw Ethernet.

\(^1\)https://github.com/TengXu94/python_traffic_generator/

Fig. 1. Comparison of AVB/TSN (left blue solid boxplot) and raw Ethernet (right red dotted boxplot) in terms of latency. Payload size is 430 B.

Fig. 2. Mean delay variation of AVB/TSN (blue solid line) and Ethernet (red dotted line) for different network traffic loads. Payload size is 430 B.

IV. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper we designed a real and simple testbed for AE based on OpenAvnu, an open-source implementation of AVB/TSN. We used this testbed to investigate and compare the performances of AVB/TSN and Ethernet in terms of delay and jitter, under different network traffic loads. Our preliminary results show that, unlike Ethernet, AVB/TSN is able to fulfil the class A time constraints. Additionally, we found out that AVB/TSN has a lower jitter compared to Ethernet, which shows a higher variation. As a future work, we plan to extend the testbed and include switches in order to test different network topologies. Also, we plan to evaluate the AVB/TSN performance under multiple data streams with different priority levels.
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