Cu₂ZnSn(S,Se)₄ (CZTS(e)) absorbers for photovoltaic applications gain increasing interest in thin film solar cell research due to the abundance of the raw materials and have already achieved 11.1% efficiency with mixed sulfide-selenide absorbers.[1] We concentrate here on pure selenide absorbers (CZTSe), since their open circuit voltage loss with respect to the bandgap is less than in sulfur-containing absorbers.[2] Recently, CZTSe based solar cells have achieved a record efficiency of 9.15%.[3] where the absorber of this record cell was prepared by a high-temperature co-evaporation process without a further annealing step. Similar to Cu(In,Ga)Se₂, these CZTSe absorbers are grown with an intermediate Cu-rich (Cu/(Zn+Sn) >1) step, i.e., during the high temperature growth process the absorber composition has an excess of Cu during a limited time interval. The final absorber composition is Cu-poor (Cu/(Zn+Sn) <1). A similar Cu-rich growth step in Cu(In,Ga)Se₂ is beneficial for the solar cells: it leads to larger grains and it reduces the recombination activity.[3,4] In the current work, we have prepared kesterite absorbers by a precursor-annealing process. This allows a very easy approach to include a Cu-rich step by using a Cu-rich precursor. Precursors are grown at low temperature (320 °C) by co-evaporation with constant rates and annealed afterwards at high temperature (500 °C) in an SnSe and Se atmosphere.[5] The low deposition temperature of the precursor and the annealing atmosphere suppress the decomposition reaction of kesterite, which was observed at high temperatures.[6] The potentially beneficial Cu-rich step is achieved during the co-evaporation step, i.e., the composition of the precursors after deposition is Cu-rich. In the following we show that untreated Cu-rich precursors lead to a Cu-Sn-Se phase at the surface of the absorbers after annealing, which completely inhibits any solar cell performance. This Cu-Sn-Se phase develops from a Cu₁₈₂Se phase on the surface of the Cu-rich precursors. Since the Cu selenide phase can be removed by etching in cyanide before annealing, absorbers made from etched Cu-rich precursors do not suffer from a Cu-Sn-Se phase at the surface. We will demonstrate in the following that they in fact show better performance than absorbers made from originally Cu-poor precursors.

Figure 1a shows the compositions of absorbers grown under Cu-rich conditions, as determined by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX). A table of the measured EDX values is given in the Supporting Information. Both precursors and annealed samples are plotted in the phase diagram adapted from Dudchak et al.[7] To plot the compositions in the phase diagram, Se is assumed to be stoichiometric. The precursors (black circles) are in fact Cu-rich. After annealing (grey circles) of these precursors, the composition shifts from the Cu-rich to the Cu-poor side and ends up in the region of the phase diagram (Cu-poor and Zn-rich (Zn/Sn > 1)) where the best solar cell efficiencies have been reported.[8,9] This shift is due to addition of Sn during the annealing. Thus, although the precursor is Cu-rich the annealed absorber is Cu-poor. However, no working solar cells can be made from absorbers prepared by annealing untreated Cu-rich precursors; their power conversion efficiency is zero. The reason can be understood when considering the nature of the Cu-rich precursors and the annealing process. It is well known from Cu-rich Cu(In,Ga)Se₂ that a Cu₁₈₂Se phase is formed at the surface, which can be removed by a cyanide etch.[10] Similarly, a Cu₄Se₃ phase has been observed during the Cu-rich step in kesterites.[3] If a Cu₁₈₂Se phase also forms on the surface of the Cu-rich precursor, the Cu selenide will be transformed into a Cu-Sn-Se phase during the Sn rich annealing step. We have shown previously that a Cu-Sn-Se phase at the surface of the absorber is detrimental to the solar cell.[11] Figure 1b compares the secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) profiles of a standard Cu-poor precursor and a Cu-rich precursor. Cu is enriched at the Cu-rich precursor’s surface, whereas no Cu enrichment is detected in the Cu-poor precursor. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of a Cu-rich precursor in Figure 1c (red curve) shows the reflections of Cu₁₈₂Se, indicating that the Cu enrichment at the surface is due to a Cu selenide phase, very similar to the case of Cu(In,Ga)Se₂. After annealing, absorbers obtained from Cu-poor precursors yield solar cells with efficiencies around 4%[11] whereas absorbers from Cu-rich precursor do not yield working solar cells at all. Hence, one may assume that absorbers obtained from the Cu-poor and from the Cu-rich precursor exhibit substantially different surface compositions. Detecting this difference in surface composition by SIMS is difficult since the surface layer might be thin and SIMS data can be influenced by matrix effects, surface roughness or oxide layers. Therefore, we have performed complementary atom probe tomography...
(APT) studies. We observed different behavior for the differently prepared samples during the APT experiments. While the samples made from Cu-poor precursors showed high stability, APT tips extracted from the absorbers from Cu-rich precursors fractured almost instantaneously at the beginning of the experiments. In one APT measurement it was possible to detect the Cu-rich phase on the surface of the annealed Cu-rich precursor. We measured a composition of Cu (34 ± 2)%, Sn (12 ± 1)%, Se (51 ± 1)%, Zn (4 ± 0.5)% for this phase, i.e., it is a Cu-Sn-Se phase which contains some Zn. We conclude that this layer represents the detrimental phase. We note here that because of the small probed volume the detected composition determination is associated with a relatively large statistical error. Although absorbers made from Cu-rich precursors may have favorable electronic properties, the Cu_{1.82}Se layer on the precursor turns into an extremely detrimental layer with a very low Zn content during annealing in Se and Sn atmosphere and thus prevents solar cells made from Cu-rich precursors by simple annealing.

Since it is likely that the detrimental phase has its origin in the Cu_{1.82}Se phase on the surface of the precursor, the Cu-selenide phase needs to be removed before annealing. It is well known that cyanides, such as KCN, are able to remove any Cu_xSe phase and thus the precursors were etched in KCN before annealing. The composition changes during the etching and annealing steps, as can be seen from Figure 1a. The first important observation is that the compositions shift from Cu-rich to Cu-poor directly after KCN etching, due to the removal of the Cu selenide layer (see EDX data available in the Supporting Information). Figure 1c shows XRD patterns of a Cu-rich precursor before and after etching (the range from 25° to 55° is shown in the Supporting Information Figure S1). Solar cell parameters under illumination for Cu-rich precursors using the CAPRI process, for Cu-poor precursors with and without KCN etching before annealing.
range from 25° to 55° can be seen in the Supporting Information Figure S1). Furthermore, the compositions of the etched precursors are in the range from which the best solar cells are produced and they do not shift anymore after annealing (see phase diagram in Figure 1a). Thus, the Cu-rich precursors lead to Cu-poor absorbers after annealing, independent of the treatment of the precursor. The improved precursor-annealing process, including an etching step, results in solar cells with a reproducible efficiency above 6% and is named CAPRI (from “cyanide absorber etching prior to annealing”). We assign the term CAPRI to absorbers made from Cu-rich precursors.

Solar cells prepared by the CAPRI process exhibit an efficiency which is considerably higher than the efficiency of solar cells obtained by the same annealing process from Cu-poor and Zn-rich precursors. The power conversion efficiency of our best solar cell grown as precursor under Cu-poor conditions with no additional surface treatment, except for KCN etching before the buffer layer deposition, did not exceed 4.6%, as was reported previously. The efficiency of solar cells issuing from the CAPRI process is higher without surface treatment after the annealing process (except for a short KCN etch just before the buffer deposition). The question arises if the improvement is due to the Cu-rich nature of the precursors in the CAPRI process or simply due to the etching of the precursors. Etching has been shown to be beneficial for finished chalcopyrite absorbers, due to, for instance, cleaning the surface or increasing the interface band gap. Therefore, some Cu-poor precursors were processed the same way as the Cu-rich ones, by KCN etching prior to annealing. The table in Figure 1d lists the average solar cell parameters for solar cells made from Cu-rich precursors treated by KCN prior to annealing (CAPRI), from Cu-poor precursors etched by KCN prior to annealing and from the same Cu-poor precursors without KCN etching prior to annealing (the complete list of solar cells is given in Table S1 in the Supporting Information). Although the processing is the same, when etching Cu-poor precursors prior to annealing, we would like to reserve the label CAPRI for Cu-rich precursors, since only they result in superior efficiencies, as discussed in the following. Comparing the Cu-poor precursors with and without etching, it is seen that etching of the precursors, in fact, does improve the solar cells by improving all photovoltaic parameters. Particularly the fill factor (FF) and the open circuit voltage (V_{OC}) of solar cells made from etched Cu-poor precursors are improved compared to unetched Cu-poor precursors, which is consistent with the decrease of the reverse saturation current J_0 and of the diode factor A. Additionally, the series resistance R_s and shunt conductance G_{sh} decrease somewhat, contributing to an enhanced FF. The short circuit current (J_{sc}) increases slightly on average (about 10% relative), but much less than the open circuit voltage, which increases about 20% relative on average. The increase in V_{OC} and the small change in J_{sc} indicate that the diode itself is improved by the etching process. We can only speculate that etching leads to a less defective interface with the CdS buffer or to improved properties of the space charge region. It can be concluded from this comparison, that etching before the annealing also improves Cu-poor precursors. Still, Cu-rich precursors after the CAPRI process do give better solar cells than Cu-poor precursors which are etched before annealing, as seen in the table in Figure 1d. Comparing the solar cell parameters from Cu-rich and Cu-poor precursors, both etched before annealing, the main advantage of cells made from Cu-rich precursors is a significant increase in short circuit current J_{sc}. Open circuit voltage V_{OC} and fill factor FF also increase somewhat, but diode factor A, saturation current J_0, parasitic resistances and conductances do not change significantly. This observation indicates that the electronic properties of the diode do not change much by using Cu-rich precursors, but the collection properties are considerably improved. This observation can be explained by better transport properties of absorbers made from Cu-rich precursors. Figure 2a shows normalized quantum efficiency spectra (EQE) comparing several solar cells made from Cu-rich (red and black line) and Cu-poor (blue line) precursors, all etched before annealing. Although the solar cells show slightly different band gaps, which is attributed to different crystal modifications of the Cu2ZnSnSe4, the main observation is that the current is improved for the Cu-rich precursor in agreement with the observed short circuit currents. The improvement is mostly in the long-wavelength region, indicating a better collection near the back of the absorber and thus improved transport properties. A similar behavior is observed in chalcopyrite absorbers (Cu(InGa)Se2), where etched Cu-rich absorbers exhibit better transport properties than Cu-poor ones.

Solar cells prepared by the CAPRI process from Cu-rich precursors have been analysed using temperature dependent J–V measurements to study their dominant recombination paths. Figure 2b shows a comparison of the temperature dependence of the open circuit voltage of a solar cell made from a Cu-poor and a Cu-rich precursor, both etched before annealing. Since in both cases the open circuit voltage extrapolates to the band gap as determined by the quantum efficiency spectra (red and blue curve in Figure 2a), it is safe to conclude that these solar cells are not dominated by interface recombination. Nevertheless, they show a rather high reverse saturation current J_0 (table in Figure 1d) indicating a strong recombination channel which limits the efficiency of these solar cells. These limitations are also obvious from the open circuit voltages, which are, although improved, still about 650 mV below the band gap value. Therefore, we studied in detail the absorbers prepared from etched Cu-rich precursors. Room temperature confocal micro photoluminescence (micro PL) spectra were measured at different depths of the absorber (Figure 2c, all curves, besides the black one). Micro PL was measured at the surface after a 15 s etch in bromine methanol solution (grey curve in Figure 2c, labeled 15 s) and in sputter craters with different depths, which are described by the different sputter times (labeled 150 to 2500 s). The deepest sputter crater after 2500 s is close to the back of the absorber, where the absorber/Mo interface appears at about 3200 s. Most spectra show one or several emissions in the energy range between 0.9 and 1.0 eV. These are attributed to band-band recombination of several crystal modifications with slightly different band gaps, most likely stannite and kesterite phases. An additional transition is observed at 1.25 eV. This transition has been previously attributed to a defect related transition in ZnSe, due to resonant defect excitation.[17,18] This ZnSe emission increases towards the back of the absorber. ZnSe has been previously related with the series resistance of the solar cells,[2] however, using micro PL we are not able to
They can also explain why the series resistance is higher with more Zn at the surface because the current path between the ZnSe particles is limited in space and could also be subject to band bending. The micro PL spectra in Figure 2c indicate increasing ZnSe luminescence towards the back of the absorber. APT measurements near the back of the absorber also reveal a network of ZnSe inclusions. In fact, such ZnSe inclusions are not only observed in absorbers prepared by the CAPRI process but also in the absorber prepared by annealing an unetched Cu-poor precursor (see APT maps in the Supporting Information).

The strong recombination evident from the high saturation current can have a large number of causes. However, it is reasonable to assume that the interface between Cu₂ZnSnSe₄ and ZnSe contributes strongly to the recombination, since the interfacial area is huge and is very likely related with different doping levels of the two materials. That other causes can play a significant role as well, becomes clear when comparing the absorber by micro PL. They can also explain why the series resistance is higher with more Zn at the surface because the current path between the ZnSe particles is limited in space and could also be subject to band bending. The micro PL spectra in Figure 2c indicate increasing ZnSe luminescence towards the back of the absorber. APT measurements near the back of the absorber also reveal a network of ZnSe inclusions. In fact, such ZnSe inclusions are not only observed in absorbers prepared by the CAPRI process but also in the absorber prepared by annealing an unetched Cu-poor precursor (see APT maps in the Supporting Information).

The strong recombination evident from the high saturation current can have a large number of causes. However, it is reasonable to assume that the interface between Cu₂ZnSnSe₄ and ZnSe contributes strongly to the recombination, since the interfacial area is huge and is very likely related with different doping levels of the two materials. That other causes can play a significant role as well, becomes clear when comparing the

Figure 2. a) Normalized quantum efficiency spectra of solar cells made from etched precursors. The blue line originates from a Cu-poor precursor, the red and black line from Cu-rich precursors. b) Temperature dependence of the open circuit voltage for solar cells based on a Cu-rich precursor and on a Cu-poor precursor, both etched before annealing. c) Micro PL spectra measured at craters of different depths in the absorber, prepared by sputtering for the different times indicated. The grey line is not sputtered but after 15 s of etching in bromine methanol solution. The black line is the micro PL spectrum of the absorber, which resulted in the 7.5% cell. d) Atom probe dataset (6 nm thick slice) of CAPRI processed absorber based on a Cu-rich precursor (red dots indicate Se, blue dots Cu, grey dots Zn and green dots Sn). e) Compositional line profile along the arrow indicated in Figure 2d.)
The precursors were subsequently annealed for 30 min in a tube furnace epitaxy system. For a more complete description of the process see [20].
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Annealing process. Precursors with different compositions were produced by a precursor-annealing process for kesterite. The system includes an intermediate beneficial Cu-rich step. The Cu-rich precursors show a Cu$_{1.82}$Se phase on the surface which, if not removed in the CAPRI process, is the starting point of the formation of a Cu-Sn-selenide related detrimental secondary phase. This phase formation can be suppressed by etching the Cu$_{1.82}$Se phase with KCN before annealing.

Our currently best solar cell prepared by this process has reached 7.5% power conversion efficiency for a pure selenide kesterite. The improved solar cell efficiency obtained with Cu-rich precursors can be attributed to better current collection properties. Direct evidence of nanosized ZnSe domains in the absorbers, likely responsible for the strong recombination in the bulk was given by atom probe tomography and photoluminescence.

Experimental Section

Polycrystalline CZTSe absorbers were produced by a precursor-annealing process. Precursors with different compositions were obtained by co-evaporating all the elements (Cu, Zn, Sn, and Se) at 320 °C onto a molybdenum coated soda lime glass in a molecular beam epitaxy system. For a more complete description of the process see [20]. The precursors were subsequently annealed for 30 min in a tube furnace.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.
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