The New Flagship University, in contrast, strives for excellence across a broad spectrum of teaching, research and public service, and structures itself accordingly.

The New Flagship University is defined by seven characteristics. It is comprehensive, conducting research and teaching across a wide (though still selective) range of subjects. It is broadly accessible, recruiting students and staff from across all segments of national society and internationally through selection processes based on clear meritocratic principles. The institution is engaged in educating the next generation of leaders. It enjoys a high degree of autonomy and has a strong, evidence-based management capacity. Finally, the New Flagship University is characterized by a broad economic engagement and by playing a leading role in relation to the wider higher education system.

Locally, of course, a flagship cannot exist in the absence of a century of other "stripes" (other higher education institutions). This semantic grille should not, however, obscure the essence of the model. As the sole public university in the country, vested with a broad remit, the roles required of a flagship university are in the University of Luxembourg's DNA. One of the institutional missions tightly corresponds to the model's vision of a comprehensive and accessible institution strongly engaged with its immediate environment and having the means to play a leading national and international role in education and innovation. The guiding principles set out in the University's ten-year strategic framework – a research-oriented, entrepreneurial spirit, openness to diversity, respectful collaboration, quality assurance and transparency – may easily on the most important and dynamic characteristics of the New Flagship University. Given this, the central question is that of what can we learn from the New Flagship model in regards key issues of, respectively, institutional mission and institutional governance.

In his presentation of the New Flagship University, Douglas defines the mission of the institution in relatively broad terms, encompassing the cultivation of a "productive learning and research environment", the creation of new knowledge and preservation of the past, the "evaluation of society", contributing to "more equitable and prosperous societies", and the "advancement of individual human capabilities". Underlying these general (and overlapping) objectives, there is, however, a deeper mission, which is essentially that of seeking to balance the traditional roles of the university with the increasing external demands placed upon the institution. The overarching objective consequently becomes that of articulating an institutional mission that sustains and nourishes its distinctive "academic core", while at the same time facilitating productive external engagements that build on and out from the core.

This institutional puzzle presents itself perhaps its most satisfactory formulation in the seminal work of Harry Bierkens on the "triple lock.". The triple lock puts forward a model of university-industry-government relations in terms intended to advance "mode 2 knowledge production"; privileging the practical applications of scientific and scholarly work so as to facilitate technology transfer, the development of solutions addressing complex ("wicked") policy problems, etc. For Bierkens, the effective development of such relations requires comparatively deep forms of organizational learning across the three fields, in which each institution to some extent "takes on the role of the other" – i.e. is prepared to draw lessons from the other's experience. In this sense, the triple lock model provides an interesting prism through which to view our own situation, seeking to build a new and effective institutional model on the bedrock of a traditional academic core.


Commentators have advanced a plethora of institutional models to explain and analyse this transformation. A look at historical institutions has guided us around accounts of "the global university" or the "world-class university", the "new research university" and the "entrepreneurial university" – to name just a few. For the present purposes, however, we will principally focus on the model of the "New Flagship University", as a means to frame a discussion of the development and potential future reform of the University of Luxembourg. Clearly, no single model can capture the full complexity of the contemporary university. Nevertheless, it is the question of how we can make the flagships more relevant to any particular (national) case. Nevertheless, the relatively open-ended New Flagship model provides an interesting prism through which to view our own situation, seeking to build a new and effective institutional model on the bedrock of a traditional academic core.

The concept of the New Flagship University is most prominently associated with the Berkeley higher education scholar John Abney Douglas. In presenting the flagship model, Douglas is particularly concerned to present an "alternative narrative" to "the World Class University" (WCU). The WCU model, in Douglas's account, is concerned with institutional excellence across a broad range of research activities at the expense of wider institutional missions. The New Flagship University, in contrast, strives for excellence across a broad spectrum of teaching, research and public service, and structures itself accordingly.
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As a still young university, operating in the absence of an established national university tradition and with colleagues coming from an exceptionally wide range of different national higher education systems, the University of Luxembourg is perhaps uniquely challenged in defining a shared institutional culture.

The inauguration of the Cité des sciences on Belval Campus – a landmark in Luxembourg’s still short academic tradition

For Luxembourg?