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1. Introduction

In this study, we aim to establish transportation-cost inequalities associated with the uniform distance, which is on the path space of (reflecting) diffusion processes over manifolds carrying a complete geometric flow. More precisely, our base manifold is a $d$-dimensional differential manifold $M$ possibly with boundary $\partial M$ equipped with
a family of complete Riemannian metrics \((g_t)_{t \in [0,T_c)}\) for some \(T_c \in (0, \infty]\), which is \(C^1\) in \(t\). Let \(\nabla^t\) and \(\text{Ric}_t\) be, respectively, the Levi-Civita connection and the Ricci curvature tensor associated with the metric \(g_t\). For simplicity, we take the notation: for \(X, Y \in TM\),

\[
R^Z_t(X,Y) := \text{Ric}_t(X,Y) - \langle \nabla^t_X Z_t, Y \rangle_t - \frac{1}{2} \partial_t g_t(X,Y),
\]

where \(Z_t\) is a \(C^{1,1}\)-vector field and \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_t := g_t(\cdot, \cdot)\). Define the second fundamental form of the boundary with respect to \(g_t\) by

\[
\Pi_t(X,Y) = -\langle \nabla^t_X N_t, Y \rangle_t, \quad \text{for all } X,Y \in T\partial M,
\]

where \(N_t\) is the inward unit normal vector field of the boundary associated with the metric \(g_t\) and \(T\partial M\) is the tangent space of \(\partial M\). If \(\Pi_t \geq 0\) for all \(t \in [0,T_c)\), i.e., \(\partial M\) keeps convex for all \(t \in [0,T_c)\), then we call \(\{g_t\} \) a convex flow.

Consider the elliptic operator \(L_t := \Delta_t + Z_t\) on \([0,T_c) \times M\), where \(\Delta_t\) is the Laplacian operator with respect to the metric \(g_t\) and \(Z\) is a \(C^{1,1}\)-vector field. Let \(\mu \in \mathcal{P}(M)\), where \(\mathcal{P}(M)\) is the set including all probability measures on \(M\). A (reflecting) diffusion process \(X^\mu_t\), generated by \(L_t\) with initial distribution \(\mu\), can be constructed as in [4]. Assume that \(X^\mu_t\) is non-explosive before time \(T_c\), by a similar discussion as in [5, Corollary 2.2], which is the case if

\[
R^Z_t \geq K(t), \quad \text{for some } K \in C([0,T_c)) \text{ and } \Pi_t \geq 0 \text{ (if } \partial M \neq \emptyset), \quad t \in [0,T_c).
\]

(1.1)

When \(\mu = \delta_x\), we simply denote \(X^\delta_t = X^x_t\). Moreover, by [4], we know that \(X^x_t\) solves the following equation

\[
dX_t = \sqrt{2} u_t \circ dB_t + Z_t(X_t)dt + N_t(X_t)dl_t, \quad X_0 = x,
\]

(1.2)

where \(B_t := (B^1_t,B^2_t, \ldots, B^d_t)\) is a \(\mathbb{R}^d\)-valued Brownian motion on a complete filtered probability space \((\Omega, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})\) with the natural filtration \(\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}\), \(u_t\) is the horizontal lift process of \(X_t\) and \(l_t\) is an increasing process supported on \(\{t \geq 0 : X_t \in \partial M\}\). Note that if \(\partial M = \emptyset\), then \(l_t = 0\).

Given \(\mu \in \mathcal{P}(M)\) and \(0 \leq S < T < T_c\), let \(\Pi^{[S,T]}_\mu\) be the distribution of \(X^{[S,T]} := \{X_t : t \in [S,T]\}\) with initial law \(\mu\) at time \(S\). Then \(\Pi^{[S,T]}_\mu\) is a probability measure on \(W^{[S,T]} := C([S,T];M)\) with \(\sigma\)-field \(\mathcal{F}^{[S,T]}\) induced by cylindrically measurable functions. When \(S = 0\), we simply denote \(\Pi^T_\mu := \Pi^{[0,T]}_\mu\) and \(W^T := W^{[0,T]}\). Our aim is to establish transportation-cost inequalities for \(\Pi^{[S,T]}_\mu\) under some new curvature conditions, which may include the influence from the time changing of the metric.

Transportation-cost inequality was first introduced by Talagrand [15] in 1996 to bound from above the \(L^2\)-Wasserstein distance to the standard Gaussian measure on \(\mathbb{R}^d\) by the relative entropy. This inequality has been extended to distributions on finite- and infinite-dimensional spaces. In particular, this inequality was established on the path space of
diffusion processes with respect to several different distances (i.e., cost functions). See [7,19,20] on the path space of diffusions with the uniform distance; see [8] on the Wiener space with the Cameron–Martin distance; see [6,16] on the path space of diffusions with the $L^2$-distance and [17] on the Riemannian path space with intrinsic distance induced by the Malliavin gradient operator. In their previous works, the metric of the base space is fixed and the corresponding diffusion process is homogeneous. A natural question is how to extend these results to the time-inhomogeneous diffusion case on manifolds with time-changing metrics.

Indeed, since Arnaudon et al. [1] first construct the $g_t$-Brownian motion (i.e. the diffusion process generated by $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_t$) on manifolds with time-depending metrics, there has been tremendous interest in developing stochastic analysis on these manifolds. One of the results is the transportation-cost inequality with respect to the $L^2$-Wasserstein distance, which is induced by the $g_t$-distance (the Riemannian distance with respect to the metric $g_t$); see e.g. [2,4,5]. Let $\rho_t(x,y)$ be the $g_t$-Riemannian distance between $x$ and $y$ for $x, y \in M$. For $\nu, \mu \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, the $L^2$-Wasserstein distance of $\mu$ and $\nu$, induced by the $g_t$-distance, is defined by

$$W_{2,t}(\nu, \mu) = \inf_{\eta \in \mathcal{E}(\nu, \mu)} \left\{ \int_{M \times M} \rho_t(x,y)^2 d\eta(x,y) \right\}^{1/2},$$

where $\mathcal{E}(\nu, \mu)$ is the set of all couplings for $\nu$ and $\mu$. In [4], the author has proved that the curvature condition (1.1) is equivalent to that for any $x \in M$, $0 \leq t < T_c$ and nonnegative $f$ with $P_{s,t}f(x) = 1$,

$$W_{2,t}(P_{s,t}(x,\cdot), fP_{s,t}(x,\cdot))^2 \leq e^{-2\int_s^t K(r)dr} W_{2,s}(\mu, \nu). \tag{1.3}$$

In this article, we extend this result to the path space $W^{[S,T]}$. More precisely, we aim to estimate some Wasserstein distance between two different probability measures on the path space $W^{[S,T]}$. The main idea is to modify the argument of [19] where fixed metric is considered.

For $0 \leq S < T < T_c$, consider the following uniform norm on the path space $W^{[S,T]}$:

$$\rho_{[S,T]}(\gamma, \eta) := \sup_{t \in [S,T]} \rho_t(\gamma_t, \eta_t), \quad \gamma, \eta \in W^{[S,T]}.$$

Let $W_2^{[S,T]}$ be the $L^2$-Wasserstein distance (or $L^2$-transportation cost) associated with $\rho_{[S,T]}$. In general, for any $p \in [1, \infty)$ and two probability measures $\Pi_1, \Pi_2$ on $W^{[S,T]}$,

$$W_p^{\rho_{[S,T]}}(\Pi_1, \Pi_2) := \inf_{\pi \in \mathcal{C}(\Pi_1, \Pi_2)} \left\{ \int_{W^{[S,T]} \times W^{[S,T]}} \rho_{[S,T]}(\gamma, \eta)^p \pi(d\gamma, d\eta) \right\}^{1/p}$$

is the $L^p$-Wasserstein distance (or $L^p$-transportation cost) of $\Pi_1$ and $\Pi_2$, induced by the uniform norm, where $\mathcal{C}(\Pi_1, \Pi_2)$ is the set of all couplings for $\Pi_1$ and $\Pi_2$. 
In this article, we present two types of transportation-cost inequalities with respect to this distance, which are proved to be equivalent to the curvature condition (1.1); see Theorem 2.1 below. In particular, we prove that (1.1) is equivalent to the following Talagrand inequality: for \(0 \leq S < T < T_c\) and nonnegative \(F\) on \(W^{[S,T]}\) with \(\Pi^{[S,T]}_\mu(F) = 1\),

\[
W_2^p(F\Pi^{[S,T]}_\mu, \Pi^{[S,T]}_{\mu_F})^2 \leq 4 \left( \sup_{t \in [S,T]} \int_S^t e^{-2\int_s^t K(r) dr} du \right) \cdot \Pi^{[S,T]}_\mu(F \log F),
\]

where

\[
\mu^{[S,T]}_F(dx) := \Pi^{[S,T]}_\nu(F)(dx) \in \mathcal{P}(M).
\]

Moreover, (1.4) implies other types of Talagrand inequalities; see Corollary 2.2.

As in \([19]\), we then extend these results to non-convex flow by using a conformal changing of metrics; see Theorems 3.4 and 3.5 below. We would like to indicate that when it reduces to the fixed metric case, i.e., \(g_t \equiv g\), Theorem 3.4 simplifies the results in \([19]\), see Remark 3.2 for details. This result is applied to the following Ricci flow with umbilic boundary: for \(\lambda \in \mathbb{R}\),

\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_t &= 2\text{Ric}_t, \quad \text{in } M; \\
\Pi_t &= \lambda, \quad \text{on } \partial M.
\end{aligned}
\]

See \([14]\) for the short time existence of the solution to this equation and \([3]\) for more geometric explanation of this solution.

The rest parts of the paper are organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove the equivalence of some Wasserstein distance inequalities and the condition (1.1), and in Section 3, we extend parts to the case with non-convex setting.

2. Transportation-cost inequalities

The main result of this section is presented as follows.

**Theorem 2.1.** For any \(p \in [1, \infty)\) and \(K \in C([0, T_c])\), the following statements are equivalent to each other.

(i) (1.1) holds.

(ii) For any \(0 \leq S \leq T < T_c\), \(\mu \in \mathcal{P}(M)\) and nonnegative \(F\) with \(\Pi^{[S,T]}_\mu(F) = 1\),

\[
W_2^p(F\Pi^{[S,T]}_\mu, \Pi^{[S,T]}_{\mu_F})^2 \leq 4 \left( \sup_{t \in [S,T]} \int_S^t e^{-2\int_s^t K(r) dr} du \right) \Pi^{[S,T]}_\mu(F \log F),
\]

where \(\mu^{[S,T]}_F \in \mathcal{P}(M)\) is defined as in (1.5).
(ii') For any $x \in M$, $0 \leq S \leq T < T_c$ and nonnegative $F$ with $\Pi_x^{[S,T]}(F) = 1$,
\[
W_2^{p,\infty}(\Pi_x^{[S,T]}, \Pi_x^{[S,T]})^2 \leq 4 \left( \sup_{t \in [S,T]} \int_{S}^{t} e^{-2 \int_{s}^{t} K(r) \, dr} \, du \right) \Pi_x^{[S,T]}(F \log F).
\]

(iii) For any $0 \leq S \leq T < T_c$ and $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(M)$,
\[
W_p^{p,\infty}(\Pi_\mu^{[S,T]}, \Pi_\nu^{[S,T]}) \leq \left( \sup_{t \in [S,T]} e^{-\int_{S}^{t} K(r) \, dr} \right) W_p(S, \mu, \nu).
\]

(iv) For any $x \in M$, $0 \leq S \leq T < T_c$ and nonnegative $f$ with $P_{S,T}f(x) = 1$,
\[
W_{2,T}(P_{S,T}(x, \cdot), fP_{S,T}(x, \cdot))^2 \leq 4 \left( \int_{S}^{T} e^{-2 \int_{s}^{t} K(r) \, dr} \, du \right) P_{S,T}(f \log f)(x).
\]

**Proof.** Firstly, we explain that (ii) and (ii') are equivalent to each other. By taking $\mu = \delta_x$, we have $\mu_T^T = \Pi_x^{[S,T]}(F)\delta_x = \delta_x$, which implies that (ii') follows from (ii) directly. To show “(ii') $\Rightarrow$ (ii)”, we first observe that by (ii'), for each $x \in M$, there exists
\[
\pi_x \in \mathcal{C} \left( \frac{F}{\Pi_x^T(F)}, \Pi_x^{[S,T]} \right)
\]
such that
\[
\int_{W^{[S,T]} \times W^{[S,T]}} \rho_\infty(\gamma, \eta)^2 \pi_x(d\gamma, d\eta) \leq 4 \left( \sup_{t \in [S,T]} \int_{S}^{t} e^{-2 \int_{s}^{t} K(r) \, dr} \, du \right) \Pi_x^{[S,T]}(F \log F).
\]

If $x \mapsto \pi_x(G)$ is measurable for bounded continuous function $G$ on $W^{[S,T]} \times W^{[S,T]}$, then (ii) is derived by integrating both hand sides with respect to $\mu_T^T(dx)$. The proof of measurability for $x \mapsto \pi_x$ is standard, see (b) in the proof of [7, Theorem 4.1]. Thus, (ii) and (ii') are equivalent to each other.

Secondly, we need to show that “(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii')”. We only consider the case where $\partial M$ is non-empty. For the case without boundary, the following argument works well by taking $l_t = 0$ and $N_t = 0$. We assume without loss of generality that $S = 0$. Simply denote $X_{[0,T]}^x = X_{[0,T]}$. Let $F$ be a positive bounded measurable function on $W^T$ such that $\inf F > 0$ and $\Pi_x^T(F) = 1$. Let $d\mathbb{Q} = F(X_{[0,T]})d\mathbb{P}$. Then $\mathbb{Q}$ is a probability measure on $\Omega$ due to the fact that $\mathbb{E}F(X_{[0,T]}) = \Pi_x^T(F) = 1$. Moreover, we need the following square-integrable $\mathcal{F}_t$-martingales
\[
m_t := \mathbb{E}(F(X_{[0,T]})|\mathcal{F}_t), \quad L_t := \int_{0}^{t} \frac{dm_s}{m_s}, \quad t \in [0, T].
\]
It is easy to see that \( m_t := e^{L_t - \frac{1}{2}(L)_t} \), \( t \in [0, T] \). By the martingale representation, we conclude that there exists a unique \( \mathcal{F}_t \)-predict process \( \beta_t \) on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) such that \( L_t = \int_0^t \langle \beta_s, dB_s \rangle \) and

\[
F(X_{[0,T]}) = m_T = e^{\int_0^T \langle \beta_s, dB_s \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \| \beta_s \|^2 ds},
\]

where \( \| \cdot \| \) is the norm on \( \mathbb{R}^d \). Then by the Girsanov theorem, \( \tilde{B}_t := B_t - \int_0^t \beta_s ds \), \( t \in [0, T] \) is a \( d \)-dimensional Brownian motion under \( Q \).

Let \( Y_t \) solve the following SDE

\[
dY_t = \sqrt{2} P^t_{X_t,Y_t} u_t \circ d\tilde{B}_t + Z_t(Y_t) dt + N_t(Y_t) d\tilde{t}_t, \quad Y_0 = x, \tag{2.1}
\]

where \( P^t_{X_t,Y_t} \) is the \( g_t \)-parallel displacement along the minimal geodesic from \( X_t \) to \( Y_t \), \( \tilde{t}_t \) is the local time of \( Y_t \) on \( \partial M \) and \( u_t \) is the horizontal process of \( X_t \) given in (1.2). As explained, under \( Q \), \( \tilde{B}_t \) is a \( d \)-dimensional Brownian motion and then \( \Pi^T_x \) is the distribution of \( Y_{[0,T]} \).

On the other hand, since \( \tilde{B}_t = B_t - \int_0^t \beta_s ds \), (1.2) implies

\[
dX_t = \sqrt{2} u_t \circ d\tilde{B}_t + Z_t(X_t) dt + \sqrt{2} u_t \beta_t dt + N_t(X_t) d\tilde{t}_t, \quad X_0 = x. \tag{2.2}
\]

Moreover, for any bounded measurable function \( G \) on \( W^T \),

\[
\mathbb{E}_Q G(X_{[0,T]}) := \mathbb{E}(FG)(X_{[0,T]}) = \Pi^T_x (FG).
\]

Thus, we conclude that the distribution of \( X_{[0,T]} \) under \( Q \) coincides with \( F \Pi^T_x \). Therefore,

\[
W_2(\mathbb{P} \Pi^T_x, \mathbb{P}^T_{x})^2 \leq \mathbb{E}_Q \rho_{[0,T]}(X_{[0,T]}, Y_{[0,T]})^2 = \mathbb{E}_Q \max_{t \in [0,T]} \rho_t(X_t, Y_t)^2. \tag{2.3}
\]

Then it suffices for us to estimate \( \mathbb{E}_Q \max_{t \in [0,T]} \rho_t(X_t, Y_t)^2 \). To this end, we first observe that by the convexity of \( (\partial M, g_t) \), we have

\[
\langle N_t(x), \nabla^t \rho_t(\cdot,y)(x) \rangle_t = \langle N_t(x), \nabla^t \rho_t(y,\cdot)(x) \rangle_t \leq 0, \quad x \in \partial M.
\]

Combining this with the Itô formula (see [11]) and using the index lemma, we obtain from the condition \( \mathcal{R}_t^{x} \geq K(t) \) that

\[
d\rho_t(X_t, Y_t)
\leq \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\rho_t(X_t,Y_t) \\
\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \left[ -\text{Ric}_t(\dot{\gamma}(s), \dot{\gamma}(s)) + \left\langle \nabla^t_{\dot{\gamma}(s)} Z_t, \dot{\gamma}(s) \right\rangle_t \right] ds + (\partial_t \rho_t)(X_t, Y_t) \\
+ \sqrt{2} \left\langle u_t \beta_t, \nabla^t \rho_t(\cdot,Y_t)(X_t) \right\rangle_t dt
\end{array} \right\}
\]

with \( \rho_t(X_t, Y_t) \), \( \rho_t(X_t, Y_t) \) as functions of \( X_t, Y_t \).
\[
\begin{aligned}
&= \left\{ \rho_t(X_t, Y_t) \right. \\
&\quad \quad \quad - \left[ -\text{Ric}_t(\dot{\gamma}(s), \dot{\gamma}(s)) + \left\langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(s)} Z_t, \dot{\gamma}(s) \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \partial_t g_t(\dot{\gamma}(s), \dot{\gamma}(s)) \right] ds \right\} dt \\
&\quad \quad \quad + \sqrt{2} \left\langle u_t \beta_t, \nabla^t \rho_t(\cdot, Y_t)(X_t) \right\rangle_t dt \\
&\leq -K(t) \rho_t(X_t, Y_t) dt + \sqrt{2} \left\langle u_t \beta_t, \nabla^t \rho_t(\cdot, Y_t)(X_t) \right\rangle_t dt \\
&\leq (-K(t) \rho_t(X_t, Y_t) + \sqrt{2} \|\beta_t\|) dt, \\
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( \gamma \) is the minimal geodesic connecting \( X_t \) and \( Y_t \) associated with the metric \( g_t \) and the second equality holds true due to the following equality (see [12, Lemma 5 and Remark 6])

\[
(\partial_t \rho_t)(X_t, Y_t) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} \partial_t g_t(\dot{\gamma}(s), \dot{\gamma}(s)) ds. 
\]

Since \( X_0 = Y_0 = x \), (2.4) implies that for any \( t \in [0, T] \),

\[
\begin{aligned}
\rho_t(X_t, Y_t)^2 &\leq e^{-2 \int_0^t K(r) dr} \left( \sqrt{2} \int_0^t e^{\int_0^s K(r) dr} \|\beta_s\| ds \right)^2 \\
&\leq 2 e^{-2 \int_0^t K(r) dr} \int_0^t e^{2 \int_0^s K(r) dr} ds \cdot \int_0^t \|\beta_s\|^2 ds.
\end{aligned}
\]

Taking the maximum on both hand sides over \( t \in [0, T] \), and then taking the expectation under \( Q \), we have

\[
\mathbb{E}_Q \max_{t \in [0, T]} \rho_t(X_t, Y_t)^2 \leq 2 \max_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t e^{-2 \int_0^s K(r) dr} ds \cdot \int_0^T \mathbb{E}_Q \|\beta_s\|^2 ds. 
\]

(2.5)

To estimate \( \int_0^T \mathbb{E}_Q \|\beta_s\|^2 ds \), we first observe that

\[
\mathbb{E}_Q \|\beta_s\|^2 = \mathbb{E}(m_T \|\beta_s\|^2) = \mathbb{E}(\|\beta_s\|^2 \mathbb{E}(m_T | \mathcal{F}_s)) = \mathbb{E}(m_s \|\beta_s\|^2), \quad s \in [0, T].
\]

Then, by the Itô formula, we have

\[
\begin{aligned}
d(m_t \log m_t) &= (1 + \log m_t) dm_t + \frac{d\langle m \rangle_t}{2m_t} \\
&= (1 + \log m_t) dm_t + \frac{m_t^2 d\langle L \rangle_t}{2m_t} \\
&= (1 + \log m_t) dm_t + \frac{m_t}{2} \|\beta_t\|^2 dt,
\end{aligned}
\]
which implies
\[ \int_0^T \mathbb{E}_Q \| \beta_s \|^2 ds = 2 \mathbb{E} F(X_{[0,T]}) \log F(X_{[0,T]}). \quad (2.6) \]

Therefore, (ii') follows from (2.5) and (2.6).

Thirdly, we turn to prove \textquote{"(i) \Leftrightarrow (iv)."} If \((1.1)\) holds, then by \textquote{"(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii')"} and taking \(\mu = \delta_x\) and \(F(X_{[0,T]}) = f(X_T)\) into the inequality in (ii'), we obtain (iv) directly.

To prove \textquote{"(iv) \Rightarrow (i)"}, let \(f \in C^2_c(M)\) such that \(P_{S,T} f(x) = 0\), where \(C^2_c(M) := \{ f \in C^2(M) : f \text{ is constant outside some compact set} \}\). Then, for small \(\varepsilon > 0\) such that \(f_\varepsilon := 1 + \varepsilon f \geq 0\), we obtain from [13] that
\[
(P_{S,T} f^2)^2(x) \leq \left[ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{P_{S,T} |\nabla^T f|^2} \right]^2 W_{2,T}(f_\varepsilon P_{S,T}(x, \cdot), P_{S,T}(x, \cdot)) + \frac{\| \text{Hess}^T_x \|_{\infty}}{2\varepsilon} W_{2,T}(f_\varepsilon P_{S,T}(x, \cdot), P_{S,T}(x, \cdot))^2, \quad (2.7)
\]
where \(\| \text{Hess}^T_x \|_{\infty} := \text{sup}_M \| \text{Hess}^T_x \|_{\text{HS}}, \text{Hess}^T_x(X, Y) := \langle \nabla^T X \nabla^T f, Y \rangle_s, X, Y \in TM\) and \(\| \cdot \|_{\text{HS}}\) is the Hilbert–Schmidt norm. To estimate the term \(W_{2,T}(f_\varepsilon P_{S,T}(x, \cdot), P_{S,T}(x, \cdot))\), using the condition (iv), we have
\[
W_{2,T}(P_{S,T}(x, \cdot), f_\varepsilon P_{S,T}(x, \cdot))^2 \leq 4 \left( \int_S^T e^{-2 \int_u^T K(r) dr} du \right) P_{S,T}(f_\varepsilon \log f_\varepsilon)(x). \quad (2.8)
\]
Using the Taylor expansion of \(\log f_\varepsilon\) at \(x\), we further obtain
\[
P_{S,T}(f_\varepsilon \log f_\varepsilon)(x) = P_{S,T} \left\{ \left( 1 + \varepsilon f \right) \left( \varepsilon f - \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon f)^2 + o(\varepsilon^2) \right) \right\} (x)
= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} P_{S,T} f^2(x) + o(\varepsilon^2).
\]
Combining this with (2.7) and (2.8), and letting \(\varepsilon \to 0\), we conclude that for \(0 \leq S \leq T < T_c\),
\[
(P_{S,T} f^2)^2(x) \leq 4 \left( \int_S^T e^{-2 \int_u^T K(r) dr} du \right) P_{S,T} |\nabla^T f|^2_T(x) \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{P_{S,T} f_\varepsilon \log f_\varepsilon(x)}{\varepsilon^2}
\leq 2 \left( \int_S^T e^{-2 \int_u^T K(r) dr} du \right) P_{S,T} |\nabla^T f|^2_T(x) \cdot P_{S,T} f^2(x).
\]
This is equivalent to [4, Theorem 5.3] (ix) for \(\sigma = 0, p = 2\) and continuous function \(K\). Therefore, by [4, Theorem 5.3] "(ix) \Leftrightarrow (i)". (iv) implies (i).
Fourthly, we turn to show that “(ii') ⇒ (i)”. By taking \( \mu = \delta_x \) and \( F(X_{[S,T]}) = f(X_T) \), we obtain (iv) from (ii') directly. Then by “(iv) ⇔ (i)””, we conclude that (ii') implies (i).

Finally, it leaves us to show that “(i) ⇔ (iii)” to the equivalent are (ii)

\[ W_{p,S}(\delta_x P_{S,T}, \delta_y P_{S,T}) \leq e^{-\int_S^T K(r)dr} \rho_S(x, y), \quad 0 \leq S < T < T_c, \]

where \( P_{S,T}(x, \cdot) \) is the distribution of \( X_T \) with conditional \( X_S = x \). This further implies (i) by [4, Theorem 4.2].

As the proof of “(i) ⇒ (iii)” is similar to that of Theorem 3.4, we skip it here. \( \square \)

The following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.1.

**Corollary 2.2.** For any \( p \in [1, \infty) \) and \( K \in C([0, T_c]) \), the following statements are equivalent to each other.

(i) \((1.1)\) holds.

(ii) For any \( 0 \leq S < T < T_c \), \( \mu \in \mathcal{P}(M) \) and \( F \geq 0 \) with \( \Pi_{\mu}^{[S,T]}(F) = 1 \),

\[ W_{2}^{p(S,T)}(F \Pi_{\mu}^{[S,T]}, \Pi_{\mu}^{[S,T]}) \leq 2 \left\{ \left( \sup_{t \in [S,T]} \int_{S}^{t} e^{-\int_{S}^{r} K(r)dr} d\mu \right) \Pi_{\mu}^{[S,T]}(F \log F) \right\}^{1/2} + \left( \max_{t \in [S,T]} e^{-\int_{S}^{t} K(r)dr} \right) W_{2,S}(\mu_{F}^{[S,T]}, \mu). \]

(iii) For any \( \mu \in \mathcal{P}(M) \) and nonnegative function \( G \in C([0, T_c]) \) such that

\[ W_{2,S}(f \mu, \mu)^2 \leq G(S) \mu(f \log f), \quad f \geq 0, \quad \mu(f) = 1, \quad (2.9) \]

it holds

\[ W_{2}^{p(S,T)}(F \Pi_{\mu}^{[S,T]}, \Pi_{\mu}^{[S,T]}) \]

\[ \leq 2 \left[ \left( \sup_{t \in [S,T]} \int_{S}^{t} e^{-\int_{S}^{r} K(r)dr} d\mu \right) + \sqrt{G(S)} \left( \max_{t \in [S,T]} e^{-\int_{S}^{t} K(r)dr} \right) \right]^{2} \times \Pi_{\mu}^{[S,T]}(F \log F) \]

for \( F \geq 0 \) and \( \Pi_{\mu}^{[S,T]}(F) = 1 \).

**Proof.** It is clear that (iii) follows from the inequality in (ii) and the condition (2.9). On the other hand, as
\[
W^2_2(\Pi^{[S,T]}_{\mu}) \leq W^2_2(\Pi^{[S,T]}_{\mu}, \Pi^{[S,T]}_{\mu}) + W^2_2(\Pi^{[S,T]}_{\mu}, \Pi^{[S,T]}_{\mu})
\]
then (ii) is derived from (i) by combining Theorem 2.1(ii), (iii). By taking \(\mu = \delta_x\), it is easy to see that (i) follows from each of (ii) and (iii). We then complete the proof. \(\square\)

**Remark 2.3.** When the metric is constant, i.e., \(g_t \equiv g\), the curvature condition (1.1) becomes

\[
\text{Ric} - \nabla Z \geq K \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi \geq 0 (\partial M \neq \emptyset)
\]
for some constant \(K\). Then, under this curvature condition, the inequalities in Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 are reduced to that in [19, Theorem 1.1].

3. Extension to non-convex flow and Ricci flow

As in [19], we first consider \(L_t = \psi_t^2(\Delta_t + Z_t)\) with diffusion coefficient \(\psi_t\) on manifolds with convex flows; then extend to the case with non-convex flow. Finally, we apply these results into the Ricci flow with umbilic boundary.

3.1. The case with a diffusion coefficient

Let \(\psi_t(\cdot) = \psi(t, \cdot) > 0\) be a smooth function on \((M, g_t)\) and constant outside a compact set \(K \subset M\). Let \(\Pi^{T}_{\mu,\psi}\) be the distribution of the (reflecting if \(\partial M \neq \emptyset\)) diffusion process generated by \(L_t = \psi_t^2(\Delta_t + Z_t)\) on time interval \([0, T] \subset [0, T_c]\) with initial distribution \(\mu\). Write \(\Pi^{T}_{x,\psi} = \Pi^{T}_{\delta_x,\psi}, x \in M\) for simplicity. Moreover, for any positive function \(F\) with \(\Pi^{T}_{\mu,\psi}(F) = 1\), let

\[
\mu^{T}_{F,\psi}(dx) = \Pi^{T}_{x,\psi}(F)\mu(dx).
\]
Write \(\|\nabla^t f\|_\infty := \sup_{x \in M} |\nabla^t f(x)|\) for simplicity.

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that \(\Pi_t \geq 0, \text{Ric}_t \geq K_1(t) \) and \(\partial_t g_t \leq K_2(t)\) for all \(t \in [0, T_c]\) and some continuous functions \(K_1, K_2\) on \([0, T_c]\). Let

\[
K_\psi(t) = d\|\nabla^t \psi_t\|_\infty^2 + K_1^-(t)\|\psi_t\|_\infty^2 + 2\|Z_t\|_\infty\|\psi_t\|_\infty\|\nabla^t \psi_t\|_\infty + \frac{1}{2}K_2^+(t).
\]

Then for some positive function \(F\) with \(\Pi^{T}_{\mu,\psi}(F) = 1\), and \(\mu \in \mathcal{P}(M)\),

\[
W^2_2(\Pi_{\mu,\psi}^{T}, \Pi_{\mu,\psi}^{T,\psi}) \leq 4(1 + C(T, \psi))e^{C(T, \psi)} \left( \int_{0}^{T} \|\psi_s\|_\infty^2 e^{2\int_{s}^{T} K_\psi(r)dr} ds \right) \Pi^{T}_{\mu,\psi}(F \log F)
\]
holds for
\[
C(T, \psi) := 4T \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \| \nabla^s \psi_s \|_2^2 \left( \sqrt{1 + \left( 2T \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \| \nabla^s \psi_s \|_2^2 \right)^{-1}} + 1 \right).
\]

**Proof.** We shall only consider the case that \( \partial M \) is non-empty. As explained in the proof of Theorem 2.1 above, it suffices for us to prove this for \( \mu = \delta_x, x \in M \). In this case, the desired inequality reduces to
\[
W_2^\rho([0,T]) (F \Pi_{x,\psi}^T, \Pi_{x,\psi}^T)^2 \leq 4(1 + C(T, \psi))e^{C(T, \psi)} \left( \int_0^T \| \psi_s \|_2^2 e^{2\int_s^T K_\psi(r) dr} ds \right) \Pi_{x,\psi}^T (F \log F),
\]

\[ F \geq 0, \quad \Pi_{x,\psi}^T (F) = 1. \]

Since the diffusion coefficient is non-constant, it is convenient to adopt the Itô differential \( d_I \) for the Girsanov transformation. So the reflecting \( L_t \)-diffusion process can be constructed by solving the Itô SDE
\[
d_I X_t = \sqrt{2} \psi_t(X_t) u_t dB_t + \psi_t^2(X_t) Z_t(X_t) dt + N_t(X_t) dt, \quad X_0 = x,
\]
where \( B_t \) is the \( d \)-dimensional Brownian motion with natural filtration \( \mathcal{F}_t \). Let \( \beta_t, \mathbb{Q} \) and \( \bar{B}_t \) be the same as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Then
\[
d_I X_t = \sqrt{2} \psi_t(X_t) u_t d \bar{B}_t + \{ \psi_t^2(X_t) Z_t(X_t) + \sqrt{2} \psi_t(X_t) u_t \beta_t \} dt + N_t(X_t) dt, \quad X_0 = x. \tag{3.1}
\]

Let \( Y_t \) solve
\[
d_I Y_t = \sqrt{2} \psi_t(Y_t) P_{X_t,Y_t}^t u_t d \bar{B}_t + \psi_t^2(Y_t) Z_t(Y_t) dt + N_t(Y_t) dt, \quad Y_0 = x, \tag{3.2}
\]
where \( \bar{t}_t \) is the local time of \( Y_t \) on \( \partial M \). As explained in the proof of Theorem 2.1, under \( \mathbb{Q} \), the distribution of \( Y_{[0,T]} \) and \( X_{[0,T]} \) are \( \Pi_{x,\psi}^T \) and \( F \Pi_{x,\psi}^T \), respectively. Thus,
\[
W_2^\rho([0,T]) (F \Pi_{x,\psi}^T, \Pi_{x,\psi}^T)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}_\mathbb{Q} \max_{t \in [0,T]} \rho_t(X_t,Y_t)^2. \tag{3.3}
\]

We now turn to estimate \( \mathbb{E}_\mathbb{Q} \max_{t \in [0,T]} \rho_t(X_t,Y_t)^2 \). Note that due to the convexity of the boundary,
\[
\langle N_t(x), \nabla^t \rho_t(\cdot, y)(x) \rangle_t = \langle N_t(x), \nabla^t \rho_t(y, \cdot)(x) \rangle_t \leq 0, \quad x \in \partial M.
\]
Combining this with (3.1), (3.2) and the Itô formula, we obtain
\[ \begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \rho_t(X_t, Y_t)}{\partial t} &\leq \sqrt{2}(\psi_t(X_t) - \psi_t(Y_t)) \langle \nabla^t \rho_t(\cdot, Y_t)(X_t), u_t d\tilde{B}_t \rangle_t + \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{d} (U_i^t)^2 \rho_t(X_t, Y_t) \right. \\
&\quad + \langle \psi_t^2 Z_t(Y_t), \nabla^t \rho_t(X_t, \cdot)(Y_t) \rangle_t + \langle \psi_t^2 Z_t(X_t), \nabla^t \rho_t(\cdot, Y_t)(X_t) \rangle_t \left. \right\} dt \\
&\quad + (\partial_t \rho_t)(X_t, Y_t) dt + \left( \nabla^t \rho_t(\cdot, Y_t)(X_t), \sqrt{2} \psi_t(X_t) u_t \beta_t \right)_t dt,
\end{align*} \]

where \( b_t \) is a one-dimensional Brownian motion, \( \{U_i^t\}_{i=1}^{d} \) are vector fields on \( M \times M \) such that \( \nabla^t U_i^t = 0 \) and

\[ U_i^t(X_t, Y_t) = \psi_t(X_t) V_i^t + \psi_t(Y_t) P_{X_t, Y_t}^t V_i^t, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d \]

for \( \{V_i^t\}_{i=1}^{d} \) a \( g_t \)-orthonormal basis of \( T_{X_t} M \) with \( V_n^t = \nabla^t \rho_t(\cdot, Y_t)(X_t) \). Let \( \rho_t = \rho_t(X_t, Y_t) \). Define

\[ J_i^t(s) = \left( \frac{s}{\rho_t} \psi_t(Y_t) + \frac{\rho_t - s}{\rho_t} \psi_t(X_t) \right) P_{\gamma(0), \gamma(s)}^t V_i^t, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d, \]

where \( J_i^t(0) = \psi_t(X_t) V_i^t \) and \( J_i^t(\rho_t) = \psi_t(Y_t) P_{X_t, Y_t}^t V_i^t \). Note that \( P_{\gamma(0), \gamma(s)}^t V_i^t \) are parallel vector fields along \( \gamma \).

\[ \begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^{d} (U_i^t)^2 \rho_t(X_t, Y_t) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{0}^{\rho_t} \left\{ |\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} J_i^t|^2 - \langle R_{\dot{\gamma}}(\dot{\gamma}, J_i^t) J_i, \dot{\gamma} \rangle \right\} (\gamma(s)) ds \\
&\leq d \|\nabla \psi_t\|_\infty^2 \rho_t - \frac{1}{\rho_t^2} \int_{0}^{\rho_t} \left\{ \psi_t(Y_t)(\rho_t - s) \psi_t(X_t) \right\}^2 \text{Ric}_{\dot{\gamma}}(\dot{\gamma}(s), \dot{\gamma}(s)) ds. \quad \text{(3.4)}
\end{align*} \]

On the other hand,

\[ \begin{align*}
\psi_t^2(X_t) \langle Z_t(X_t), \nabla^t \rho_t(\cdot, Y_t)(X_t) \rangle_t + \psi_t^2(Y_t) \langle Z_t(Y_t), \nabla^t \rho_t(X_t, \cdot)(Y_t) \rangle_t \\
= \frac{1}{\rho_t} \int_{0}^{\rho_t} \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ [s \psi_t(Y_t)(\rho_t - s) \psi_t(X_t)]^2 \langle Z_t(\gamma(s)), \dot{\gamma}(s) \rangle_t \right\} ds \\
\leq \frac{1}{\rho_t} \int_{0}^{\rho_t} [s \psi_t(Y_t)(\rho_t - s) \psi_t(X_t)]^2 \langle (\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^t Z_t) \circ \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle_t \langle s \rangle ds \\
+ 2 \|Z_t\|_\infty \|\nabla \psi_t\|_\infty \|\dot{\psi}_t\| \rho_t. \quad \text{(3.5)}
\end{align*} \]
Moreover,
\[(\partial_t \rho_t)(X_t, Y_t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\rho_t \partial_t g_t(\hat{\gamma}(s), \hat{\gamma}(s)) \, ds \leq \frac{1}{2} K_2(t) \rho_t.\]

Combining this with (3.4) and (3.5), we have
\[
d\rho_t(X_t, Y_t) \leq \sqrt{2}(\psi_t(X_t) - \psi_t(Y_t)) \langle \nabla^t \rho_t(\cdot, Y_t)(X_t), u_t d\tilde{B}_t \rangle_t
\]
\[
- K_1(t) \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho_t^2} \int_0^\rho_t \left[ s \psi_t(Y_t) + (\rho_t - s) \psi_t(X_t) \right]^2 \, ds \right\} \, dt
\]
\[
+ \left\{ d\| \nabla^t \psi_t \|_\infty^2 \rho_t + 2\| Z_t \|_\infty \| \nabla^t \psi_t \|_\infty \| \psi_t \|_\infty \rho_t + \frac{1}{2} K_2(t) \rho_t \right\} \, dt
\]
\[
+ \sqrt{2}\| \psi_t \|_\infty \| \beta_t \|\, dt
\]
\[
\leq \sqrt{2}(\psi_t(X_t) - \psi_t(Y_t)) \langle \nabla^t \rho_t(\cdot, Y_t)(X_t), u_t d\tilde{B}_t \rangle_t
\]
\[
+ K_\psi(t) \rho_t(X_t, Y_t) \, dt + \sqrt{2}\| \psi_t \|_\infty \| \beta_t \|\, dt,
\]
(3.6)
where
\[
K_\psi(t) := d\| \nabla^t \psi_t \|_\infty^2 + K_1^-(t) \| \psi_t \|_\infty^2 + 2\| Z_t \|_\infty \| \nabla^t \psi_t \|_\infty \| \psi_t \|_\infty + \frac{1}{2} K_2^+(t) > 0.
\]

Then
\[
M_t := \sqrt{2} \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s K_\psi(r) dr} (\psi_s(X_s) - \psi_s(Y_s)) \langle \nabla^s \rho_s(\cdot, Y_s)(X_s), u_s d\tilde{B}_s \rangle_s
\]
is a $\mathbb{Q}$-martingale such that
\[
\rho_t(X_t, Y_t) \leq e^{\int_0^t K_\psi(r) dr} \left( M_t + \sqrt{2} \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s K_\psi(r) dr} \| \psi_s \|_\infty \| \beta_s \|\, ds \right), \quad t \in [0, T].
\]

Thus, by the Doob inequality, we obtain
\[
h_t := e^{-\int_0^t K_\psi(s) ds} \mathbb{E}_Q \max_{s \in [0, t]} \rho_s(X_s, Y_s)^2
\]
\[
\leq (1 + R) \mathbb{E}_Q \max_{s \in [0, t]} M_s^2 + 2(1 + R^{-1}) \mathbb{E}_Q \left( \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s K_\psi(r) dr} \| \psi_s \|_\infty \| \beta_s \|\, ds \right)^2
\]
\[
\leq 4(1 + R) \mathbb{E}_Q M_t^2 + 2(1 + R^{-1}) \int_0^t e^{-2\int_0^s K_\psi(r) dr} \| \psi_s \|_\infty^2 \, ds \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_Q \| \beta_s \|^2 \, ds
\]
\begin{equation}
\leq 8(1 + R) \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \| \nabla^s \psi_s \|^2_\infty \int_0^T h_s \, ds \\
+ 2(1 + R^{-1}) \int_0^T \| \psi_s \|^2_\infty e^{-2 \int_0^s K_\psi(r) \, dr} \, ds \cdot \int_0^T \mathbb{E}_Q \| \beta_s \|^2 \, ds, \quad t \in [0, T].
\end{equation}

Since \( h_0 = 0 \), by the Gronwall inequality, this implies
\begin{equation}
h_T = e^{-2 \int_0^T K_\psi(s) \, ds} \mathbb{E}_Q \max_{s \in [0, T]} \rho_s(X_s, Y_s)^2 \leq 2(1 + R^{-1}) \int_0^T \| \psi_s \|^2_\infty e^{-2 \int_0^s K_\psi(r) \, dr} \, ds \\
\times \exp \left[ 8(1 + R) T \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \| \nabla^s \psi_s \|_\infty \right] \cdot \int_0^T \mathbb{E}_Q \| \beta_s \|^2 \, ds.
\end{equation}

Moreover, as explained in (2.6), it holds
\begin{equation*}
\int_0^T \mathbb{E}_Q \| \beta_s \|^2 \, ds = 2\mathbb{E}(X_{[0, T]}) \log F(X_{[0, T]}),
\end{equation*}
which, together with (3.8), implies
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_Q \max_{s \in [0, T]} \rho_s(X_s, Y_s)^2 \leq 4(1 + R^{-1}) \\
\cdot \exp \left[ 8(1 + R) T \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \| \nabla^s \psi_s \|_\infty \right] \cdot \int_0^T \| \psi_s \|^2_\infty e^{2 \int_0^T K_\psi(r) \, dr} \, ds \cdot \Pi_{x, \psi}^T (F \log F).
\end{equation*}

Combining this with (3.3), and taking
\begin{equation*}
R = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sqrt{1 + \left( 2T \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \| \nabla^s \psi_s \|^2_\infty \right)^{-1}} - 1 \right]
\end{equation*}
into the term on right hand of the above inequality, we complete the proof. \( \square \)

Remark 3.2.

(1) We would like to indicate that in [19], the author used the FKG inequality to deal with the term \( \mathbb{E}_Q \max_{s \in [0, t]} \beta^2(X_s, Y_s) \). Here, we apply the Gronwall inequality directly, which leads to the wanted estimates in a simple way.
(2) Using $\mathcal{R}_t^Z \geq K_1(t)$ in place of $\text{Ric}_t^Z \geq K_1(t)$ for some continuous function $K_1$ on $[0, T_c)$, the assertion of Theorem 3.1 still holds with the following $\tilde{K}_\psi$:

$$
\tilde{K}_\psi(t) = d\|\nabla^t\psi_t\|_\infty^2 + K_1^{-1}(t)\|\psi_t\|_\infty^2 + 2\|Z_t\|_\infty\|\psi_t\|_\infty\|\nabla^t\psi_t\|_\infty + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \|\psi_t\|_\infty^2)K_2^+(t).
$$

The coupling method also implies the following result.

**Theorem 3.3.** In the situation of Theorem 3.1, it holds

$$
W_2^{p(\nu, \rho)}(\Pi^T; \nu, \Pi^T; \rho) \leq 2e^{\int_0^T(K_\psi(t) + \|\nabla^t\psi_t\|_\infty^2)dt} W_2(\nu, \rho).
$$

**Proof.** As explained in the proof of [19, Theorem 1.1] “(6) $\Rightarrow$ (5)”, we only consider $\nu = \delta_x$, and $\nu = \delta_y$. Let $X_t$ and $Y_t$ solve the following SDEs respectively.

$$
ds_tX_t = \sqrt{2}\psi_t(X_t)u_t dB_t + \psi_t^2(X_t)Z_t(X_t)dt + N_t(X_t)dt, \quad X_0 = x;
$$

$$
ds_tY_t = \sqrt{2}\psi_t(Y_t)P_{X_t,Y_t}^t u_t dB_t + \psi_t^2(Y_t)Z_t(Y_t)dt + N_t(Y_t)dt, \quad Y_0 = y.
$$

Then, as explained in Theorem 3.1, by the Itô formula,

$$
d\rho_t(X_t, Y_t) \leq \sqrt{2}(\psi_t(X_t) - \psi_t(Y_t)) \langle \nabla^t\rho_t(\cdot, Y_t)(X_t), u_t dB_t \rangle_t + K_\psi(t)\rho_t(X_t, Y_t) dt.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\rho_t(X_t, Y_t) \leq e^{\int_0^t K_\psi(s)ds} (\hat{M}_t + \rho_0(x, y)), \quad t \geq 0 \tag{3.9}
$$

for $\hat{M}_t := \sqrt{2} \int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t K_\psi(u)du} (\psi_s(X_s) - \psi_s(Y_s)) \langle \nabla^s\rho_s(\cdot, Y_s)(X_s), u_s dB_s \rangle_s$. By this, we arrive at

$$
W_2^{p(\nu, \rho)}(\Pi^T; \nu, \Pi^T; \rho) \leq E \max_{t \in [0, T]} \rho_t(X_t, Y_t)^2 \\
\leq e^{\int_0^T K_\psi(t)dt} E \max_{t \in [0, T]} (\hat{M}_t + \rho_0(x, y))^2 \\
\leq 4 e^{\int_0^T K_\psi(t)dt} E(\hat{M}_T + \rho_0(x, y))^2 = 4 e^{\int_0^T K_\psi(t)dt} E(\hat{M}_T^2 + \rho_0^2(x, y)) \\
\leq 4 e^{\int_0^T K_\psi(t)dt} \left( \rho_0(x, y)^2 + 2 \int_0^T e^{-\int_s^t K_\psi(s)ds} \|\nabla^t\psi_t\|_\infty^2 E\rho_t(X_t, Y_t)^2 dt \right) \\
\leq 4 e^{\int_0^T K_\psi(t)dt} \left( \rho_0(x, y)^2 + 2 \int_0^T e^{-\int_s^t K_\psi(s)ds} \|\nabla^t\psi_t\|_\infty^2 E \max_{s \in [0, t]} \rho_s(X_s, Y_s)^2 dt \right) \\
\leq 4 e^{\int_0^T (K_\psi(t) + \|\nabla^t\psi_t\|_\infty^2)dt} \cdot \rho_0(x, y)^2,
$$
where the third inequality is due to the Doob inequality and the last inequality is due to the Gronwall inequality. This implies the desired inequality for \( \mu = \delta_x \) and \( \nu = \delta_y \). \( \square \)

3.2. Non-convex flow

By using a proper conformal change of the geometric flow, we are able to establish the following transportation-cost inequality on manifolds carrying a non-convex geometric flow. Let

\[
\mathcal{D} = \{ \phi \in C^2_b([0, T_c) \times M) : \inf \phi_t = 1, \ \Pi_t \geq -N_t \log \phi_t \}.
\]

Assume that \( \mathcal{D} \neq \emptyset \) and for some \( K_1, K_2 \in C([0, T_c)) \),

\[
\operatorname{Ric}^Z_t \geq K_1(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t g_t \leq K_2(t)
\]

(3.10)

hold. Let \( \phi_t \in \mathcal{D} \). Then, by [18, Lemma 2.1], \( \partial M \) becomes convex under \( \tilde{g}_t = \phi_t^{-2} g_t \). Note that we do not need the condition “\( \nabla^t \phi_t \| N_t \)”, which is included in [18, Lemma 2.1], since we find that it is not used in this proof. Let \( \tilde{\Delta}_t \) and \( \nabla^t \) be, respectively, the Laplacian and gradient operator induced by the new metric \( \tilde{g}_t \). As \( \phi_t \geq 1, \rho_t(x, y) \) is larger than \( \tilde{\rho}_t(x, y) \), which is denoted as the Riemannian \( \tilde{g}_t \)-distance between \( x \) and \( y \).

Theorem 3.4. Let \( \partial M \neq \emptyset \) and \( \Pi_t \geq -\sigma(t) \) for some positive function \( \sigma \in C([0, T_c)) \). Assume (3.10) holds. Let \( \phi \in \mathcal{D} \) such that

\[
K_\phi(t) := d(\| \nabla^t \phi_t \|_\infty^2 + K_{\phi, 1}(t) + 2\| \phi_t Z_t + (d - 2) \nabla^t \phi_t \|_\infty \| \nabla^t \phi_t \|_\infty + \frac{1}{2} K_{\phi, 2}(t) < \infty,
\]

where

\[
K_{\phi, 1}(t) := \inf_{M} \left\{ \phi_t^2 K_1(t) + \frac{1}{2} L_t \phi_t^2 - \| \nabla^t \phi_t \|_t^2 |Z_t|_t - (d - 2) |\nabla^t \phi_t|_t^2 \right\},
\]

\[
K_{\phi, 2}(t) := \sup_{M} \{-2 \partial_t \log \phi_t\} + K_2(t).
\]

Then for any \( \mu \in \mathcal{P}(M) \) and nonnegative function \( F \) with \( \Pi^T_T(F) = 1 \),

\[
W^\rho_{T, T_\mu} \left( F \Pi^T_T, \Pi^{T_\mu}_\rho \right)
\]

\[
\leq 4(1 + C(T, \phi)) e^{C(T, \phi)} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \| \phi_t \|_\infty^2 \right) \int_0^T e^{2 \int_0^r K_\phi(s) ds} \| \nabla^s \phi_s \|_\infty^2 ds \| \Pi^T_T(F \log F)
\]

holds for

\[
C(T, \phi) = 4T \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \| \nabla^s \phi_s \|_\infty^2 \left( \sqrt{1 + \left( 2T \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \| \nabla^s \phi_s \|_\infty^2 \right)^{-1}} + 1 \right).
\]
Proof. From the proof of [4, Theorem 1.2], we know that \( L_t = \phi_t^{-2} (\Delta_t + \tilde{Z}_t) \), where 
\[
\tilde{Z}_t = \phi_t^2 Z_t + \frac{d-2}{2} \nabla^t \phi_t^2, \quad \text{and}
\]
\[
\text{Ric}_t^\tilde{Z} \geq K_{\phi,1}(t), \quad \partial_t \tilde{g}_t \leq K_{\phi,2}(t).
\]
Let \( K_\psi \) be the same as in Theorem 3.4 for the manifold equipped with \( \{\tilde{g}_t\}_{t \in [0,T_c]} \). Then for 
\[
L_t = \psi_t^2 (\Delta_t + \tilde{Z}_t), \quad \text{where} \quad \psi_t = \phi_t^{-1},
\]
it is clear from \( \phi_t \geq 1 \) that \( K_\psi(t) \leq K_\phi(t) \) and 
\[
C(T,\psi) \leq C(T,\phi),
\]
where \( K_\psi \) and \( C(T,\psi) \) are defined as in Theorem 3.1 with \( \psi_t = \phi_t^{-1} \).
Hence, it follows from Theorem 3.1 that for any \( F \geq 0 \) with \( \Pi^T_\mu(F) = 1 \),
\[
W_2^{\hat{\rho}_{[0,T]}(F\Pi^T_\mu,\Pi^T_\nu)} \leq 4T \int_0^T \rho \phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \Pi^T_\mu(F \log F),
\]
where \( \rho_\hat{\rho} \) is the uniform distance on \( W^T \) induced by the metric \( \tilde{g}_t \). The proof is completed by using the fact that 
\[
\rho_{[0,T]} \leq \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \| \phi_t \|_\infty \hat{\rho}_t.
\]
As explained in the proof above, \( K_\psi(t) \leq K_\phi(t) \) and \( \rho_t \leq \rho_t \leq \| \phi_t \|_\infty \hat{\rho}_t \), which imply the following result by using Theorem 3.3 with \( \psi = \phi^{-1} \).

Theorem 3.5. In the situation of Theorem 3.4, it holds
\[
W_2^{\rho_{[0,T]}(\Pi^T_\mu,\Pi^T_\nu)} \leq 2 \left( \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \| \phi_t \|_\infty \right) \frac{d}{dt} \| \phi_t \|_\infty \frac{d}{dt} W_2(\mu,\nu),
\]
for \( \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(M) \) and \( T \in [0,T_c) \).

3.3. Applications to Ricci flow with umbilic boundary

As an application of Theorems 3.4 and 3.5, we now turn to consider the Ricci flow with umbilic boundary (see (1.6)).

Suppose \( \{g_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in [0,T_c]} \) is a complete solution to the equation (1.6). When \( \Pi_t = \lambda \geq 0 \)
in (1.6), by Theorem 2.1 “(i) implies (ii), (iii)”, we obtain that for \( 0 \leq S \leq T < T_c \), 
\( \mu \in \mathcal{P}(M) \) and nonnegative \( F \) with \( \Pi^T_\mu(F) = 1 \),
\[
W_2^{\rho_{[S,T]}(\Pi^S_\mu,\Pi^S_\nu)} \leq 2 \left[ 1 - e^{-2(T-S)} \right] \Pi^S_\mu(F \log F).
\]
Moreover, for \( \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(M) \), it holds
\[
W_2^{\rho_{[S,T]}(\Pi^S_\mu,\Pi^S_\nu)} \leq W_p(S,\mu,\nu).
\]
From this and (3.11), it is easy to see that these results look like those on Ricci flat manifolds.
For the case $\lambda < 0$, i.e., the boundary is non-convex, we need more information about the boundary. Let $\rho_t^0(x)$ be the distance between $x$ and $\partial M$ with respect to the metric $g_t$. Our following discussion needs the following curvature condition:

\begin{itemize}
    \item [(H)] There exist positive constants $r_0$, $k$ and $k_1$ such that $|\text{Ric}_t| \leq k$ and on the set $\partial r_0 \cap \partial M := \{ x \in M : \rho_t^0(x) \leq r_0 \}$, $\rho_t^0$ is smooth and $\text{Sect}_t \leq k_1$.
\end{itemize}

Within this condition, Theorems 3.4 and 3.5 imply the following result.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let $d \geq 2$. Suppose $\{g_t\}_{t \in [0,T_c]}$ is a complete solution to (1.6) with $\lambda < 0$. For $T \in (0,T_c)$, let $\Pi_t^\mu$ be the distribution of $X_t^\mu$, where $X_t^\mu$ is a Brownian motion generated by $\Delta_t$ with initial law $\mu$. Assume (H) holds for $t \in [0,T]$ and $r_0 \leq \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{k_1}}$. Let

\begin{align*}
    K &:= - \left( \frac{1}{r_0} + \frac{3}{2} r_0 k \right) \lambda d + \left( 4d - \frac{11}{2} \right) \lambda^2 d^2 + 2k; \\
    C(T, \lambda, d) &:= 2\sqrt{4T^2\lambda^4 d^4 + 2T\lambda^2 d^2 + 4T^2\lambda^2 d^2}.
\end{align*}

Then for any nonnegative function $F$ on $W^T$ with $\Pi_t^\mu(F) = 1$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, it holds

\begin{align*}
    W_{2}^{\rho[0,t]}(F \Pi_t^\mu, \Pi_t^\nu) &\leq (C(T, \lambda, d) + 1) e^{C(T, \lambda, d)(2 - \lambda d r_0)^2} e^{2KT} - \frac{1}{2K} \Pi_t^\mu(F \log F).
\end{align*}

Moreover, for any $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, we have

\begin{align*}
    W_{2}^{\rho[0,t]}(\Pi_t^\mu, \Pi_t^\nu) &\leq (2 - \lambda dr_0) e^{(K + \lambda^2 d^2)T} W_{2,0}(\mu, \nu).
\end{align*}

If the condition (H) holds, then $\text{Ric}_t \leq k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{R}$, which implies that $K_1(t) = -k$ and $K_2(t) = 2k$ in (3.10). Thus, if there exists $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

\begin{align*}
    \tilde{K}_\phi(t) := \inf \{ \phi_t \Delta_t \phi_t \} + \| \partial_t \log \phi_t \|_\infty + k(1 + \| \phi_t \|_\infty^2) + (4d - 6) \| \nabla \phi_t \|_\infty^2 < \infty,
\end{align*}

then Theorems 3.4 and 3.5 hold by replacing $K_\phi(t)$ with $\tilde{K}_\phi(t)$. Now, it leaves us to estimate $\tilde{K}_\phi(t)$ to complete the proof of Theorem 3.6.

**Proof of Theorem 3.6.** Under assumption (H), to estimate $\tilde{K}_\phi(t)$, we construct a proper $\phi \in C^{1.2}([0,T] \times M)$ such that $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ first. Let

\begin{equation}
    h(s) = \cos(\sqrt{k_1} s), \quad s \geq 0. \tag{3.12}
\end{equation}

Then $0 \leq h(s) \leq 1$ for $s \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{k_1}}]$. Moreover, let

\begin{equation}
    \delta = \delta(r_0, \lambda, k_1) = \frac{-\lambda(1 - h(r_0))^{d-1}}{\int_0^{r_0} (h(s) - h(r_0))^{d-1} ds}. \tag{3.13}
\end{equation}
Consider \( \phi_t := \varphi \circ \rho_t^0, \, t \in [0, T], \) where

\[
\varphi(r) = 1 + \delta \int_0^r (h(s) - h(r_0))^{1-d} ds \int_{s \land r_0}^{r_0} (h(u) - h(r_0))^{d-1} du.
\]

By an approximation argument we may regard \( \phi \) as \( C^\infty([0, T] \times M) \)-smooth. Obviously, \( \phi \geq 1 \) and \( N_s \log \phi_s = -\lambda = -\Pi_s \) for all \( s \in [0, T] \). Since \( \Pi_t = \lambda \leq 0 \) and \( \text{Sect}_t \leq k_1 \) on \( \partial_\gamma t (M) \), according to the Laplacian comparison theorem for \( \rho_s^0 \) (see [9],[10]), we have

\[
\Delta_t \phi_t \geq \left( \frac{\varphi'(h')}{h'} + \varphi'' \right) (\rho_t^0) \geq -\delta, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad \rho_t^0 \leq r_0.
\]

As \( h \) is decreasing on \([0, r_0]\), we conclude that

\[
|\partial_t \log \phi_t| = \left| \frac{\partial_t \phi_t}{\phi_t} \right| = \left| \frac{\delta [h(\rho_t^0 \land r_0) - h(r_0)]^{1-d} \int_{\rho_t^0 \land r_0}^{r_0} (h(u) - h(r_0))^{d-1} du}{\phi_t} \partial_t \rho_t^0 \right| \\
\leq \frac{\delta r_0}{\phi_t} |\partial_t \rho_t^0|, \quad \rho_t^0 \leq r_0. \tag{3.14}
\]

Moreover, taking the following formula into the above inequality, we have

\[
\partial_t \rho_t^0 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\rho_t^0} \partial_t g_t(\gamma(s), \gamma(s)) ds = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\rho_t^0} \text{Ric}_t(\gamma(s), \gamma(s)) ds, \quad \rho_t^0 \leq r_0,
\]

where \( \gamma \) is the minimal curvature from \( x \) to \( \partial M \). Combining this with (3.14), we obtain

\[
|\partial_t \log \phi_t| \leq \delta r_0^2 k, \quad \rho_t^0 \leq r_0.
\]

Similarly, we have \( |\nabla^t \phi_t|^2 \leq \delta^2 r_0^2 \). In addition,

\[
\int_0^{r_0} (h(s) - h(r_0))^{1-d} ds \int_{s}^{r_0} (h(u) - h(r_0))^{d-1} du \leq \int_0^{r_0} (r_0 - s) ds = \frac{r_0^2}{2}, \tag{3.15}
\]

which implies

\[
\|\phi_t\|_\infty = 1 + \delta \int_0^{r_0} (h(s) - h(r_0))^{1-d} ds \int_{s}^{r_0} (h(u) - h(r_0))^{d-1} du \leq 1 + \frac{\delta r_0^2}{2}.
\]

Thus, we conclude that

\[
\tilde{K}_\phi(t) \leq \delta + \delta kr_0^2 + \left( 2 + \frac{\delta r_0^2}{2} \right) k + \left( 4d \frac{11}{2} \right) \delta^2 r_0^2. \tag{3.16}
\]
Now, it leaves us to estimate $\delta$. Since $-h'$ is increasing and $h$ is decreasing, by the FKG inequality, we have

$$\int_{0}^{r_0} (h(s) - h(r_0))^{d-1} ds \geq \frac{-r_0 \int_{0}^{r_0} (h(s) - h(r_0))^{d-1} h'(s) ds}{\int_{0}^{r_0} h'(s) ds} = \frac{r_0}{d}(1 - h(r_0))^{d-1}.$$  

From this and (3.15), we deduce that $\delta \leq -\lambda d/r_0$, which, together with (3.16), implies

$$\tilde{K}_\phi(t) \leq -\left(\frac{1}{r_0} + \frac{3}{2}r_0 k\right) \lambda d + \left(4d - \frac{11}{2}\right) \lambda^2 d^2 + 2k;$$

$$C(T, \phi) \leq 2\sqrt{4T^2 \lambda^4 d^4 + 2T \lambda^2 d^2 + 4T \lambda^2 d^2}.$$  

Combining this with Theorems 3.4 and 3.5, we complete the proof. \qed
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