THE FROBENIUS PROPERAD IS KOSZUL
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Abstract. We show the Koszulness of the properad governing involutive Lie bialgebras and also of the properads governing non-unital and unital-counital Frobenius algebras, solving a long-standing problem. This gives us minimal models for their deformation complexes, and for deformation complexes of their algebras which are discussed in detail.

Using an operad of graph complexes we prove, with the help of an earlier result of one of the authors [W3], that there is a highly non-trivial action of the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group $GRT_1$ on (completed versions of) the minimal models of the properads governing Lie bialgebras and involutive Lie bialgebras by automorphisms. As a corollary one obtains a large class of universal deformations of (involutive) Lie bialgebras and Frobenius algebras, parameterized by elements of the Grothendieck-Teichmüller Lie algebra.

We also prove that, for any given homotopy involutive Lie bialgebra structure on a vector space, there is an associated homotopy Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra structure on the associated Chevalley-Eilenberg complex.

1. Introduction

The notion of Lie bialgebra was introduced by Drinfeld in [D1] in the context of the theory of Yang-Baxter equations. Later this notion played a fundamental role in his theory of Hopf algebra deformations of universal enveloping algebras, see the book [ES] and references cited therein.

Many interesting examples of Lie bialgebras automatically satisfy an additional algebraic condition, the so-called involutivity, or “diamond” ♦ constraint. A remarkable example of such a Lie bialgebra structure was discovered by Turaev [Tu] on the vector space generated by all non-trivial free homotopy classes of curves on an orientable surface. Chas proved [Ch] that such a structure is in fact always involutive. This example was generalized to arbitrary manifolds within the framework of string topology, the equivariant homology of the free loop space of a compact manifold was shown by Chas and Sullivan [CS] to carry the structure of a graded involutive Lie bialgebra. An involutive Lie bialgebra structure was also found by Cieliebak and Latschev [CL] in the contact homology of an arbitrary exact symplectic manifold, while Schedler [S] introduced a natural involutive Lie bialgebra structure on the necklace Lie algebra associated to a quiver. It is worth pointing out that the construction of quantum $A_\infty$-algebras given in [B] (see also [H]) stems from the fact that the vector space of cyclic words in elements of a graded vector space $W$ equipped with a (skew)symmetric pairing admits a canonical involutive Lie bialgebra structure. Therefore, involutive Lie bialgebras appear in many different areas of modern research.

In the study of the deformation theory of dg involutive Lie bialgebras one needs to know a minimal resolution of the associated properad. Such a minimal resolution is particularly nice and explicit if the properad happens to be Koszul [V1]. Koszulness of the properad of Lie bialgebras $Lie\mathcal{B}$ was established independently by Vallette [V1] and Markl and Voronov [MaVo], the latter following an idea of Kontsevich [Ko]. The proof of [MaVo] made use of a new category of small props, which are often called $\frac{1}{2}$-props nowadays, and a new technical tool, the path filtration of a dg free properad. Attempts to settle the question of Koszulness or non-Koszulness of the properad of involutive Lie bialgebras, $Lie\mathcal{B}^\diamond$, have been made since 2004. The Koszulness proof of $Lie\mathcal{B}$ in [MaVo] does not carry over to $Lie\mathcal{B}^\diamond$ since the additional involutivity relation is not $\frac{1}{2}$-properadic in nature. The proof of [V1] does not carry over to $Lie\mathcal{B}$ either since $Lie\mathcal{B}$ is not of distributive law type. Motivated by some computer calculations the authors of [DCTT] conjectured in 2009 that the properad of involutive Lie bialgebras, $Lie\mathcal{B}^\diamond$, is Koszul. In Section 2 of this paper we settle this long-standing problem. Our result in particular justifies some ad hoc definitions of “homotopy Lie bialgebras” which have appeared in the literature, for example in [CFL].

There are at least two not very straightforward steps in our solution. First, we extend Kontsevich’s exact functor from small props to props by twisting it with the relative simplicial cohomologies of graphs involved.
This step allows us to incorporate operations in arities \((1,1), (1,0)\) and \((0,1)\) into the story, which were strictly prohibited in the Kontsevich construction as they destroy the exactness of his functor. Second, we reduce the cohomology computation of some important auxiliary dg properad to a computation checking Koszulness of some ordinary quadratic algebra, which might be of independent interest.

By Koszul duality theory of properads \([V1]\), our result implies immediately that the properad of non-unital Frobenius algebras is Koszul. By the curved Koszul duality theory \([HM]\), the latter result implies, after some extra work, the Koszulness of the prop of unital-counital Frobenius algebras. These Frobenius properads also admit many applications in various areas of mathematics and mathematical physics, e. g. in representation theory, algebraic geometry, combinatorics, and recently, in 2-dimensional topological quantum field theory.

Another main result of this paper is a construction of a highly non-trivial action of the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group \(GRT_1\) \([D2]\) on minimal models of the properads of involutive Lie bialgebras/Frobenius algebras, and hence on the sets of homotopy involutive Lie bialgebra/Frobenius structures on an arbitrary dg vector space \(\mathfrak{g}\). The Grothendieck-Teichmüller group \(GRT_1\) has recently been shown to include a pro-unipotent subgroup freely generated by an infinite number of generators \([Br]\), hence our construction provides a rich class of universal symmetries of the aforementioned objects.

In §5 of this paper we furthermore show that the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of an involutive Lie bialgebra carries a Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra structure, i. e., an action of the homology operad of involutive Lie bialgebras. This statement remains true (up to homotopy) for homotopy involutive Lie bialgebras.
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Some notation. In this paper \(\mathbb{K}\) denotes a field of characteristic 0. The set \(\{1,2, \ldots, n\}\) is abbreviated to \([n]\). Its group of automorphisms is denoted by \(S_n\). The sign representation of \(S_n\) is denoted by \(sgn_n\). The cardinality of a finite set \(A\) is denoted by \(#A\). If \(V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V^i\) is a graded vector space, then \(V[k]\) stands for the graded vector space with \(V[k]^i := V^{i+k}\). For \(v \in V^i\) we set \(|v| := i\). The phrase differential graded is abbreviated by dg. In some situations we will work with complete topological vector spaces. For our purposes, the following “poor man’s” definition suffices: A complete topological vector space is a graded vector space \(V\) together with a family of graded subspaces \(V_p, p = 0,1, \ldots,\) such that \(V = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} V_p\).

If a graded vector space \(U\) comes with a direct sum decomposition \(U = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} V_p\), then we call \(\bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} V_p\) the completion of \(U\) (along the given decomposition). We define the completed tensor product of two complete graded vector spaces \(V = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} V_p, W = \bigoplus_{q=0}^{\infty} W_q\) as

\[
V \hat{\otimes} W = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{p+q=r} V_p \otimes W_q.
\]

The \(n\)-fold symmetric product of a (dg) vector space \(V\) is denoted by \(\hat{\circ}^n V\); the full symmetric product space by \(\hat{\circ}\) and \(\circ\) and the completed (along \(\hat{\circ}\)) symmetric product by \(\hat{\circ}\). For a finite group \(G\) acting on a vector space \(V\), we denote via \(V^G\) the space of invariants with respect to the action of \(G\), and by \(V_G\) the space of coinvariants \(V_G = V/\{gv - v | v \in V, g \in G\}\). We always work over a field \(\mathbb{K}\) of characteristic zero so that, for finite \(G\), we have a canonical isomorphism \(V_G \cong V^G\).

We use freely the language of operads and properads and their Koszul duality theory. For a background on operads we refer to the textbook \([LV]\), while the Koszul duality theory of properads has been developed in \([V1]\); note, however, that we always work with differentials of degree +1 rather than −1 as in the aforementioned texts. For a properad \(P\) we denote by \(P\{k\}\) the unique properad which has the following property: for any graded vector space \(V\) there is a one-to-one correspondence between representations of \(P\{k\}\) in \(V\) and representations of \(P\) in \(V[-k]\); in particular, \(End_V\{k\} = End_V[-k]\). For \(\mathcal{C}\) a coaugmented co(pr)operad, we will denote by \(\Omega(\mathcal{C})\) its cobar construction. Concretely, \(\Omega(\mathcal{C}) = Free(\mathcal{C}[-1])\) as a graded (pr)operad where \(\mathcal{C}\) the cokernel of the coaugmentation.\(\Omega(\mathcal{C})\) denotes the free (pr)operad generated by an S-(bi)module.
We will often use complexes of derivations of (pr)operads and deformation complexes of (pr)operad maps. For a map of properads $f: \Omega(C) \to \mathcal{P}$, we will denote by

$$ (1) \quad \text{Def}(\Omega(C) \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathcal{P}) \simeq \prod_{m,n} \text{Hom}_{S_m \times S_n}(\mathcal{C}(m,n), \mathcal{P}(m,n)) $$

the associated convolution complex. (It is naturally a dg Lie algebra, cf. [MeVa].) We will also consider derivations of the properad $\mathcal{P}$. However, we will use a minor variation of the standard definition: First let us define a properad $\mathcal{P}^+$ generated by $\mathcal{P}$ and one other operation, say $D$, of arity $(1,1)$ and cohomological degree +1. On $\mathcal{P}^+$ we define a differential such that whenever $\mathcal{P}^+$ acts on a dg vector space $(V,d)$, then the action restricts to an action of $\mathcal{P}$ on the vector space with modified differential $(V,d+D)$. Clearly any $\mathcal{P}$-algebra is a $\mathcal{P}^+$-algebra by letting $D$ act trivially, so that we have a properad map $\mathcal{P}^+ \to \mathcal{P}$. Now, slightly abusively, we define $\text{Der}(\mathcal{P})$ as the complex of derivations of $\mathcal{P}^+$ preserving the map $\mathcal{P}^+ \to \mathcal{P}$. Concretely, in all relevant cases $\mathcal{P} = \Omega(C)$ is the cobar construction of a coaugmented coproperad $\mathcal{C}$. The definition is then made such that $\text{Der}(\mathcal{P})[-1]$ is identified with (1) as a complex. On the other hand, if we were using ordinary derivations we would have to modify (1) by replacing $\mathcal{C}$ by the cokernel of the coaugmentation $\mathcal{C}$ on the right-hand side, thus complicating statements of several results. We assure the reader that this modification is minor and made for technical reasons in the cases we consider, and results about our $\text{Der}(\mathcal{P})$ can be easily transcribed into results about the ordinary derivations if necessary.

Note however that $\text{Der}(\mathcal{P})$ carries a natural Lie bracket through the commutator, which is not directly visible on the level of the deformation complex.

### 2. Koszulness of the prop of involutive Lie bialgebras

#### 2.1. Reminder on props, $\frac{1}{2}$-props, properads and operads.

There are several ways to define these notions (see [Ma] for a short and clear review of different approaches), but for practical computations and arguments used in our work the approach via decorated graphs is most relevant.

##### 2.1.1. Directed graphs.

Let $m$ and $n$ be arbitrary non-negative integers. A directed $(m,n)$-graph is a triple $(\Gamma, f_{\text{in}}, f_{\text{out}})$, where $\Gamma$ is a finite 1-dimensional CW complex whose 1-dimensional cells ("edges") are oriented ("directed"), and

$$ f_{\text{in}} : [n] \to \begin{cases} \text{the set of all 0-cells, } v, \text{ of } \Gamma \\ \text{which have precisely one } \\ \text{adjacent edge directed from } v \end{cases}, \quad f_{\text{out}} : [m] \to \begin{cases} \text{the set of all 0-cells, } v, \text{ of } \Gamma \\ \text{which have precisely one } \\ \text{adjacent edge directed towards } v \end{cases} $$

are injective maps of finite sets (called labelling maps or simply labellings) such that $\text{Im} f_{\text{in}} \cap \text{Im} f_{\text{out}} = \emptyset$. The set, $\mathfrak{S}^C(m,n)$, of all possible directed $(m,n)$-graphs carries an action, $(\Gamma, f_{\text{in}}, f_{\text{out}}) \to (\Gamma, f_{\text{in}} \circ \sigma^{-1}, f_{\text{out}} \circ \tau)$, of the group $S_m \times S_n$ (more precisely, the right action of $S_m' \times S_n$ but we declare this detail implicit from now on). We often abbreviate a triple $(\Gamma, f_{\text{in}}, f_{\text{out}})$ to $\Gamma$. For any $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}^C(m,n)$ the set

$$ V(\Gamma) := \{ \text{all 0-cells of } \Gamma \} \setminus \{ \text{Im } f_{\text{in}} \cup \text{Im } f_{\text{out}} \}, $$

of all unlabelled 0-cells is called the set of vertices of $\Gamma$. The edges attached to labelled 0-cells, i.e. the ones lying in $\text{Im} f_{\text{in}}$, in $\text{Im} f_{\text{out}}$ are called incoming or, respectively, outgoing legs of the graph $\Gamma$. The set

$$ E(\Gamma) := \{ \text{all 1-cells of } \Gamma \} \setminus \{ \text{legs} \}, $$

is called the set of (internal) edges of $\Gamma$. Legs and edges of $\Gamma$ incident to a vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$ are often called half-edges of $v$; the set of half-edges of $v$ splits naturally into two disjoint sets, $I_v$ and $Out_v$, consisting of incoming and, respectively, outgoing half-edges. In all our pictures the vertices of a graph will be denoted by bullets, the edges by intervals (or, sometimes, curves) connecting the vertices, and legs by intervals attached from one side to vertices. A choice of orientation on an edge or a leg will be visualized by the choice of a particular direction (arrow) on the associated interval/curve; unless otherwise explicitly shown the direction of each edge in all our pictures is assumed to go from bottom to the top. For example, the graph $\bigcirc \xrightarrow{f} \bigcirc$ in $\mathfrak{S}^C(2,2)$ has four vertices, four legs and five edges; the orientation of all legs and of four internal edges is not shown explicitly and hence, by default, flows upwards. Sometimes we skip showing
explicitly labellings of legs (as in Table 1 below, for example). Note that elements of $\mathfrak{G}^\circ$ are not necessarily connected, e.g. $\circlearrowright 1 \rightarrow 2 \circlearrowleft 2 \rightarrow 1 \circlearrowright 3 \rightarrow 1 \circlearrowleft 1 \rightarrow 2 \circlearrowright 3 \rightarrow 1 \circlearrowleft 2 \rightarrow 1 \circlearrowright \in \mathfrak{G}^\circ(4, 4)$. A directed graph $\Gamma$ is called oriented if it has no wheels, that is, sequences of directed edges which for a closed path; for example, the graph $\circlearrowright 1 \rightarrow 2 \circlearrowleft 2 \rightarrow 1 \circlearrowright \circlearrowleft 2 \rightarrow 1 \circlearrowright \circlearrowleft 2 \rightarrow 1 \circlearrowright \circlearrowleft 2 \rightarrow 1 \circlearrowright \circlearrowleft 2 \rightarrow 1 \circlearrowright$ is oriented while the graph $\circlearrowright 1 \rightarrow 2 \circlearrowleft 2 \rightarrow 1 \circlearrowright$ is not. Let $\mathfrak{G}^\uparrow(m, n) \subset \mathfrak{G}^\circ(m, n)$ denote the subset of oriented $(m, n)$-graphs. We shall work from now on in this subsection with the set $\mathfrak{G}^\uparrow := \sqcup_{m, n \geq 0} \mathfrak{G}^\uparrow(m, n)$ of oriented graphs though everything said below applies to the general case as well (giving us wheeled versions of the classical notions of prop, properad and operad, see [Me2, MMS]).

2.1.2. Decorated oriented graphs. Let $E$ be an $S$-bimodule, that is, a family, $\{E(m, n)\}_{m, n \geq 0}$, of vector spaces on which the group $S_m$ acts on the left and the group $S_n$ acts on the right, and both actions commute with each other. We shall use elements of $E$ to decorate vertices of an arbitrary graph $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{G}^\uparrow$ as follows. First, for each vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$ with $q$ input edges and $p$ output edges we construct a vector space

$$E(Out_v, In_v) := \langle Out_v \rangle \otimes_{S_p} E(p, q) \otimes_{S_q} \langle In_v \rangle,$$

where $\langle Out_v \rangle$ (resp., $\langle In_v \rangle$) is the vector space spanned by all bijections $[p] \rightarrow Out_v$ (resp., $In_v \rightarrow [q]$). It is (non-canonically) isomorphic to $E(p, q)$ as a vector space and carries natural actions of the automorphism groups of the sets $Out_v$ and $In_v$. These actions make the following unordered tensor product over the set $V(\Gamma)$ (of cardinality, say, $k$),

$$\bigotimes_{v \in V(\Gamma)} E(Out_v, In_v) := \left( \bigoplus_{i: [k] \rightarrow V(\Gamma)} E(Out_{i(1)}, In_{i(1)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes E(Out_{i(k)}, In_{i(k)}) \right)_{S_k},$$

into a representation space of the automorphism group, $Aut(\Gamma)$, of the graph $\Gamma$ which, by definition, is the subgroup of the symmetry group of the 1-dimensional CW-complex underlying the graph $\Gamma$ which fixes its legs. Hence with an arbitrary graph $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{G}^\uparrow$ and an arbitrary $S$-bimodule $E$ one can associate a vector space,

$$\Gamma(E) := \left( \otimes_{v \in V(\Gamma)} E(Out_v, In_v) \right)_{Aut\Gamma},$$

whose elements are called decorated (by $E$) oriented graphs. For example, the automorphism group of the graph $\Gamma = \circlearrowright 1 \circlearrowleft 1 \circlearrowright 1$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$ so that $\Gamma(E) \cong E(1, 2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} E(2, 2)$. It is useful to think of an element in $\Gamma(E)$ as of the graph $\Gamma$ whose vertices are literally decorated by some elements $a \in E(1, 2)$ and $b \in E(2, 1)$ and are subject to the following relations, \[ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c} & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ \circlearrowright & a & b & \circlearrowleft & a \circlearrowright & b & \circlearrowleft \\ \circlearrowright & a & \circlearrowleft & b & \circlearrowright & \circlearrowleft & a \\ \circlearrowleft & b & \circlearrowright & a & \circlearrowleft & a & \circlearrowright \\ \end{array} \] for $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_2$, \[ \lambda \left( \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{c} \lambda a \\ \lambda b \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} a \circlearrowleft b \\ b \circlearrowright a \end{array} \] for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$, and \[ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c} & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ \circlearrowright & a_1 & a_2 & \circlearrowleft & b_1 & b_2 & \circlearrowright & a_1 \circlearrowright & b_1 \circlearrowleft & b_2 & a_2 \\ \circlearrowright & a_1 & \circlearrowleft & b_2 & \circlearrowright & \circlearrowleft & a_2 \\ \circlearrowleft & b_1 & \circlearrowright & a_1 & \circlearrowleft & a_1 & \circlearrowright \\ \end{array} \] and similarly for $b$. It also follows from the definition that \[ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c} & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ \circlearrowright & a_1 & a_2 & \circlearrowleft & b_1 & b_2 & \circlearrowright & a_1 \circlearrowright & b_1 \circlearrowleft & b_2 & a_2 \\ \circlearrowright & a_1 & \circlearrowleft & b_2 & \circlearrowright & \circlearrowleft & a_2 \\ \circlearrowleft & b_1 & \circlearrowright & a_1 & \circlearrowleft & a_1 & \circlearrowright \\ \end{array} \] \[ \left( \begin{array}{c} a_1 \circlearrowleft b_1 \\ a_2 \circlearrowright b_2 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{c} b_1 \circlearrowright a_1 \\ b_2 \circlearrowleft a_2 \end{array} \right) \in \mathbb{S}_2 \]

If $E = \{E(m, n)\}$ is a dg $S$-bimodule, i.e. if each vector space $E(m, n)$ is a complex equipped with an $S_m \times S_n$-equivariant differential $\delta$, then, for any graph $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{G}^\circ(m, n)$, the associated graded vector space $\Gamma(E)$ comes equipped with an induced $S_m \times S_n$-equivariant differential (which we denote by the same symbol $\delta$) so that the collection, $\{ \bigoplus_{G \in \mathfrak{G}^\circ(m, n)} G(E) \}_{m, n \geq 0}$, is again a dg $S$-bimodule.

The one vertex graph $\mathfrak{c}_{m,n} := \circlearrowright m \; \text{output legs} \circlearrowleft n \; \text{input legs} \in \mathfrak{G}^\uparrow(m, n)$ is often called the $(m, n)$-corolla. It is clear that for any $S$-bimodule $E$ one has $\mathfrak{c}_{m,n}(E) \cong E(m, n)$.
2.1.3. Props. A prop is an \( S \)-bimodule \( P = \{ P(m, n) \} \) together with a family of linear \( S_m \times S_n \)-equivariant maps,

\[
\{ \mu_\Gamma : \Gamma \langle P \rangle \to P(m, n) \}_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{S}(m, n)}, \quad m, n \geq 0,
\]

which satisfy the following "associativity" condition,

\[
(2) \quad \mu_\Gamma = \mu_{\Gamma/\gamma} \circ \mu'_\gamma,
\]

for any subgraph \( \gamma \subset \Gamma \) such that the quotient graph \( \Gamma/\gamma \) (which is obtained from \( \Gamma \) by shrinking all the vertices and internal edges of \( \gamma \) into a single internal vertex) is oriented, and \( \mu'_\gamma : \Gamma \langle E \rangle \to (\Gamma/\gamma) \langle E \rangle \) stands for the map which equals \( \mu_\gamma \) on the decorated vertices lying in \( \gamma \) and which is identity on all other vertices of \( \Gamma \).

If the \( S \)-bimodule \( P \) underlying a prop has a differential \( \delta \) satisfying, for any \( \Gamma \in \mathcal{G} \), the condition \( \delta \circ \mu_\Gamma = \mu_\Gamma \circ \delta \), then the prop \( P \) is called differential.

As \( \mathcal{C}_{m,n}(E) = E(m, n) \), the values of the maps \( \mu_\Gamma \) can be identified with decorated corollas, and hence the maps themselves can be visually understood as contraction maps, \( \mu_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{S}(m, n)} : \Gamma \langle P \rangle \to \mathcal{C}_{m,n}(P) \), contracting all the edges and vertices of \( \Gamma \) into a single vertex.

Strictly speaking, the notion introduced just above should be called a prop without unit. A prop with unit can be defined as above provided one enlarges \( \mathcal{S}^\uparrow \) by adding a family of graphs, \( \{ \uparrow \uparrow \cdots \uparrow \} \), without vertices.

2.1.4. Props, properads, operads, etc. as \( \mathcal{G} \)-algebras. Let \( \mathcal{G} = \sqcup_{m,n} \mathcal{G}(m,n) \) be a subset of the set \( \mathcal{G}^\uparrow \), say, one of the subsets defined in Table 1 below. A subgraph \( \gamma \) of a graph \( \Gamma \in \mathcal{G} \) is called admissible if \( \gamma \in \mathcal{G} \) and \( \Gamma/\gamma \in \mathcal{G} \). A \( \mathcal{G} \)-algebra is, by definition, an \( S \)-bimodule \( P = \{ P(m, n) \} \) together with a family of linear \( S_m \times S_n \)-equivariant maps, \( \{ \mu_\Gamma : \Gamma \langle P \rangle \to P(m, n) \}_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}(m, n)} \), parameterized by elements \( \Gamma \in \mathcal{G} \), which satisfy condition (2) for any admissible subgraph \( H \subset \Gamma \). Applying this idea to the subfamilies \( \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}^\uparrow \) from Table 1 gives us, in the chronological order, the notions of prop, operad, dioperad, \( \frac{1}{2} \)-prop and properad introduced, respectively, in the papers [Mc, May, Ga, Ko, V1].

2.1.5. Basic examples of \( \mathcal{G} \)-algebras. (i) For any \( \mathcal{G} \) and any vector space \( V \) the \( S \)-bimodule \( \text{End}_V = \{ \text{Hom}(V^\otimes n, V^\otimes m) \} \) is naturally a \( \mathcal{G} \)-algebra with contraction maps \( \mu_{\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{G}} \) being ordinary compositions of linear maps; it is called the endomorphism \( \mathcal{G} \)-algebra of \( V \).

(ii) With any \( S \)-bimodule, \( E = \{ E(m,n) \} \), there is associated another \( S \)-bimodule, \( \text{Free}^\mathcal{G}(E) = \{ \text{Free}^\mathcal{G}(E)(m,n) \} \) with \( \text{Free}^\mathcal{G}(E)(m,n) := \bigoplus_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}(m,n)} \text{Gamma}(E) \), which has a natural \( \mathcal{G} \)-algebra structure with the contraction maps \( \mu_{\mathcal{G}} \) being tautological. The \( \mathcal{G} \)-algebra \( \text{Free}^\mathcal{G}(E) \) is called the free \( \mathcal{G} \)-algebra generated by the \( S \)-bimodule \( E \). We often abbreviate notations by replacing \( \text{Free}^\mathcal{G} \) by \( \text{Free} \).

(iii) Definitions of \( \mathcal{G} \)-subalgebras, \( \mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{P} \), of \( \mathcal{G} \)-algebras, of their ideals, \( \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P} \), and the associated quotient \( \mathcal{G} \)-algebras, \( \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{I} \), are straightforward. We omit the details.
Table 1: A list of $\mathfrak{G}$-algebras

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\mathfrak{G}$</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>$\mathfrak{G}$-algebra</th>
<th>Typical examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\mathfrak{G}^\uparrow$</td>
<td>The set of all possible oriented graphs</td>
<td>Prop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathfrak{G}^\uparrow_c$</td>
<td>A subset $\mathfrak{G}^\uparrow_c \subset \mathfrak{G}^\uparrow$ consisting of all connected graphs</td>
<td>Properad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathfrak{G}^\uparrow_{c,0}$</td>
<td>A subset $\mathfrak{G}^\uparrow_{c,0} \subset \mathfrak{G}^\uparrow_{c}$ consisting of graphs of genus zero</td>
<td>Dioperad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathfrak{G}^\downarrow$</td>
<td>A subset $\mathfrak{G}^\downarrow \subset \mathfrak{G}^\uparrow_{c,0}$ consisting of all $(m,n)$-graphs with the number of directed paths from input legs to the output legs equal to $mn$ and with at least trivalent vertices</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}$-Prop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathfrak{G}^\wedge$</td>
<td>A subset $\mathfrak{G}^\wedge \subset \mathfrak{G}^\uparrow_{c,0}$ consisting of graphs whose vertices have precisely one output leg</td>
<td>Operad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathfrak{G}^\dagger$</td>
<td>A subset $\mathfrak{G}^\dagger \subset \mathfrak{G}^\wedge$ consisting of graphs whose vertices have precisely one input leg</td>
<td>Associative algebra</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.6. Morphisms and resolutions of $\mathfrak{G}$-algebras. A morphism of $\mathfrak{G}$-algebras, $\rho : \mathcal{P}_1 \to \mathcal{P}_2$, is a morphism of the underlying $\mathfrak{S}$-bimodules such that, for any graph $G$, one has $\rho \circ \mu_G = \mu_G \circ (\rho^{\mathfrak{G}})$, where $\rho^{\mathfrak{G}}$ is a map, $G(\mathcal{P}_1) \to G(\mathcal{P}_2)$, which changes decorations of each vertex in $G$ in accordance with $\rho$. A morphism of $\mathfrak{G}$-algebras, $\mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{E}nd_V$, is called a representation of the $\mathfrak{G}$-algebra $\mathcal{P}$ in a graded vector space $V$.

A free resolution of a dg $\mathfrak{G}$-algebra $\mathcal{P}$ is, by definition, a dg free $\mathfrak{G}$-algebra, $(\mathcal{F}(E), \delta)$, together with a morphism, $\pi : (\mathcal{F}(E), \delta) \to \mathcal{P}$, which induces a cohomology isomorphism. If the differential $\delta$ in $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is decomposable with respect to compositions $\mu_G$, then it is called in [MeVa, section 5.1] a minimal model of $\mathcal{P}$ and is often denoted by $\mathcal{P}_\infty$. To ensure better properties, one may require in addition that there is a filtration on the space of generators

$$\{0\} = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset \cup_{n \geq 0} E_n = E$$

such that $\delta(E_n) \subset \mathcal{F}(E_{n-1})$. This stronger condition will also hold in the examples we consider.

2.2. Involutive Lie bialgebras. A Lie bialgebra is a graded vector space $\mathfrak{g}$, equipped with degree zero linear maps,

$$\Delta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g} \quad \text{and} \quad [\,,\,] : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g},$$

such that

• the data $(\mathfrak{g}, \Delta)$ is a Lie coalgebra;
• the data $(\mathfrak{g}, [\,,\,])$ is a Lie algebra;
• the compatibility condition,

$$\Delta [a, b] = \sum a' \otimes [a'', b] + [a, b'] \otimes b'' - (-1)^{|a||b|} ([b, a'] \otimes a'' + b' \otimes [b'', a]),$$

holds for any $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$. Here $\Delta a = : \sum a' \otimes a''$, $\Delta b = : \sum b' \otimes b''$. 


A Lie bialgebra \((g, [, ], \triangle)\) is called \textit{involutive} if the composition map
\[
V \overset{\triangle}{\longrightarrow} \Lambda^2 V \overset{\theta_V}{\longrightarrow} V
\]
vanishes. A dg (involutive) Lie bialgebra is a complex \((g, d)\) equipped with the structure of an (involutive) Lie bialgebra such that the maps \([, ]\) and \(\triangle\) are morphisms of complexes.

\textbf{2.2.1. An example.} Let \(W\) be a finite dimensional graded vector space over a field \(\mathbb{K}\) of characteristic zero equipped with a degree 0 skewsymmetric pairing,
\[
\omega : W \otimes W \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}
\]
\[
w_1 \otimes w_2 \longrightarrow \omega(w_1, w_2) = -(1)^{|w_1||w_2|}\omega(w_2, w_1).
\]
Then the associated vector space of “cyclic words in \(W\),
\[
\text{Cyc}^\bullet(W) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (W \otimes^n)_{Z_n},
\]
admits an involutive Lie bialgebra structure given by [Ch]
\[
[(w_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes w_n)_{Z_n}, (v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_m)_{Z_m}] := \\
\sum_{\substack{i \in [n] \atop j \in [m]}} \pm \omega(w_i, v_j)(w_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes w_{i-1} \otimes v_{j+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_m \otimes v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{j-1} \otimes w_{i+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes w_n)_{Z_{n+m-2}}
\]
and
\[
\triangle (w_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes w_n)_{Z_n} := \sum_{i \neq j} \pm \omega(w_i, w_j)(w_{i+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes w_{j-1})_{Z_{j-i-1}} \otimes (w_{j+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes w_{i-1})_{Z_{n-j+i-1}}
\]
This example has many applications in various areas of modern research (see, e.g., [B, Ch, CL, H]).

\textbf{2.3. Properad of involutive Lie bialgebras.} By definition, the properad, \(\mathcal{L}ie^\circ \mathcal{B}\), of involutive Lie bialgebras is a quadratic properad given as the quotient,
\[
\mathcal{L}ie^\circ \mathcal{B} := \text{Free}(E)/< \mathcal{R} >,
\]
of the free properad generated by an \(S\)-bimodule \(E = \{E(m, n)\}_{m,n \geq 1}\) with all \(E(m, n) = 0\) except
\[
E(2, 1) := 1_1 \otimes sgn_2 = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \ 2 \\ 2 \ 1 \end{array} \right\} = -\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array}
\]
\[
E(1, 2) := sgn_2 \otimes 1_1 = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array} \right\} = \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array}
\]
modulo the ideal generated by the following relations
\[
\mathcal{R} : \\
\begin{cases}
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\
\end{array} & + \\
\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\
\end{array} & + \\
\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\
\end{array} & = 0,
\end{align*}
\end{cases}
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\
\end{array} & + \\
\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\
\end{array} & + \\
\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 1 \\
\end{array} & = 0,
\end{align*}
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\
\end{array} & - \\
\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\
\end{array} & + \\
\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 1 \\
\end{array} & + \\
\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\
\end{array} & = 0,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c} 1 \\
\end{array} & = 0.
\end{align*}
\]
The properad governing Lie bialgebras \(\mathcal{L}ie \mathcal{B}\) is defined in the same manner, except that the last relation of (3) is omitted.
Recall [V1] that any quadratic properad $\mathcal{P}$ has an associated Koszul dual coproperad $\mathcal{P}^!$ such that its cobar construction,

$$\Omega(\mathcal{P}^!) = \mathcal{F}ree(\mathcal{P}^![-1]),$$

comes equipped with a differential $d$ and with a canonical surjective map of dg properads,

$$(\Omega(\mathcal{P}^!), d) \to (\mathcal{P}, 0).$$

This map always induces an isomorphism in cohomology in degree 0. If, additionally, the map is a quasi-isomorphism, then the properad $\mathcal{P}$ is called Koszul. In this case the cobar construction $\Omega(\mathcal{P}^!)$ gives us a minimal resolution of $\mathcal{P}$ and is denoted by $\mathcal{P}_\infty$. It is well known, for example, that the properad governing Lie bialgebras $\mathcal{L}ieB$ is Koszul [MaVo].

We shall study below the Koszul dual properad $\mathcal{L}ieB^!$, its cobar construction $\Omega(\mathcal{L}ieB^!)$ and prove that the natural surjection $\Omega(\mathcal{L}ieB^!) \to \mathcal{L}ieB$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Anticipating this conclusion, we often use the symbol $\mathcal{L}ieB_\infty$ as a shorthand for $\Omega(\mathcal{L}ieB^!)$.

### 2.4. An explicit description of the dg properad $\mathcal{L}ieB_\infty$.

The Koszul dual of $\mathcal{L}ieB^!$ is a coproperad $\mathcal{L}ieB^!$ whose (genus-)graded dual, $(\mathcal{L}ieB^!)^*$, is the properad generated by degree 1 corollas,

$$\begin{array}{cc}
\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2 \\
\end{array} & = -
\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
1 \\
\end{array}, \\
\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2 \\
\end{array} & = -
\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2 \\
\end{array}
\end{array}$$

with the following relations,

$$\begin{array}{cc}
\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
3 \\
2 \\
\end{array} & = -
\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
3 \\
1 \\
\end{array}, \\
\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
3 \\
2 \\
\end{array} & = -
\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
3 \\
2 \\
\end{array}, \\
\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2 \\
\end{array} & = -
\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2 \\
\end{array}
\end{array}$$

Hence the following graphs

(4)

where

(5)

is the composition of $a = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ graphs of the form $\bigcirc$, form a basis of $(\mathcal{L}ieB^!)^*$. If the graph (4) has $n$ input legs and $m$ output legs, then it has $m + n + 2a - 2$ vertices and its degree is equal to $m + n + 2a - 2$. Hence the properad $\Omega((\mathcal{L}ieB^!)) = \mathcal{F}ree((\mathcal{L}ieB^!)[-1])$ is a free properad generated by the following skewsymmetric corollas of degree $3 - m - n - 2a$,

\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2 \\
\end{array} & \cdots & \begin{array}{c}
m \\
\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\end{equation}

$$= (-1)^{\sigma + \tau} \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
(\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \ldots, \sigma(m)) \\
\end{array}
\end{array}, \quad \forall \sigma \in S_m, \forall \tau \in S_n,$$
where \( m + n + a \geq 3, \ m \geq 1, \ n \geq 1, \ a \geq 0 \). The non-negative number \( a \) is called the \textit{weight} of the generating corolla (6). The differential in \( \Omega((\mathcal{L}ie^B)^i) \) is given by

\[
(7) \quad \delta = \sum_{a=b+c+l-1} \sum_{\substack{|m|=i_1+i_2 \atop |n|=j_1+j_2}} \pm \frac{1}{a} \sum
\]

where the parameter \( l \) counts the number of internal edges connecting the two vertices on the right-hand side. We have, in particular,

\[
\delta \begin{array}{c}
\circ \\
1 2 \\
\end{array} = 0,
\delta \begin{array}{c}
\circ \\
1 2 \\
\end{array} = 0,
\delta \begin{array}{c}
\circ \\
1 2 \\
\end{array} = 0
\]

so that the map

\[
(8) \quad \pi : \mathcal{L}ie^B_\infty \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}ie^B,
\]

which sends to zero all generators of \( \mathcal{L}ie^B_\infty \) except the following ones,

\[
\pi \left( \begin{array}{c}
\circ \\
1 2 \\
\end{array} \right) = \bigcup, \quad \pi \left( \begin{array}{c}
\circ \\
1 2 \\
\end{array} \right) = \bigcup,
\]

is a morphism of dg properads, as expected. Showing that the properad \( \mathcal{L}ie^B \) is Koszul is equivalent to showing that the map \( \pi \) is a quasi-isomorphism. As \( \mathcal{L}ie^B_\infty \) is non-positively graded, the map \( \pi \) is a quasi-isomorphism if and only if the cohomology of the dg properad \( \mathcal{L}ie^B_\infty \) is concentrated in degree zero. We shall prove this property below in \( \S 2.9 \) with the help of several auxiliary constructions which we discuss next.

\subsection*{2.5 A decomposition of the complex \( \mathcal{L}ie^B_\infty \).}

As a vector space the properad \( \mathcal{L}ie^B_\infty = \{ \mathcal{L}ie^B_\infty(m,n) \}_{m,n \geq 1} \) is spanned by oriented graphs built from corollas (6). For such a graph \( \Gamma \in \mathcal{L}ie^B_\infty \) we set

\[
||\Gamma|| := g(\Gamma) + w(\Gamma) \in \mathbb{N}
\]

where \( g(\Gamma) \) is its genus and \( w(\Gamma) \) is its total weight defined as the sum of weights of its vertices (corollas). It is obvious that the differential \( \delta \) in \( \mathcal{L}ie^B_\infty \) respects this total grading,

\[
||\delta \Gamma|| = ||\Gamma||.
\]

Therefore each complex \( (\mathcal{L}ie^B_\infty(m,n), \delta) \) decomposes into a direct sum of subcomplexes,

\[
\mathcal{L}ie^B_\infty(m,n) = \sum_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{L}ie^B_\infty(m,n)^{(s)}
\]

where \( \mathcal{L}ie^B_\infty(m,n)^{(s)} \subset \mathcal{L}ie^B_\infty(m,n) \) is spanned by graphs \( \Gamma \) with \( ||\Gamma|| = s \).

\subsection*{2.5.1. Lemma.}

\textit{For any fixed} \( m, n \geq 1 \) \textit{and} \( s \geq 0 \) \textit{the subcomplex} \( \mathcal{L}ie^B_\infty(m,n)^{(s)} \) \textit{is finite-dimensional.}

\textit{Proof.} The number of bivalent vertices in every graph \( \Gamma \) with \( ||\Gamma|| = s \) is finite. As the genus of the graph \( \Gamma \) is also finite, it must have a finite number of vertices of valence \( \geq 3 \) as well.

This lemma guarantees convergence of all spectral sequences which we consider below in the context of computing the cohomology of \( \mathcal{L}ie^B_\infty \) and which, for general dg free properads, can be ill-behaved.

\footnote{The precise sign factors in this formula can be determined via a usual trick: the analogous differential in the degree shifted properad \( \Omega((\mathcal{L}ie^B)^i) \{1\} \) must be given by the same formula but with all sign factors equal to \( +1 \).}
2.6. An auxiliary graph complex. Let us consider a graph complex,
\[ C = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} C_n, \]
where \( C_n \) is spanned by graphs of the form, \[ \includegraphics{graph.png}, \] with \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{N} \). The differential is given on the generators of the graphs (viewed as elements of a \( \frac{1}{2} \)-prop) by
\[ d = \sum_{\substack{a+b+c \geq 1 \\& \ b,c \geq 1}} \includegraphics{graph.png}. \]

2.6.1. Proposition. One has \( H^\bullet(C) = \text{span}(\includegraphics{graph.png}) \).

Proof. It is well-known that the cohomology of the cobar construction \( \Omega(T^c(V)) \) of the tensor coalgebra \( T^c(V) \) generated by any vector space \( V \) over a field \( K \) is equal to \( K \oplus V \), so that the cohomology of the reduced cobar construction, \( \Omega(T^c(V)) \), equals \( V \). The complex \( C \) is isomorphic to \( \Omega(T^c(V)) \) for a one-dimensional vector space \( V \) via the following identification \[ \includegraphics{graph.png} \sim V \otimes \includegraphics{graph.png}. \] Hence the claim.

\[ \square \]

2.7. An auxiliary dg properad. Let \( \mathcal{P} \) be a dg properad generated by a degree \( -1 \) corolla \( \includegraphics{graph.png} \) and degree zero corollas, \( \includegraphics{graph.png} = -\includegraphics{graph.png} \) and \( \includegraphics{graph.png} = -\includegraphics{graph.png} \), modulo relations
\[ \includegraphics{graph.png} = 0, \quad \includegraphics{graph.png} = 0, \quad \includegraphics{graph.png} = 0. \]
and the first three relations in (3). The differential in \( \mathcal{P} \) is given on the generators by
\[ d = 0, \quad d = 0, \quad d = 0. \]

2.7.1. Theorem. The surjective morphism of dg properads,
\[ \nu : \text{Lie}\mathcal{B}_\infty \to \mathcal{P}, \]
which sends all generators to zero except for the following ones
\[ \nu \left( \includegraphics{graph.png} \right) = \includegraphics{graph.png}, \quad \nu \left( \includegraphics{graph.png} \right) = \includegraphics{graph.png}, \quad \nu \left( \includegraphics{graph.png} \right) = \includegraphics{graph.png}, \]
is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. The argument is based on several converging spectral sequences.

Step 1: An exact functor.
We define the following functor:
\[ F : \text{category of dg } \frac{1}{2} \text{-props} \to \text{category of dg properads}, \]
by
\[ F(s)(m,n) = \bigoplus_{\Gamma \in \overline{\text{Gr}}(m,n)} \left( \bigotimes_{v \in v(\Gamma)} s(Out(v), In(v)) \otimes \otimes_{H^1(\Gamma, \partial \Gamma)} \right)_{\text{Aut}(\Gamma)}, \]
where \( \overline{\text{Gr}}(m,n) \) represents the set of all (isomorphism classes of) oriented graphs with \( n \) output legs and \( m \) input legs that are irreducible in the sense that they do not allow any \( \frac{1}{2} \)-propic contractions. We consider the relative cohomology \( H^1(\Gamma, \partial \Gamma) \) to live in cohomological degree 1. In particular the graded symmetric product \( \otimes_{H^1(\Gamma, \partial \Gamma)} \) is finite dimensional, and the square of any relative cohomology class vanishes. The differential acts trivially on the \( H^1(\Gamma, \partial \Gamma) \) part. Our functor \( F \) is similar to the Kontsevich functor \( F \) discussed in
full details in [MaVo] except the tensor factor $\odot H^1(\Gamma,\partial \Gamma)$. One defines the properadic compositions on $F(s)$ as in the Kontsevich case, with the tensor factors handled as follows: Suppose we compose elements corresponding to graphs $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_n$ to an element corresponding to a graph $\Gamma$. Then we first map the tensor factors using the natural maps $H^1(\Gamma_j,\partial \Gamma_j) \to H^1(\Gamma,\partial \Gamma)$, and then multiply them. (To this end, note that if $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ is a subgraph, then one has a natural map $H^1(\Gamma',\partial \Gamma') \to H^1(\Gamma,\partial \Gamma)$, and contractions of a graph do not change $H^1(\Gamma,\partial \Gamma)$.)

Our modification of the Kontsevich functor allows treatment of properads $P$ which might have $P(1,1)$ non-zero (which is strictly prohibited in the original Kontsevich approach), see Steps 3 and 4 below.

**2.7.2. Lemma.** $F$ is an exact functor, i.e. it preserves cohomology.

**Proof.** Since the differential preserves the underlying graph, we get

$$H_\ast(F(s)(m,n)) = \bigoplus_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}(m,n)} H_\ast \left( \bigotimes_{v \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma)} s(\mathcal{O}(v),\mathcal{I}(v)) \otimes H^1(\Gamma,\partial \Gamma) \right)_{\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)}$$

Since the differential commutes with elements of $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$, $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ is finite and $\mathbb{K}$ is a field of characteristic zero, by Maschke’s Theorem we have

$$H_\ast(F(s)(m,n)) = \bigoplus_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}(m,n)} \left( H_\ast \left( \bigotimes_{v \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma)} s(\mathcal{O}(v),\mathcal{I}(v)) \otimes H^1(\Gamma,\partial \Gamma) \right) \right)_{\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)}$$

Applying the Künneth formula twice, together with the fact that the differential is trivial on $H^1(\Gamma,\partial \Gamma)$ we get

$$H_\ast(F(s)(m,n)) = \bigoplus_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}(m,n)} \left( \bigotimes_{v \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma)} H_\ast(s(\mathcal{O}(v),\mathcal{I}(v))) \otimes H^1(\Gamma,\partial \Gamma) \right)_{\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)} = F(H_\ast(s))(m,n).$$

**Step 2: A genus filtration.**

Consider the genus filtration of $(\mathcal{L}ie^\phi B_\infty, \delta)$, and denote by $(\mathcal{G}r \mathcal{L}ie^\phi B_\infty, \delta_{gen})$ the associated graded properad. The differential $\delta_{gen}$ in the complex $\mathcal{G}r \mathcal{L}ie^\phi B_\infty$ is given by the formula,

$$\delta_{gen}^{1,2,\ldots,m} = \sum_{b,c} \sum_{[m]=j_1+j_2} \pm \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}ie^\phi B_\infty^{j_i}$$

Consider also the genus filtration of the dg properad $P$ and denote by $(\mathcal{G}r P, 0)$ the associated graded. The morphism (11) of filtered complexes induces a sequence of morphisms of the associated graded complexes,

$$\nu : \mathcal{G}r \mathcal{L}ie^\phi B_\infty \to \mathcal{G}r P,$$

Thanks to the Spectral Sequences Comparison Theorem (see p.126 in [We]), Theorem 2.7.1 will be proven if we show that the map $\nu$ is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes. We shall compute below the cohomology $H_\ast(\mathcal{G}r \mathcal{L}ie^\phi B_\infty, \delta_{gen})$ which will make it evident that the map $\nu$ is a quasi-isomorphism indeed.

**Step 3: An auxiliary prop.** Let us consider a properad $Q = F(\Omega(\mathcal{L}ie B^{\phi}_{1/2}))$, where $\mathcal{L}ie B^{\phi}_{1/2}$ is the $\frac{1}{2}$-prop governing Lie bialgebras and $\mathcal{L}ie B_{1/2}^\vee$ its Koszul dual. Explicitly, $Q$ can be understood as generated by corollas as in (6) with either $a = m = n = 1$ or $a = 0$ and $m + n \geq 3$ subject to the relations

\[
\begin{align*}
0 & = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \bigotimes_{1 \leq j \leq n_i} \mathcal{L}ie B_{1/2}^\vee \quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \bigotimes_{1 \leq j \leq m_i} \mathcal{L}ie B_{1/2}^\vee = 0.
\end{align*}
\]
To see this, note that for any graph $\Gamma$, $H^1(\Gamma, \partial \Gamma)$ may be identified with the space of formal linear combinations of edges of $\Gamma$, modulo the relations that the sum of incoming edges at any vertex equals the sum of outgoing edges. Similarly, $\bigotimes^k H^1(\Gamma, \partial \Gamma)$ may be identified with formal linear combinations of $k$-fold ("wedge") products of edges, modulo similar relations. Of course, such a product of $k$ edges may be represented combinatorially by putting a marking on those $k$ edges. In our case, this marking is the corolla $\bigcirc$, which we may put on edges.

With the above combinatorial description of $Q$ we see that the map $\nu$ above factors naturally through $Q$, say $\nu : \text{grLie}^s B^\infty_\infty \overset{p}{\to} Q \overset{q}{\to} \text{gr} P$. Furthermore we claim that the right-hand map $q$ is a quasi-isomorphism. First notice that $\text{gr} P = F(\text{Lie} B^1_\infty)$. The $\frac{1}{2}$-prop $\text{Lie} B^1_\infty$ is Koszul, i.e., the natural projection $\Omega \frac{1}{2} (\text{Lie} B^1_\infty) \to \text{Lie} B^1_\infty$ is a quasi-isomorphism. The result follows by applying the functor $F$ to this map and by Lemma 2.7.2.

**Step 4:** The map $p : \text{grLie}^s B^\infty_\infty \to Q$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Consider a filtration of $\text{grLie}^s B^\infty_\infty$ given, for any graph $\Gamma$, by the the difference $a(\Gamma) - n(\Gamma)$, where $a(\Gamma)$ is the sum of all decorations of non-bivalent vertices and $n(\Gamma)$ is the sum of valences of non-bivalent vertices. On the 0-th page of this spectral sequence the differential acts only by splitting bivalent vertices. Then Proposition 2.6.1 tells us that the first page of this spectral sequence consists of graphs with no bivalent vertices such that every vertex is decorated by a number $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and every edge has either a decoration $\bigcirc$ or no decoration. The differential acts by

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^m 1^{i-1} 2 \cdots m & \to \sum_{i=1}^n 1^{i-1} 2 \cdots m \circ \cdots \circ 1^{i-1} 2 \cdots m \\
\end{align*}
$$

The complex we obtain is precisely

$$
\bigoplus_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}(m,n)} \left( \bigotimes_{v \in v(\Gamma)} \Omega^{\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Lie} B^1_\infty)(Out(v), In(v)) \otimes C^*(\Gamma, \partial \Gamma) \right)_{\text{Aut}(\Gamma)},
$$

where $C^*(\Gamma, \partial \Gamma)$ are the simplicial co-chains of $\Gamma$ relative to its boundary; the differential in this complex is given by the standard differential of $\sum C^*(\Gamma, \partial \Gamma)$. Indeed, we may identify $C^0(\Gamma, \partial \Gamma) \cong \mathbb{K}[V(\Gamma)]$ and $C^1(\Gamma, \partial \Gamma) \cong \mathbb{K}[E(\Gamma)]$. A vertex $v = a_v$ with weight $a_v$ corresponds to the $a_v$-th power of the cochain representing the vertex, and an edge decorated with the symbol $\bigcirc$ corresponds to the cochain representing the edge. The differential $d$ on $C^1(\Gamma, \partial \Gamma)$ is the map dual to the standard boundary map $\partial : C^1(\Gamma, \partial \Gamma) \cong \mathbb{K}[E(\Gamma)] \to C_0(\Gamma, \partial \Gamma) \cong \mathbb{K}[V(\Gamma)]$. It is given, on a vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$, by

$$
dv = \sum_{e_v' \in Out(v)} e_v' - \sum_{e_v'' \in In(v)} e_v'',
$$

where $Out(v)$ is the set of edges outgoing from $v$ and $In(v)$ is the set of edges ingoing to $v$. This exactly matches the differential (17) on the first page of the spectral sequence. As $H^0(\Gamma, \partial \Gamma) = 0$ and since the symmetric product functor $\otimes$ is exact we obtain $Q$ on the second page of the spectral sequence,

$$
H^*(\text{grLie}^s B^\infty_\infty) \cong \bigoplus_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}(m,n)} \left( \bigotimes_{v \in v(\Gamma)} \Omega^{\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Lie} B^1_\infty)(Out(v), In(v)) \otimes H^1(\Gamma, \partial \Gamma) \right)_{\text{Aut}(\Gamma)} = F(\Omega^{\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Lie} B^1_\infty)) \cong Q
$$

thus showing that $p$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Hence so is the map $\nu$ from (16), and hence Theorem 2.7.1 is shown.

### 2.8. Auxiliary complexes

Let $A_n$ be the quadratic algebra generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ with relations $x_i x_{i+1} = x_{i+1} x_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. We denote by $D_n = A_n^!$ the Koszul dual coalgebra. Notice that $A_n$ and $D_n$ are weight graded and the weight $k$ component of $D_n$, $D^{(k)}_n$ is zero if $k \geq 3$, while $D^{(1)}_n = \text{span}\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ and $D^{(2)}_n = \text{span}\{u_{1,2} = x_1 x_2 - x_2 x_1, u_{2,3} = x_2 x_3 - x_3 x_2, \ldots, u_{n-1,n} = x_{n-1} x_n - x_n x_{n-1}\}$. 

2.8.1. Proposition. The algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$ is Koszul. In particular, the canonical projection map

$$A_n := \Omega(D_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$$

from the cobar construction of $D_n$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

The proof of this proposition is given in Appendix A.

Proposition 2.8.1 in particular implies that the homology of the $A_n$ vanishes in positive degree. The complex $A_n$ is naturally multigraded by the amount of times each index $j$ appears on each word and the differential respects this multigrading. We will be interested in particular in the subcomplex $A^{1,1,\ldots,1}_n$ of $A_n$ that is spanned by words in $x_j$ and $u_{i,j+1}$ such that each index occurs exactly once. Since $A^{1,1,\ldots,1}_n$ is a direct summand of $A_n$, its homology also vanishes in positive degree.

Let us define a Lie algebra $L_n = \text{Lie}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)/[x_i,x_{i+1}]$ and a complex $L_n = \text{Lie}(x_1,\ldots,x_n,u_1,\ldots,u_{n-1,n})$, with $dx_i = 0$ and $d(u_{i,i+1}) = [x_i,x_{i+1}]$. Here $\text{Lie}$ stands for the free Lie algebra functor.

2.8.2. Lemma. The projection map $L_n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. It is clear that $H^0(L_n) = \mathcal{L}_n$, therefore it is enough to see that the homology of $L_n$ vanishes in positive degree. The Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem\(^2\) gives us an isomorphism

$$\text{Lie}(x_1,\ldots,x_n,u_{1,2},\ldots,u_{n-1,n}) \cong \mathcal{L}_n.$$  

This map commutes with with the differentials, therefore we have an isomorphism in homology $H_\bullet(\text{Lie}(L_n)) = H_\bullet(L_n)$. Since $\text{Lie}$ is an exact functor it commutes with taking homology and since the homology of $A_n$ vanishes in positive degree by Proposition 2.8.1 the result follows. \hfill \Box

Let us define $A_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}$ as the coproduct of $A_{n_1},\ldots,A_{n_r}$ in the category of associative algebras; $A_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}$ consists of words in $x_1^{r_1},x_2^{r_2},\ldots,x_{n_1}^{r_{n_1}},x_{n_1+1}^{r_{n_1+1}},\ldots,x_{n_r}^{r_{n_r}}$, $u_1^{1,2},\ldots,u_{n_1-1,n_1}^{1,2},\ldots,u_{n_r-1,n_r}^{1,2}$. We define similarly $L_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}$.

2.8.3. Lemma. The homology of $A_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}$ vanishes in positive degree.

Proof. Let $\tilde{A}_n \subset A_n$ be the kernel of the natural augmentation such that $A_n \cong \mathbb{K} \oplus \tilde{A}_n$ as complexes. Similarly, define $\tilde{A}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}$. The complex $\tilde{A}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}$ splits as

$$\tilde{A}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 1, j_1,\ldots,j_k} \tilde{A}_{n_{j_1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{A}_{n_{j_k}},$$

where the second sum runs over all strings $(j_1,\ldots,j_k) \in [r]^{\times k}$ such that no adjacent indices $j_i, j_{i+1}$ are equal. Since no $\tilde{A}_{n_j}$ has homology in positive degrees by Proposition 2.8.1, neither has $A_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}$. \hfill \Box

2.8.4. Lemma. The map $L_{n_1,\ldots,n_r} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. The same argument from Lemma 2.8.2 holds. \hfill \Box

We define the subcomplexes $L_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}^{1,1,\ldots,1} \subset L_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}^{1,1,\ldots,1} \subset \mathcal{L}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}$ spanned by Lie words in which each index occurs exactly once.

2.8.5. Corollary. The map $L_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}^{1,1,\ldots,1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}^{1,1,\ldots,1}$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

\(^2\)Let us describe in more detail how the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem applies here. In general, this Theorem states that for any graded Lie algebra $g$ there is an isomorphism (of coalgebras) $\text{Lie}(g) \rightarrow Ug$ between the symmetric coalgebra in $g$ and the universal enveloping algebra of $g$. In particular, if $g$ is a free Lie algebra, then the universal enveloping algebra $Ug$ is the free associative algebra in the same generators. This is precisely our situation.
2.9. Main Theorem. The properad $\text{Lie}^e\mathcal{B}$ is Koszul, i.e. the natural surjection (8) is a quasi-isomorphism.

*Proof.* The surjection (8) factors through the surjection (11),

$$
\pi : \text{Lie}^e\mathcal{B}_\infty \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{Lie}^e\mathcal{B}.
$$

In view of Theorem 2.7.1, the Main theorem is proven once it is shown that the morphism $\rho$ is a quasi-isomorphism. The latter statement is, in turn, proven once it is shown that the cohomology of the non-negatively graded dg properad $\mathcal{P}$ is concentrated in degree zero. For notation reasons it is suitable to work with the dg prop, $\mathcal{PP}$, generated by the properad $\mathcal{P}$. We also denote by $\text{LieP}$ the prop governing Lie algebras and by $\text{LieCP}$ the prop governing Lie coalgebras.

It is easy to see that the dg prop $\mathcal{PP} = \{\mathcal{PP}(m,n)\}$ is isomorphic, as a graded $\mathbb{S}$-bimodule, to the graded prop generated by a degree 1 corolla $\nu^1$, degree zero corollas $\nu^2 = - \nu^3$ and $\nu^2_2 = - \nu^1_1$, modulo the first three relations of (3) and the following ones,

$$
(18) \quad = 0, \quad = 0, \quad = 0.
$$

The latter prop can in turn be identified with the following collection of graded vector spaces,

$$
(19) \quad W(n,m) := \bigoplus_N (\text{LieP}(n,N) \otimes V^n \otimes \text{LieCP}(N,m))_{S_N}
$$

where $V$ is a two-dimensional vector space $V_0 \oplus V_1$, where $V_0 = \text{span}(\nu^1)$ and $V_1 = \text{span}(\nu^0)$. The isomorphism $W(n,m) \to \mathcal{PP}(n,m)$ is realized in the more or less obvious way, by mapping $\text{LieP}(n,N) \to \mathcal{PP}(n,N)$, $\text{LieCP}(N,m) \to \mathcal{PP}(N,m)$ and composing ”in the middle” with either the identity or $\nu^1$. The differential on $W(n,m)$ we define to be that induced by the differential on $\mathcal{PP}(n,m)$, given by the formula (10).

Let us consider a slightly different complex

$$
V_{n,m} = \bigoplus_N (\text{LieP}(n,N) \otimes V^n \otimes \text{AssCP}(N,m))_{S_N}
$$

$$
\cong \bigoplus_{N=1+\ldots+n_m} \bigoplus_{N=1+\ldots+n_m} (\text{LieP}(n,N) \otimes V^n \otimes \text{AssC}(n_1) \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{AssC}(n_m))_{S_{n_1} \times \ldots \times S_{n_m}},
$$

where $\text{AssCP}$ is the prop governing coassociative coalgebras and $\text{AssC}(n_j) = \text{AssCP}(n_j,1) \cong \mathbb{K}[S_{n_j}]$. The operad $\text{Ass} = \text{Com} \circ \text{Lie} = \bigoplus_k (\text{Com} \circ \text{Lie})^{(k)}$ is, as a $\mathbb{S}$-module, naturally graded with respect to the arity in $\text{Com}$. This decomposition induces a multigrading in $V_{n,m} = \bigoplus_{(k_1,\ldots,k_m)} V_{n;k_1,\ldots,k_m}$. It is clear that this decomposition is actually a splitting of complexes and in fact the direct summand $V_{n;1,\ldots,1}$ is just $W(n,m)$, therefore to show the theorem it suffices to show that the cohomology of $V_{n,m}$ is zero in positive degree.

There is a natural identification $\text{LieP}(n,N) \cong \left((\text{Lie}(y_1,\ldots,y_N))^\otimes_n \right)^{1,\ldots,1}$ where, as before, we use the notation 1, 1 to represent the subspace spanned by tensor products of words such that each index appears exactly once.

$(\text{LieP}(n,N) \otimes \text{AssC}(n_1) \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{AssC}(n_m))_{S_{n_1} \times \ldots \times S_{n_k}}$ is isomorphic to $\text{LieP}(n,N)$ but there is a more natural identification than the one above, namely the $y_j$ can be gathered by blocks of size $n_j$, according to the action of $S_1 \times \ldots \times S_{n_m}$ on $\text{LieP}(n,N)$ and can be relabeled accordingly:

$$
y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_N \sim y_1^1, y_1^2, \ldots, y_{n_1}^1, y_{n_1}^2, \ldots, y_{n_2}^1, \ldots, y_{n_1}^m, \ldots, y_{n_m}^m.
$$

Since $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$, there is a natural decomposition of

$$
V^n = \bigoplus_{\epsilon} V_{\epsilon_1}^{\epsilon_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{\epsilon_2}^{\epsilon_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{\epsilon_2}^{\epsilon_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{\epsilon_m}^{\epsilon_m} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{\epsilon_m}^{\epsilon_m},
$$
where \( \epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{nm}) \) runs through all strings of 0's and 1 of length \( N \). Then,

\[
\bigl( \text{Lie}P(n, N) \otimes V^\otimes N \otimes \text{AssC}(n_1) \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{AssC}(n_m) \bigr)_{S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{nm}} \\
= \bigoplus \epsilon \biggl( \text{Lie}P(n, N) \otimes V_{\tilde{e}_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{\tilde{e}_m} \otimes \text{AssC}(n_1) \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{AssC}(n_m) \biggr)_{S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{nm}} \\
= \bigoplus \epsilon \biggl( \text{Lie}P(n, N) \otimes V_{\tilde{e}_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{\tilde{e}_m} \biggr)
\]

Given a fixed a string \( \epsilon \) we look at the corresponding summand in the above direct sum individually. Our goal is to realize each summand as a subspace of a product of free Lie algebras

\[
\left( \left( \text{Lie}(x^1_1, \ldots, x^1_{n_1}, \ldots, x^n_{n_m}, u^1_{1,2}, \ldots, u^1_{n_1-1, \tilde{n}_1}, u^2_{1,2}, \ldots, u^m_{n_m-1, \tilde{n}_m}) \right) \otimes n \right)^{1, \ldots, 1},
\]

where \( \tilde{n}_i = n_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \epsilon_j^i \) and where the superscript shall indicate that each index occurs exactly once. We assume that bases of the one-dimensional spaces \( V_0, V_1 \) have been fixed. Then, using the bases we may identify

\[
\text{Lie}P(n, N) \otimes V_{\tilde{e}_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{\tilde{e}_m} = \text{Lie}P(n, N)
\]

Now, an element \( X \in \text{Lie}P(n, N) \) describes a way of taking an \( n \)-fold product of Lie words in \( N \) generators (or linear combinations thereof), say

\[
X(\underbrace{\ldots}_{n \ " slots"})
\]

Our map

\[
(21) \ \ \text{Lie}P(n, N) \cong \text{Lie}P(n, N) \otimes V_{\tilde{e}_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{\tilde{e}_m} \\
\to \left( \left( \text{Lie}(x^1_1, \ldots, x^1_{n_1}, \ldots, x^n_{n_m}, u^1_{1,2}, \ldots, u^1_{n_1-1, \tilde{n}_1}, u^2_{1,2}, \ldots, u^m_{n_m-1, \tilde{n}_m}) \right) \otimes n \right)^{1, \ldots, 1}
\]

is then realized by sending \( X \in \text{Lie}P(n, N) \) to \( X(y^1_1, \ldots, y^1_{n_1}, \ldots, y^n_{n_m}) \), where

\[
y_j^i = \begin{cases} \\
\frac{x_j^i}{u_{j,j+1}^i} & \text{if } \epsilon_j^i = 0, \text{ with } j = j + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \epsilon_k^i \ \\
\frac{u_j^i}{u_{j,j+1}^i} & \text{if } \epsilon_j^i = 1, \text{ with same } j
\end{cases}
\]

For example, consider the following element of \( (\text{Lie}P(2, 5) \otimes V^\otimes 5 \otimes \text{AssC}(3) \otimes \text{AssC}(2))_{S_3 \times S_2} \):

\[
\text{Lie}P(2, 5) \\
V^\otimes 5 \\
\text{AssC}(3) \otimes \text{AssC}(2)
\]

In the picture, we understand that the two corollas in the lower half correspond to a triple and a double (co-)product in \( \text{AssC}(3) \) and \( \text{AssC}(2) \), (co-)multiplying factors from left to right. Then the element in the picture is mapped to the expression \( \left[ [x^1_1, u^1_{2,3}], x^1_2 \right] \otimes [x^1_1, u^2_{2,3}] \).

With the map (21), the total space

\[
V_{n,m} = \bigoplus_{N} \bigoplus_{\epsilon} \text{Lie}P(n, N) \otimes V_{\epsilon_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{\epsilon_N} \otimes \text{AssC}(n_1) \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{AssC}(n_m)_{S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{nm}}
\]

can be seen as a sum of spaces of the form

\[
\left( \left( \text{Lie}(x^1_1, \ldots, x^1_{n_1}, \ldots, x^n_{n_m}, u^1_{1,2}, \ldots, u^1_{n_1-1, \tilde{n}_1}, u^2_{1,2}, \ldots, u^m_{n_m-1, \tilde{n}_m}) \right) \otimes n \right)^{1, \ldots, 1},
\]

Under this identification the differential sends the elements \( u^i_{j,j+1} \) to \( [x^i_j, x^i_{j+1}] \) and it is zero on the elements \( x^i_j \). Then the differential preserves the \( \tilde{n}_i \)'s therefore it preserves this direct sum.
We conclude that the complex $V_{n,m}$ splits as a sum of tensor products of complexes of the form $L_{p_1,\ldots, p_k}^1$, so from Corollary 2.8.5 we obtain that its cohomology is concentrated in degree zero. The proof of the main theorem is completed.

2.10. Remark. In applications of the theory of involutive Lie bialgebras to string topology, contact topology and quantum $\mathcal{A}ss_{\infty}$ algebras one is often interested in a version of the properad $\mathcal{L}ie\mathcal{B}$ in which degrees of $\mathcal{L}ie$ and co$\mathcal{L}ie$ operations differ by an even number, $|\lbrack \!, \, \rbrack| - |\triangle| = 2d$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

The arguments proving Koszulness of $\mathcal{L}ie\mathcal{B}$ work also for such degree shifted versions of $\mathcal{L}ie\mathcal{B}$. The same remark applies to the Koszul dual properads below.

One may also consider versions of the properad $\mathcal{L}ie\mathcal{B}$ where the $\mathcal{L}ie$ bracket and cobracket have degrees differing by an odd number, and have opposite symmetry. However, in this case the involutivity is trivially satisfied (by symmetry) and does not pose an additional relation. The Koszulness of the corresponding properad is hence much simpler to show, analogously to the Koszulness of $\mathcal{L}ie\mathcal{B}$.

2.11. Properads of Frobenius algebras. The properad of non-unital Frobenius algebras $\mathcal{F}rob_d$ in dimension $d$ is the properad generated by operations $\circlearrowleft = (-1)^d \circlearrowright$ (graded co-commutative comultiplication) of degree $d$ and $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$ (graded commutative multiplication) of degree 0, modulo the ideal generated by the following relations,

\begin{align*}
(22) & \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array} \circlearrowleft \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array} \circlearrowleft \begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 2 \end{array}, \\
& \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array} \circlearrowright \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array} \circlearrowright \begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 2 \end{array}, \\
& \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array} \circlearrowright \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array} \circlearrowright \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 1 \end{array}.
\end{align*}

For the purposes of this paper we will define the properad of non-unital Frobenius algebras to be $\mathcal{F}rob := \mathcal{F}rob_2$.

For example, the cohomology $H(\Sigma)$ of any closed Riemann surface $\Sigma$ is a Frobenius algebra in this sense. Comparing with section 2.4 we see that the properad $\mathcal{F}rob$ is isomorphic to the Koszul dual properad of $\mathcal{L}ie\mathcal{B}$, up to a degree shift $\mathcal{L}ie\mathcal{B}^! \cong (\mathcal{F}rob_2)^* \{1\}$. By Koszul duality theory of properads [V1], one hence obtains from Theorem 2.9 the following result.

2.11.1. Corollary. The properad of non-unital (symmetric) Frobenius algebras $\mathcal{F}rob$ is Koszul.

By adding the additional relation

\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} &= 0
\end{align*}

(which is automatic for $d$ odd) to the presentation of $\mathcal{F}rob_d$ we obtain the properad(s) of involutive Frobenius algebras $\mathcal{F}rob_d^\circ$. They are Koszul dual to the properads governing degree shifted Lie bialgebras (cf. Remark 2.10), and in particular $\mathcal{L}ie\mathcal{B}^! \cong (\mathcal{F}rob_2)^* \{1\}$. It then follows from the Koszulness of $\mathcal{L}ie\mathcal{B}$ (and its degree shifted relatives) that the properads $\mathcal{F}rob_d^\circ$ are Koszul, as noted in [V1, JF1, JF2].

The properad $\mathcal{F}rob$ of unital-counital Frobenius algebras is, by definition, a quotient of the free properad generated by degree zero corollas $\begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \end{array}$ (unit), $\begin{array}{c} \circlearrowright \end{array}$ (counit), $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$ (graded co-commutative comultiplication) and $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$ (graded commutative multiplication) modulo the ideal generated by the relations (22) and the additional relations

\begin{align*}
(23) & \quad \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowright \end{array} = 0, \\
& \quad \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowright \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \end{array} = 0.
\end{align*}

where the vertical line $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array}$ stands for the unit in the properad $\mathcal{F}rob$. Similarly one defines a properad $\mathcal{U}Frob$ of unital Frobenius algebras, and a properad $\mathcal{C}Frob$ of counital algebras. Clearly, $\mathcal{U}Frob$ and $\mathcal{C}Frob$ are subproperads of $\mathcal{U}Frob$. 
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2.11.2. **Theorem.** The properads $u\Frob$, $c\Frob$ and $uc\Frob$ are Koszul.

**Proof.** By curved Koszul duality theory [HM], it is enough\(^3\) to prove Koszulness of the associated quadratic properads, $qu\Frob$, $qc\Frob$ and $quc\Frob$, obtained from $u\Frob$, $c\Frob$ and, respectively, $uc\Frob$ by replacing inhomogeneous relations (23) by the following ones [HM],

$$\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (A) at (0,0) {$\circ$};
\node (B) at (1,0) {$\circ$};
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{array} = 0 \\
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (A) at (0,0) {$\circ$};
\node (B) at (1,0) {$\circ$};
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{array} = 0
\end{align*}$$

so that we have decompositions into direct sums of $S$-bimodules,

$$
\begin{align*}
\text{qu}\Frob = \text{span} \left< \begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (A) at (0,0) {$\circ$};
\node (B) at (1,0) {$\circ$};
\end{tikzpicture}\end{array} \right> \oplus \text{Frob} \\
\text{qc}\Frob = \text{span} \left< \begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (A) at (0,0) {$\circ$};
\node (B) at (1,0) {$\circ$};
\end{tikzpicture}\end{array} \right> \oplus \text{Frob}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\text{quc}\Frob = \text{span} \left< \begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (A) at (0,0) {$\circ$};
\node (B) at (1,0) {$\circ$};
\end{tikzpicture}\end{array} \right> \oplus \text{span} \left< \begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (A) at (0,0) {$\circ$};
\node (B) at (1,0) {$\circ$};
\end{tikzpicture}\end{array} \right> \oplus \text{span} \left< \begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (A) at (0,0) {$\circ$};
\node (B) at (1,0) {$\circ$};
\node (C) at (0.5,0) {$\circ$};
\end{tikzpicture}\end{array} \right> \oplus \text{Frob}.
\end{align*}
$$

where $\begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (A) at (0,0) {$\circ$};
\node (B) at (1,0) {$\circ$};
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{array}$ stands for the graph given in (5).

Consider, for example, the properad $qc\Frob$ (proofs of Koszulness of properads $qu\Frob$ and $quc\Frob$ can be given by a similar argument). Its Koszul dual properad $qc\Frob^! := qclie\B$ is generated by the properads $Lie\B$ and $\langle \begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (A) at (0,0) {$\circ$};
\node (B) at (1,0) {$\circ$};
\end{tikzpicture}\end{array} \rangle$ modulo the following relation,

$$\begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (A) at (0,0) {$\circ$};
\node (B) at (1,0) {$\circ$};
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{array} = 0.
\end{array}$$

We have,

$$qclie\B = (qc\Frob)^* \{1\} \cong \text{span} \left< \begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (A) at (0,0) {$\circ$};
\node (B) at (1,0) {$\circ$};
\end{tikzpicture}\end{array} \right> \oplus \text{Lie}\B,$

where $(\cdots)^*$ denotes the genus graded dual. It will suffice to show that the properad $qclie\B$ is Koszul. To this end consider the dg properad $\Omega(qclie\B)$ which is a free properad generated by corollas (6) and the following ones,

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (A) at (0,0) {$\circ$};
\node (B) at (1,0) {$\circ$};
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{array} = (-1)^\sigma
\end{array}$$

where $a \geq 0$, $n \geq 1$ and $\sigma \in S_n$ is an arbitrary permutation. The differential is given on corollas (6) by the standard formula (7) and on $(0,n)$-generators by

$$d \begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (A) at (0,0) {$\circ$};
\node (B) at (1,0) {$\circ$};
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{array} = \sum_{a=b+c+l-1}^{\sigma} \sum_{|\nu|=l\atop \#J\leq 1} \pm \begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (A) at (0,0) {$\circ$};
\node (B) at (1,0) {$\circ$};
\node (C) at (0.5,0) {$\circ$};
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{array},
\end{array}$$

where $l$ counts the number of internal edges connecting the two vertices on the right-hand side. There is a natural morphism of properads

$$\Omega(qclie\B^!) \longrightarrow qclie\B,$

which is a quasi-isomorphism if and only if $qclie\B$ is Koszul. Thus to prove Koszulness of $qclie\B$ it is enough to establish an isomorphism $H^*\Omega(qclie\B) \cong qclie\B$ of $S$-bimodules.

---

\(^3\)More precisely, one also has to check the technical Conditions (I) and (II) of [HM, section 4.1]. Condition (I) is obvious in our case. For Condition (II) let us temporarily use the notation of [HM, section 4.1]. Condition (II) then states that $(R)^{\leq 2} := (R) \cap F(V)^{\leq 2} = R$, with $F(V)^{\leq 2} := \{I \oplus V \oplus F(V)^{(2)}\}$. This is equivalent to saying that the image of $F(V)^{\leq 2}$ in $P$ (i.e., $F(V)^{\leq 2}/(R)^{\leq 2}$) is isomorphic to $F(V)^{\leq 2}/R$. But we have an explicit basis of $P$ in our case given by elements (4) and analogous elements with zero inputs or outputs as in (24) and (25). The subset of basis elements with at most two generators then yield a basis of $F(V)^{\leq 2}/(R)^{\leq 2}$. Hence we only need to check that the same elements also form a basis of $F(V)^{\leq 2}/R$, a fact which is again essentially obvious.
To do this, one may closely follow the proof of Theorem 2.9, adjusting it slightly so as to allow for the additional \((0, n)\)-ary generators. First, we define a properad \(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\) which is generated by the properad \(\mathcal{P}\) of section 2.7, together with an additional generator of arity \((0, 1)\), in pictures \(\circ_i\), with the additional relations\[ \circ_i = 0 \quad \circ_0 = 0. \]

The map \(\Omega(qc\text{Lie}\mathcal{B}^1) \to qc\text{Lie}\mathcal{B}\) clearly factors through \(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\)

\[ (27) \quad \Omega(qc\text{Lie}\mathcal{B}^1) \to \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \to qc\text{Lie}\mathcal{B} \]

and it suffices to show that both of the above maps are quasi-isomorphisms. Consider first the left-hand map. The fact that this map is a quasi-isomorphism may be proven by copying the proof of Theorem 2.7.1, except that now the functor \(F\) (as in section 2.7) is applied not to the cobar construction, \(\Omega_1(Lie\mathcal{B}^1)\) but to \(\Omega_1(qc\text{Lie}\mathcal{B}^1)\). Here

\[ qc\text{Lie}\mathcal{B}^1_2(m, n) = \begin{cases} Lie\mathcal{B}^1_2(m, n) & \text{if } m \neq 0 \\ K & \text{if } m = 0 \end{cases} \]

is the \(\frac{1}{2}\)-prop governing Lie bialgebras with a counit operation killed by the cobracket. More concretely, \(qc\text{Lie}\mathcal{B}^1_2(m, n)\) is the same as \(Lie\mathcal{B}^1_2(m, n)\) in all arities \((m, n)\) with \(m, n > 0\), but \(qc\text{Lie}\mathcal{B}^1_2(0, n)\) is one-dimensional, the extra operations corresponding to corollas \(\circ_i\).

One can check\(^4\) that the \(\frac{1}{2}\)-prop \(qc\text{Lie}\mathcal{B}^1_2\) is Koszul, i.e., that

\[ H(\Omega_1(qc\text{Lie}\mathcal{B}^1_2)) \cong qc\text{Lie}\mathcal{B}^1_2. \]

The properad \(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\) is obtained by applying the exact functor \(F\) to this \(\frac{1}{2}\)-prop, and hence, by essentially the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 11 the left-hand map of (27) is a quasi-isomorphism.

Next consider the right hand map of (27). It can be shown to be a quasi-isomorphism along the lines of the proof of Theorem 2.9. Again, it is clear that the degree zero cohomology of \(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\) is \(qc\text{Lie}\mathcal{B}\), so it will suffice to show that \(H^{>0}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) = 0\). First, let \(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\) be the prop generated by the properad \(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\). As a dg \(S\)-bimodule it is isomorphic to (cf. (19))

\[ \tilde{W}(n, m) := \bigoplus_{N, M} (LieP(n, N) \otimes V^\otimes N \otimes K^\otimes M \otimes LieCP(N + M, m))_{S_N \times S_M} \]

where \(V\) is as in (19). The above complex \(\tilde{W}(n, m)\) is a direct summand of the complex (cf. (20))

\[ \tilde{V}_{n, m} := \bigoplus_{N, M} (LieP(n, N) \otimes V^\otimes N \otimes K^\otimes M \otimes AssCP(N + M, m))_{S_N \times S_M} \]

by arguments similar to those following (20). Then again by the Koszulness results of section 2.8 it follows that the above complex has no cohomology in positive degrees, hence neither can \(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\) have cohomology in positive degrees. Hence we can conclude that the properad \(qc\text{Lie}\mathcal{B}\) is Koszul.

\[ \square \]

\(^4\)The piece of the \(\frac{1}{2}\)-prop \(\Omega_1(qc\text{Lie}\mathcal{B}^1_2)\) involving the additional generators is isomorphic to the complex \((E_1^{Lie}, d_1^{Lie})\) from [Me2] (see page 344). According to loc. cit. its cohomology is one-dimensional.
3. Deformation complexes

As one application of the Koszulness of $\mathcal{Lie}^g\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{Frob}$ we obtain minimal models $\mathcal{Lie}^g_{\infty} = \Omega(\mathcal{Lie}^g\mathcal{B}) = \Omega(\mathcal{Frob}^*\{1\})$ and $\mathcal{Frob}_{\infty} = \Omega((\mathcal{Lie}^g\mathcal{B})^*\{1\})$ of these properads and hence minimal models for their deformation complexes and for the deformation complexes of their algebras.

3.1 A deformation complex of an involutive Lie bialgebra. According to the general theory [MeVa], $\mathcal{Lie}^g_{\infty}$-algebra structures on a dg vector space $(\mathfrak{g}, d)$ can be identified with Maurer-Cartan elements, $\mathcal{MC}(\text{invLieB}(\mathfrak{g})) := \{ \Gamma \in \text{invLieB}(\mathfrak{g}) : |\Gamma| = 3 \text{ and } [\Gamma, \Gamma]|_{CE} = 0 \}$, of a graded Lie algebra,$^5$

$$\text{invLieB}(\mathfrak{g}) := \text{Def} \left( \mathcal{Lie}^g_{\infty} \xrightarrow{0} \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathfrak{g}} \right)[-2],$$

which controls deformations of the zero morphism from $\mathcal{Lie}^g_{\infty}$ to the endomorphism properad $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathfrak{g}} = \{ \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}, \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes m}) \}$. As a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space $\text{invLieB}(\mathfrak{g})$ can be identified with the vector space of homomorphisms of $\mathbb{S}$-bimodules,

$$\text{invLieB}(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{Hom}_G ((\mathcal{Lie}^g\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathfrak{g}})[-2] = \prod_{a \geq 0, n, n \geq 1} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n} \times \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}} (\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes m}[m + n + 2a - 2], \text{Hom} (\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}, \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes m})) [-2]$$

$$= \prod_{a \geq 0, n, n \geq 1} \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}[-1], \mathfrak{g}[-1]) [-2a]$$

$$\subset \odot^* (\mathfrak{g}[-1] \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{*[-1]} \otimes \mathbb{K}[-2]) \simeq \mathbb{K}[[\eta^i, \psi_i, h]]$$

where $h$ is a formal parameter of degree 2 (a basis vector of the summand $\mathbb{K}[-2]$ above), and, for a basis $(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_i, \ldots)$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ and the associated dual basis $(e^1, e^2, \ldots, e^i, \ldots)$ in $\mathfrak{g}^*$ we set $\eta^i := s e^i$, $\psi_i := s e_i$, where $s : V \to V[-1]$ is the suspension map. Therefore the Lie algebra $\text{invLieB}(\mathfrak{g})$ has a canonical structure of a module over the algebra $\mathbb{K}[[h]]$; moreover, for finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ its elements can be identified with formal power series$^6$, $f$, in variables $\psi_i$, $\eta^i$ and $h$, which satisfy the “boundary” conditions,

$$f(\psi, \eta, h)|_{\psi = 0} = 0, \quad f(\psi, \eta, h)|_{\eta = 0} = 0, \quad f(\psi, \eta, h)|_{h = 0} \in I^3$$

where $I$ is the maximal ideal in $\mathbb{K}[[\psi_i, \eta^i]]$. The Lie brackets in $\text{invLieB}(\mathfrak{g})$ can be read off either from the cooperator structure in $(\mathcal{Lie}^g\mathcal{B})^i$ or directly from the formula (7) for the differential, and are given explicitly by (cf. [DCTT]),

$$[f, g]_{\mathfrak{g}} := f \ast_{\mathfrak{g}} g - (-1)^{|f||g|} g \ast_{\mathfrak{g}} f$$

where (up to Koszul signs)

$$f \ast_{\mathfrak{g}} g := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^{k-1}}{k!} \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_k} \pm \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial \eta^{i_1} \cdots \partial \psi_{i_1} \cdots \partial \psi_{i_k}} \frac{\partial^k g}{\partial \eta^{i_1} \cdots \partial \psi_{i_1} \cdots \partial \psi_{i_k}}$$

is an associative product. Note that the differential $d_{\mathfrak{g}}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ gives rise to a quadratic element, $D_{\mathfrak{g}} = \sum_{i,j} d^i_j \psi_i \eta^j$, of homological degree 3 in $\mathbb{K}[[\eta^i, \psi_i, h]]$, where $d^i_j$ are the structure constants of $d_{\mathfrak{g}}$ in the chosen basis, $d_{\mathfrak{g}}(e_i) =: \sum_{j} d^i_j e_j$.

Finally, we can identify $\mathcal{Lie}^g_{\infty}$ structures in a finite dimensional dg vector space $(\mathfrak{g}, d_{\mathfrak{g}})$ with a homogenous formal power series,

$$\Gamma := D_{\mathfrak{g}} + f \in \mathbb{K}[[\eta^i, \psi_i, h]],$$

$^5$More precisely, $\text{invLieB}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Lie$(2)$-algebra, not a Lie algebra, i. e., the Lie bracket has degree $-2$. We will abuse notation and still call $\text{invLieB}(\mathfrak{g})$ a Lie algebra.

$^6$In fact, this is true for a class of infinite-dimensional vector spaces. Consider a category of graded vector spaces which are inverse limits of finite dimensional ones (with the corresponding topology and with the completed tensor product), and also a category of graded vector spaces which are direct limits of finite dimensional ones. If $\mathfrak{g}$ belongs to one of these categories, then $\mathfrak{g}^*$ belongs (almost by definition) to the other, and we have isomorphisms of the type $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g})^* = \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^*$ which are required for the “local coordinate” formulae to work.
of homological degree 3 such that
\[
\Gamma \ast_h \Gamma = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hbar^{k-1}}{k!} \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_k} \pm \frac{\partial^k \Gamma}{\partial \eta_{i_1} \cdots \eta_{i_k} \partial \psi_{i_1} \cdots \partial \psi_{i_k}} = 0,
\]
and the summand \( f \) satisfies boundary conditions (29).

For example, let
\[
(\Delta : V \to \wedge^2 V, \ [\ , \ ] : \wedge^2 V \to V)
\]
be a Lie bialgebra structure in a vector space \( V \) which we assume for simplicity to be concentrated in degree 0. Let \( C^k_{ij} \) and \( \Phi^k_{ij} \) be the associated structure constants,
\[
[x_i, x_j] =: \sum_{k \in I} C^k_{ij} x_k, \quad \Delta(x_k) =: \sum_{i, j \in I} \Phi^k_{ij} x_i \wedge x_j.
\]
Then it is easy to check that all the involutive Lie bialgebra axioms (3) get encoded into a single equation
\[
(\Delta \ast \Gamma, \Phi) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \Gamma := \sum_{i, j, k \in I} \left( C^k_{ij} \psi_k \eta^i \eta^j + \Phi^k_{ij} \eta^k \psi_i \psi_j \right).
\]
Note that all the above formulae taken modulo the ideal generated by the formal variable \( \hbar \) give us a Lie algebra,
\[
\text{LieB}(g) := \text{Def} \left( \mathcal{L}ieB_{\infty} \xrightarrow{0} \mathcal{E}nd_g \right) \left[ -2 \right] \cong \mathbb{K}[\psi_i, \eta^j]
\]
controlling the deformation theory of (not-necessarily involutive) Lie bialgebra structures in a dg space \( g \). Lie brackets in (32) are given in coordinates by the standard Poisson formula,
\[
\{ f, g \} = \sum_{i \in I} (-1)^{|f||\eta^i|} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \psi_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \eta^i} - (-1)^{|f||\psi|} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \psi_i}
\]
for any \( f, g \in \mathbb{K}[\psi_i, \eta^j] \). Formal power series, \( f \in \mathbb{K}[\psi_i, \eta^j] \), which have homological degree 3 and satisfy the equations,
\[
\{ f, f \} = 0, \quad f(\psi, \eta)|_{\psi, \eta = 0} = 0, \quad f(\psi, \eta)|_{\psi, \eta = 0} = 0,
\]
are in one-to-one correspondence with strongly homotopy Lie bialgebra structures in a finite dimensional dg vector space \( g \).

### 3.2. Deformation complexes of properads.

The deformation complex of a properad \( P \) is by definition the dg Lie algebra \( \text{Der}(P) \) of derivations of a cofibrant resolution \( \tilde{P} \to P \). (See the remarks at the end of the introduction for our slightly non-standard definition of \( \text{Der}(\ldots) \), and §5.1 in [Ta] for similar considerations in the operadic setting.). It may be identified as a complex with the deformation complex of the identity map \( \tilde{P} \to \tilde{P} \) (which controls deformations of \( P \)-algebras) up to a degree shift:
\[
\text{Der}(\tilde{P}) \cong \text{Def}(\tilde{P} \to \tilde{P})[1].
\]
Note however that both \( \text{Der}(P) \) and \( \text{Def}(\tilde{P} \to \tilde{P}) \) have natural dg Lie (or \( \text{Lie}_{\infty} \)) algebra structures that are not preserved by the above map. Furthermore, there is a quasi-isomorphism of dg Lie algebras
\[
\text{Def}(\tilde{P} \to \tilde{P}) \to \text{Def}(\tilde{P} \to P)
\]
The zeroth cohomology \( H^0(\text{Der}(\tilde{P})) \) is of particular importance. It is a differential graded Lie algebra whose elements act on the space of \( \tilde{P} \) algebra structures on any vector space. We shall see that in the examples we are interested in this dg Lie algebra is very rich, and that it acts non-trivially in general.

Using the Koszulness of the properads \( \text{LieB}, \ Frob^o \) from [MaVo, Ko] and the Koszulness of \( \text{Lie}_{\infty}^{\text{B}} \) and \( \text{Frob} \) from Theorem 2.9 and Corollary 2.11.1 we can write down the following models for the deformation
complexes.

\[
\text{Der}(\text{Lie}\mathcal{B}_\infty) = \prod_{n,m \geq 1} \text{Hom}_{S_n \times S_m}((\text{Frob}_2)^*\{1\} (n,m), \text{Lie}\mathcal{B}_\infty(n,m))[1] \\
\cong \prod_{n,m \geq 1} (\text{Lie}\mathcal{B}_\infty(n,m) \otimes \text{sgn}_n \otimes \text{sgn}_m)^{S_n \times S_m} [3 - n - m] \\
\text{Der}(\text{Lie}\mathcal{B}_\infty) = \prod_{n,m \geq 1} \text{Hom}_{S_n \times S_m}((\text{Frob}_2)^*\{1\} (n,m), \text{Lie}\mathcal{B}_\infty(n,m))[1] \\
\cong \prod_{n,m \geq 1} (\text{Lie}\mathcal{B}_\infty(n,m) \otimes \text{sgn}_n \otimes \text{sgn}_m)^{S_n \times S_m} [3 - n - m] [[h]] \\
\text{Der}(\text{Frob}_\infty) = \prod_{n,m \geq 1} \text{Hom}_{S_n \times S_m}((\text{Lie}\mathcal{B}_2)^*\{1\} (n,m), \text{Frob}_\infty(n,m))[1] \\
\text{Der}(\text{Frob}_\infty) = \prod_{n,m \geq 1} \text{Hom}_{S_n \times S_m}((\text{Lie}\mathcal{B}_2)^*\{1\} (n,m), \text{Frob}_\infty(n,m))[1]
\]

Here \( h \) is a formal variable of degree 2, \( \text{Frob}_2^2/\text{Lie}\mathcal{B}_2 \) are \( \text{Frob}_2^2/\text{Lie}\mathcal{B}_2 \) are analogues of (involutive) Frobenius/Lie bialgebras properads with \((2,1)\) generator placed in degree zero the \((1,2)\)-generator placed in degree 2. Each of the models on the right has a natural combinatorial interpretation as a graph complex, cf. also [MaVo, section 1.7]. For example \( \text{Der}(\text{Lie}\mathcal{B}_\infty) \) may be interpreted as a complex of directed graphs which have incoming and outgoing legs but have no closed paths of directed edges. The differential is obtained by splitting vertices and by attaching new vertices at one of the external legs, see Figure 1.

Similarly, \( \text{Der}(\text{Lie}\mathcal{B}_\infty) \) may be interpreted as a complex of \( h \)-power series of graphs with weighted vertices. The differential is obtained by splitting vertices and attaching vertices at external legs as indicated in Figure 2. The Lie bracket is combinatorially obtained by inserting graphs into vertices of another. We leave it to the reader to work out the structure of the graph complexes and the differentials for the complexes \( \text{Der}(\text{Frob}_\infty) \) and \( \text{Der}(\text{Frob}_\infty^2) \).
The cohomology of all these graph complexes is hard to compute. We may however simplify the computation by using formula (34) and equivalently compute instead
\[
\text{Def}(\text{Lie}B_\infty \to \text{Lie}B) = \prod_{n,m} \text{Hom}_{S_n \times S_m}((\text{Frob}_B^\infty)^{\ast} \{1\}(n, m), \text{Lie}B(n, m))
\]
\[
\cong \prod_{n,m} ((\text{Lie}B(n, m) \otimes \text{sgn}_n \otimes \text{sgn}_m)^{S_n \times S_m}[2 - n - m]
\]
\[
\text{Def}(\text{Lie}B_\infty \to \text{Lie}B) = \prod_{n,m} \text{Hom}_{S_n \times S_m}((\text{Frob}_B^\infty)^{\ast} \{1\}(n, m), \text{Lie}B(n, m))
\]
\[
\cong \prod_{n,m} ((\text{Lie}B(n, m) \otimes \text{sgn}_n \otimes \text{sgn}_m)^{S_n \times S_m}[2 - n - m][\hbar]}
\]
\[
\text{Def}(\text{Frob}_\infty \to \text{Frob}) = \prod_{n,m} \text{Hom}_{S_n \times S_m}((\text{Frob}_B^\infty)^{\ast} \{1\}(n, m), \text{Frob}(n, m))
\]
\[
\text{Def}(\text{Frob}_\infty \to \text{Frob}) = \prod_{n,m} \text{Hom}_{S_n \times S_m}((\text{Frob}_B^\infty)^{\ast} \{1\}(n, m), \text{Frob}(n, m)).
\]

Note however that in passing from $\text{Def}(\ldots)$ to the (quasi-isomorphic) simpler complexes $\text{Def}(\ldots)$ above we lose the dg Lie algebra structure, or rather there is a different Lie algebra structure on the above complexes. The above complexes may again be interpreted as graph complexes. For example $\text{Def}(\text{Lie}B_\infty \to \text{Lie}B)$ consists of oriented trivalent graphs with incoming and outgoing legs, modulo the Jacobi and Drinfeld five term relations. The differential is obtained by attaching a trivalent vertex at one external leg in all possible ways.

Finally we note that of the above four deformation complexes only two are essentially different. For example, note that $\text{Hom}_{S_n \times S_m}(\text{Lie}B^\ast\{1\}(n, m), \text{Frob}(n, m))$ is just a completion of
\[
\text{Hom}_{S_n \times S_m}((\text{Frob}_B^\infty)^{\ast} \{1\}(n, m), \text{Lie}B(n, m)) \cong (\text{Frob}_B^\infty(n, m) \otimes \text{sgn}_n \otimes \text{sgn}_m) \otimes_{S_n \times S_m} \text{Lie}B(n, m)[n - m]
\]
Concretely, the completion is with respect to the genus grading of $\text{Lie}B$, and the differential preserves the genus grading. Hence the cohomology of one complex is just the completion of the cohomology of the other with respect to the genus grading.

Similar arguments show that the cohomologies $\text{Def}(\text{Lie}B_\infty \to \text{Lie}B)$ and $\text{Def}(\text{Frob}_\infty \to \text{Frob})$ are the same up to completion issues. Here the differential does not preserve the genus but preserves the quantity (genus)-($\hbar$-degree). Hence it suffices to discuss one of each pair of deformation complexes. We will discuss $\text{Def}(\text{Lie}B_\infty \to \text{Lie}B)$ and $\text{Def}(\text{Lie}B_\infty \to \text{Lie}B)$ in the next section.

### 4. Oriented graph complexes and the $\mathfrak{grt}_1$ action

The goal of this section is to reduce the computation of the above deformation complexes to the computation of the cohomology of M. Kontsevich’s graph complex. By a result of one of the authors [W1] the degree zero cohomology of this graph complex agrees with the Grothendieck-Teichmüller Lie algebra $\mathfrak{grt}_1$. This will allow us to conclude that the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group universally acts on $\text{Lie}B_\infty$ structures. This extends the well known result that the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group acts on Lie bialgebra structures.

#### 4.1. Grothendieck-Teichmüller group

The profinite and pronipotent Grothendieck-Teichmüller groups were introduced by Vladimir Drinfeld in his study of braid groups and quasi-Hopf algebras. They turned out to be one of the most interesting and mysterious objects in modern mathematics. The profinite Grothendieck-Teichmüller group $\hat{GT}$ plays an important role in number theory and algebraic geometry. The pro-unipotent Grothendieck-Teichmüller group $GT$ (and its graded version $GRT$) over a field of characteristic zero appeared in Pavel Etingof and David Kazhdan’s solution of Drinfeld’s quantization conjecture for Lie bialgebras. Maxim Kontsevich’s and Dmitry Tamarkin’s formality theory unravels the role of the group $GRT$ in the deformation quantization of Poisson structures. Later Anton Alekseev and Charles Torossian applied $GRT$ to the Kashiwara-Vergne problem in Lie theory. The Grothendieck-Teichmüller group unifies different fields, and every time this group appears in a mathematical theory, there follows a breakthrough in that theory. We refer to Hidekazu Furusho’s lecture note [F] for precise definitions and references.
In this paper we consider the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group $GRT_1$ which is the kernel of the canonical morphism of groups $GRT \to \mathbb{K}^\ast$. As $GRT_1$ is prounipotent, it is of the form $\exp(\mathfrak{grt}_1)$ for some Lie algebra $\mathfrak{grt}_1$ whose definition can be found, for example, in §6 of [W1]. Therefore to understand representations of $GRT_1$ is the same as to understand representations of the Grothendieck-Teichmüller Lie algebra $\mathfrak{grt}_1$.

### 4.2. Completed versions of $\mathcal{L}ie^eB$ and $\mathcal{L}ieB$

The properads $\mathcal{L}ieB$ and $\mathcal{L}ie^eB$ are naturally graded by the genus of the graphs describing the operations. We will denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{L}ieB}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{L}ie^eB}$ the completions with respect to this grading. Similarly, we denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{L}ieB}_\infty$ the completion of $\mathcal{L}ieB$ with respect to the genus grading. The natural map $\mathcal{L}ieB_\infty \to \widehat{\mathcal{L}ieB}_\infty$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Furthermore, we denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{L}ie^eB}_\infty$ the completion of $\mathcal{L}ie^eB$ with respect to the genus plus the total weight-grading, i.e., with respect to the grading $\|\cdot\|$ described in section 2.5. Then the map $\mathcal{L}ie^eB_\infty \to \widehat{\mathcal{L}ie^eB}_\infty$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

We will call a continuous representation of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}ieB}(\mathfrak{g})$ (respectively of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}ie^eB}(\mathfrak{g})$) a genus complete (involutive) Lie bialgebra. Here the topology on $\widehat{\mathcal{L}ieB}$ (respectively on $\widehat{\mathcal{L}ie^eB}$) is the one induced by the genus filtration (respectively the filtration $\|\cdot\|$). For example, the involutive Lie bialgebra discussed in section 2.2.1 is clearly genus complete since both the cobracket and the bracket reduce the lengths of the cyclic words.

Abusing notation slightly we will denote by $\text{Def}(\mathcal{L}ieB_\infty)$ (respectively by $\text{Der}(\mathcal{L}ie^eB_\infty)$) the complex of continuous derivations. The sub-properads $\mathcal{L}ieB_\infty \subset \widehat{\mathcal{L}ieB}_\infty$ and $\mathcal{L}ie^eB_\infty \subset \widehat{\mathcal{L}ie^eB}_\infty$ are dense by definition and hence any continuous derivation is determined by its restriction to these sub-properads. It also follows that the above complexes of derivations are isomorphic as complexes to $\text{Def}(\mathcal{L}ieB_\infty) \to \widehat{\mathcal{L}ieB}_\infty)[1]$ and $\text{Der}(\mathcal{L}ie^eB_\infty) \to \widehat{\mathcal{L}ie^eB}_\infty)[1]$. Finally we note that the cohomology of these complexes is merely the completion of the cohomology of the complexes $\text{Def}(\mathcal{L}ieB_\infty)$ and $\text{Der}(\mathcal{L}ie^eB_\infty)$, since the differential respects the gradings.

### 4.3. An operad of graphs $\mathcal{G}ra^\dagger$

A graph is called directed if its edges are equipped with directions as in the following examples,

- \[\begin{array}{c}
  & \swarrow \\
  \searrow &
\end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c}
  \swarrow \\
  \searrow &
\end{array}\]

A directed graph is called oriented or acyclic if it contains no directed closed paths of edges. For example, the second graph above is oriented while the first one is not. For arbitrary integers $n \geq 1$ and $l \geq 0$ let $G_{n,l}^\dagger$ stand for the set of connected oriented graphs, $\{\Gamma\}$, with $n$ vertices and $l$ edges such that the vertices of $\Gamma$ are labelled by elements of $[n] := \{1, \ldots, n\}$, i.e. an isomorphism $V(\Gamma) \to [n]$ is fixed. We allow graphs with multiple edges between two vertices throughout.

Let $\mathbb{K}(G_{n,l}^\dagger)$ be the vector space over a field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic zero which is spanned by graphs from $G_{n,l}^\dagger$, and consider a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $S_n$-module,

$$
\mathcal{G}ra^\dagger(n) := \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{K}(G_{n,l}^\dagger)[2l].
$$

For example, \[\begin{array}{c}
  \searrow \\
  \nearrow &
\end{array}\] is a degree $-2$ element in $\mathcal{G}ra^\dagger(2)$. The $S$-module, $\mathcal{G}ra^\dagger := \{\mathcal{G}ra(n)^\dagger\}_{n \geq 1}$, is naturally an operad with the operadic compositions given by

$$
o_i : \mathcal{G}ra^\dagger(n) \otimes \mathcal{G}ra^\dagger(m) \to \mathcal{G}ra^\dagger(m + n - 1)
\text{ with } \Gamma_1 \otimes \Gamma_2 \mapsto \sum_{\Gamma \in G_{n,m}^\dagger} \Gamma
$$

where $G_{n,m}^\dagger$ is the subset of $G_{n+m-1,\#E(\Gamma_1) + \#E(\Gamma_2)}^\dagger$ consisting of graphs, $\Gamma$, satisfying the condition: the full subgraph of $\Gamma$ spanned by the vertices labeled by the set $\{i, i+1, \ldots, i+m-1\}$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma_2$ and the quotient graph $\Gamma/\Gamma_2$ (which is obtained from $\Gamma$ obtained by contracting that subgraph $\Gamma_2$ to a single vertex) is isomorphic to $\Gamma_1$, see, e.g., §7 in [Me1] or §2 in [W1] for explicit examples of this kind of operadic compositions. The unique element in $G_{1,0}^\dagger$ serves as the unit in the operad $\mathcal{G}ra^\dagger$. 
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4.3.1. A representation of $\mathcal{Gra}^\dagger$ in $\mathbf{LieB}(g)$. For any graded vector space $g$ the operad $\mathcal{Gra}^\dagger$ has a natural representation in the associated graded vector space $\mathbf{LieB}(g)$ (see (32)),

\[
\rho : \mathcal{Gra}^\dagger(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathbf{LieB}(g)}(n) = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{LieB}(g)^{\otimes n}, \mathbf{LieB}(g))
\]

given by the formula,

\[
\Phi_\Gamma : \otimes^n \mathbf{LieB}(g) \rightarrow \mathbf{LieB}(g)
\]

\[
\gamma_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \gamma_n \rightarrow \Phi_\Gamma(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n) := \mu \left( \left( \prod_{e \in E(\Gamma)} \Delta_e \right) \gamma_1(\psi, \eta) \otimes \gamma_2(\psi, \eta) \otimes \ldots \otimes \gamma_n(\psi, \eta) \right)
\]

where, for an edge $e = a \xrightarrow{f} b$ connecting a vertex labeled by $a \in [n]$ and to a vertex labelled by $b \in [n]$, we set

\[
\Delta_e \left( \gamma_1 \otimes \gamma_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \gamma_n \right) = \begin{cases} 
\sum_{i \in I} (-1)^{|\eta_i|(|\gamma_i|+|\gamma_{i+1}|+\ldots+|\gamma_{b-1}|)} \gamma_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \frac{\partial \eta_i}{\partial \psi_i} \otimes \ldots \otimes \frac{\partial \gamma_{a-1}}{\partial \psi_i} \otimes \ldots \otimes \gamma_n & \text{for } a < b \\
\sum_{i \in I} (-1)^{|\psi_i|(|\gamma_i|+|\gamma_{i+1}|+\ldots+|\gamma_{b-1}|+|\gamma_{b}|)} \gamma_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial \eta_i} \otimes \ldots \otimes \frac{\partial \gamma_{a-1}}{\partial \eta_i} \otimes \ldots \otimes \gamma_n & \text{for } b < a
\end{cases}
\]

and where $\mu$ is the standard multiplication map in the ring $\mathbf{LieB}(g) \subset \mathbb{K}[[\psi_1, \eta_1]]$.

Note that this representation makes sense for both finite- and infinite dimensional vector spaces $g$ as graphs from $\mathcal{Gra}^\dagger$ do not contain oriented cycles.

4.3.1. Remark. The above action of $\mathcal{Gra}^\dagger$ on $\text{Def} \left( \mathbf{LieB}_\infty \xrightarrow{0} \mathcal{E}nd_g \right)[-2]$ only uses the properadic compositions in $\mathcal{E}nd_g$ and no further data. It follows that the same formulas may in fact be used to define an action of $\mathcal{Gra}^\dagger$ on the deformation complex

\[
\text{Def} \left( \mathbf{LieB}_\infty \xrightarrow{0} \mathcal{P} \right)[-2] \cong \prod_{m,n} (\mathcal{P}(m,n) \otimes \text{sgn}_m \otimes \text{sgn}_n)^{S_m \times S_n} [-m-n]
\]

for any properad $\mathcal{P}$. To give a more concrete description of the action, let us identify $S_m \times S_n$-coinvariants with invariants by symmetrization, and let us describe an action on the space of coinvariants

\[
\prod_{m,n} (\mathcal{P}(m,n) \otimes \text{sgn}_m \otimes \text{sgn}_n)^{S_m \times S_n} [-m-n]
\]

instead. Concretely, let $\Gamma \in \mathcal{Gra}^\dagger(n)$ be a graph with $n$ vertices and let

\[
x_j \in (\mathcal{P}(m_j, n_j) \otimes \text{sgn}_{m_j} \otimes \text{sgn}_{n_j})^{S_m \times S_n}
\]

for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. If some vertex $j$ of $\Gamma$ has more then $n_j$ outgoing or more then $m_j$ incoming edges, then we define the action to be trivial: $\Gamma(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$. Otherwise, we want to interpret the directed graph $\Gamma$ as a properadic composition pattern. For notational simplicity, we assume that the $x_j$ are actual elements of $\mathcal{P}(m_j, n_j)$, representing the corresponding elements of the coinvariant space. Suppose that for each vertex $j$ of $\Gamma$ an injective map from the set of the (say $k_j$ many) incoming half-edges at $j$ to $\{1, \ldots, m_j\}$, and an injective map from the set of (say $l_j$ many) outgoing half-edges at $j$ to $\{1, \ldots, n_j\}$ is fixed. Denote the collection of those maps (for all $j$) by $f$ for concreteness. Then we may define

\[
\Gamma_f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathcal{P} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n (m_j - k_j), \sum_{j=1}^n (n_j - l_j) \right)
\]

obtained by using the appropriate properadic composition. (The overall inputs and outputs are to be ordered according to the numbering of the vertices). Then we define our desired action to be

\[
\Gamma(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := \sum_{f} \pm \Gamma_f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)
\]

where the $f$ in the sum runs over assignments of half-edges to inputs/outputs as above. The sign can be determined by considering half-edges and inputs/outputs of the $x_j$ as odd objects. The sign is then the sign of the permutation bringing each half-edge "to the left of" the input/output it is assigned to via $f$. 
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4.4. An oriented graph complex. Let $\mathcal{L}ie\{2\}$ be a (degree shifted) operad of Lie algebras, and let $\mathcal{L}ie_\infty\{2\}$ be its minimal resolution. Thus $\mathcal{L}ie\{2\}$ is a quadratic operad generated by degree $-2$ skewsymmetric binary operation,
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The Lie brackets, \([\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{gra}}\), in \(fGC_3^{or}\) can be either read from the differential (38), or, equivalently, from the following explicit Lie algebra structure [KM] associated with the degree shifted operad \(Gra_3^{1}\{3\}\) (and which makes sense for any operad),

\[
\begin{align*}
[\cdot, \cdot] : & \mathbb{P} \otimes \mathbb{P} \\
& (a \in \mathbb{P}(n), b \in \mathbb{P}(m)) \rightarrow [a, b] := \sum_{i=1}^{n} a \circ_i b - (-1)^{|a||b|} \sum_{i=1}^{m} b \circ_i a
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\mathbb{P} := \prod_{n \geq 1} Gra_1^+(n)[3 - 3n]\). These Lie brackets in \(\mathbb{P}\) induce Lie brackets, \([\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{gra}}\), in the subspace of \(S\)-coinvariants [KM]. By the isomorphism of invariants and co-invariants we obtain a Lie bracket on the space of invariants

\[
P^S := \prod_{n \geq 1} Gra_1^+(n)[3 - 3n]^{S_n} = fGC_3^{or}.
\]

via the standard symmetrization map \(\mathbb{P} \rightarrow P^S\).

The graph

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\textbullet \\ \textbullet \\ \textbullet \\
\textbullet \\ \textbullet \\ \textbullet \\
\end{array}
\]

is a degree \(2 \cdot 3 - 3 - 2 = 1\) element in \(fGC_3^{or}\), which, in fact, is a Maurer-Cartan element,

\[
[\begin{array}{c}
\textbullet \\ \textbullet \\ \textbullet \\
\textbullet \\ \textbullet \\ \textbullet \\
\end{array}] = \text{skewsymmetrization of the r.h.s. in (39) = 0,}
\]

which represents the above morphism \(\varphi\) in the Lie algebra \(fGC_3^{or}\). This element makes, therefore, \(fGC_3^{or}\) into a differential graded Lie algebra with the differential

\[
d\Gamma := [\begin{array}{c}
\textbullet \\ \textbullet \\ \textbullet \\
\textbullet \\ \textbullet \\ \textbullet \\
\end{array}, \Gamma]_{\text{gra}}.
\]

Let \(GC_3^{or}\) be a subspace of \(fGC_3^{or}\) spanned by connected graphs whose vertices are at least bivalent, and if bivalent do not have one incoming and one outgoing edge. It is easy to see that this is a dg Lie subalgebra.

**4.4.1. Remark.** The definition of \(GC_3^{or}\) in [W1] differs slightly from the present one as all bivalent vertices are allowed in loc. cit. However, it is easy to check that this extra condition does not change the cohomology.

The cohomology of the oriented graph complex \((GC_3^{or}, d)\) was partially computed in [W1, W3].

**4.4.2. Theorem [W3].** (i) \(H^0(GC_3^{or}, d) = grt_1\), where \(grt_1\) is the Lie algebra of the prounipotent Grothendieck-Teichmüller group \(GRT_1\) introduced by Drinfeld in [D2].

(ii) \(H^{-1}(GC_3^{or}, d) \cong \mathbb{K}\). The single class is represented by the graph \(\begin{array}{c}
\textbullet \\ \textbullet \\ \textbullet \\
\textbullet \\ \textbullet \\ \textbullet \\
\end{array}\).

(iii) \(H^i(GC_3^{or}, d) = 0\) for all \(i \leq -2\).

**4.5. Action on \(\widehat{LieB}_\infty\).** There is a natural action of \(GC_3^{or}\) on the properad \(\widehat{LieB}_\infty\) by properadic derivations. Concretely, for any graph \(\Gamma\) we define the derivation \(F(\Gamma) \in \text{Der}(\widehat{LieB}_\infty)\) sending the generator \(\mu_{m,n}\) of \(\widehat{LieB}_\infty\) to the linear combination of graphs

\[
(42) \mu_{m,n} \cdot \Gamma = \sum_{n \times m} \begin{array}{c}
\text{\textbullet} \\
\text{\textbullet} \\
\vdots \\
\text{\textbullet} \\
\text{\textbullet} \\
\end{array} \Gamma
\]

where the sum is taken over all ways of attaching the incoming and outgoing legs such that all vertices are at least trivalent and have at least one incoming and one outgoing edge.

**4.5.1. Lemma.** The above formula defines a right action of \(GC_3^{or}\) on \(\widehat{LieB}_\infty\).

**Proof sketch.** We denote by \(\bullet\) the pre-Lie product on the deformation complex \(\text{Def}(Lie_\infty\{2\} \to Gra_1^+) \supset GC_3^{or}\), so that the Lie bracket on \(GC_3^{or}\) may be written as \([\Gamma, \Gamma'] = \Gamma \bullet \Gamma' + \Gamma' \bullet \Gamma\) for \(\Gamma, \Gamma' \in GC_3^{or}\). Note that

\[
(43) \mu_{m,n} \cdot (\Gamma \bullet \Gamma') = (\mu_{m,n} \cdot \Gamma) \cdot \Gamma'.
\]
where it is important that we excluded graphs with bivalent vertices with one incoming and one outgoing edge from the definition of \( GC_3^{or} \). It follows that the formula above defines an action of the graded Lie algebra \( GC_3^{or} \). We leave it to the reader to check that this action also commutes with the differential. Of course, by a change of sign the right action may be transformed into a left action and hence we obtain a map of Lie algebras

\[
F: GC_3^{or} \to \text{Der}(\widehat{\text{Lie}B_{\infty}}).
\]

Interpreting the right hand side as a graph complex as in section 3.2, the map \( F \) sends a graph \( \Gamma \in GC_3^{or} \) to the series of graphs

\[
\pm \sum_{m,n} \sum_{\text{m×n}} \Gamma
\]

4.5.2. Remark. It can be shown that the map \( F: GC_3^{or} \to \text{Der}(\widehat{\text{Lie}B_{\infty}}) \) is a quasi-isomorphism, up to one class in \( \text{Der}(\widehat{\text{Lie}B_{\infty}}) \) represented by the series

\[
\sum_{m,n} (m + n - 2) \sum_{\text{m×n}} \Gamma.
\]

The result will not be used directly in this paper. The proof is an adaptation of the proof of [W3, Proposition 3] and is given in [CMW].

4.6. \( GRT_1 \) action on Lie bialgebra structures. The action of the Lie algebra of closed degree zero cocycles \( GC_{3,cl}^{or} \subseteq GC_3^{or} \) on \( \widehat{\text{Lie}B_{\infty}} \) by derivations may be integrated to an action of the exponential group \( \text{Exp} GC_{3,cl}^{or} \) on \( \widehat{\text{Lie}B_{\infty}} \) by (continuous) automorphisms. Hence this exponential group acts on the set of \( \widehat{\text{Lie}B_{\infty}} \) algebra structures on any dg vector space \( g \), i.e., on the set of morphisms of properads

\[
\widehat{\text{Lie}B_{\infty}} \to \text{End}_g
\]

by precomposition. Furthermore, it follows that the cohomology Lie algebra \( H^0(GC_3^{or}) \cong grt_1 \) maps into the Lie algebra of continuous derivations up to homotopy \( H^0(\text{Der}(\widehat{\text{Lie}B_{\infty}})) \) and the exponential group \( \text{Exp} H^0(GC_3^{or}) \cong GRT_1 \) maps into the set of homotopy classes of continuous automorphisms of \( \widehat{\text{Lie}B_{\infty}} \).

4.6.1. Remark. Note also that one may define a non-complete version \( GC_{3,inc}^{or} \) of the graph complex \( GC_3^{or} \) by merely replacing the direct product by a direct sum in (40). The zeroth cohomology of \( GC_{3,inc}^{or} \) is a non-complete version of the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group. Furthermore \( GC_{3,inc}^{or} \) acts on the non-completed operad \( \text{Lie}B_{\infty} \) by derivations, using the formulas (42) of the previous subsection, and hence also on \( \text{Lie}B_{\infty} \) algebra structures. However, these actions can in general not be integrated, whence we work with the completed properad \( \widehat{\text{Lie}B_{\infty}} \) above.

Finally, let us describe the action \( GC_{3,cl}^{or} \) on Lie bialgebra structures in yet another form. We have a sequence of morphisms of \( \text{dg} \) Lie algebras,

\[
GC_3^{or} \to \text{Def}(\text{Lie}_{\infty}\{2\} \to \mathcal{Gra}^+) \to \text{Def}(\text{Lie}_{\infty}\{2\}) \to \text{End}_{\text{Lie}(g)}(\text{Lie}_{\infty}\{2\}) \to \text{End}_{\text{Lie}(g)}(\text{Lie}_{\infty}\{2\})
\]

where the first arrow is just the inclusion, and the second arrow is induced by the canonical representation (36) and which obviously satisfies

\[
\rho \circ \varphi = \{ , \} \in \text{Hom}(\wedge^2\text{LieB}, \text{LieB}[-2]) \subseteq \text{Def}(\text{Lie}_{\infty}\{2\}) \to \text{End}_{\text{Lie}(g)}(\text{Lie}_{\infty}\{2\}).
\]

\[ A \text{ sketch of the proof was contained as an Appendix in the preprint version of this article, but removed following the suggestion of a referee. } \]
The dg Lie algebra,
\[ \text{Def}(\text{Lie}_\infty \{2\}) \xrightarrow{\{\cdot\}} \text{End}_{\text{LieB}(g)} =: CE^\bullet(\text{LieB}(g)), \]
is nothing but the classical Chevalley-Eilenberg complex controlling deformations of the Poisson brackets (33) in \( \text{LieB}(g) \). In particular for any closed degree zero element \( g \in \text{GC}_0^\text{or} \), and in particular for representatives of elements of \( \text{gr}_1 \) in \( \text{GC}_0^\text{or} \), we obtain a \( \text{Lie}_\infty \) derivation of \( \text{LieB}(g) \). This derivation may be integrated into a \( \text{Lie}_\infty \) automorphism \( \exp(ad_g) \). For a Maurer-Cartan element \( \gamma \) in \( \text{LieB}(g) \) corresponding to a \( \text{LieB}_\infty \) structure on \( g \) the series
\[ \gamma \mapsto \exp(ad_g)\gamma \]
converges and defines again a \( \text{LieB}_\infty \) structure on \( g \).

4.6.2. Remark. By degree reasons the above action on \( \text{LieB}_\infty \) structures maps \( \text{LieB} \) structures again to \( \text{LieB} \) structures. In other words, no higher homotopies are created if there were none before.

4.7. Another oriented graph complex. We shall introduce next a new oriented graph complex and then use Theorem 4.4.2 to partially compute its cohomology and then deduce formulae for an action of \( GRT_1 \) on involutive Lie bialgebra structures.

Let \( h \) be a formal variable of homological degree 2. The Lie brackets \( [\ ,\ ]_{\text{gra}} \) in \( \text{GC}_3^\text{or} \) extend \( h \)-linearly to the topological vector space \( \text{GC}_3^\text{or}[[h]] \).

4.8. Proposition. The element
\[ \Phi_h := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h^{k-1} \]

is a Maurer-Cartan element in the Lie algebra \( (f\text{GC}_3^\text{or}[[h]], [\ ,\ ]_{\text{gra}}) \).

Convention: Here we adopt the convention that a picture of an unlabeled graph with black vertices shall stand for the element of \( f\text{GC}_3^\text{or}/\text{GC}_3^\text{or} \) (i.e., a symmetrically labelled graph) by summing over all labelings of vertices, and dividing by the order of the symmetry group of the graph. In particular this means that the \( k \)-th term in the above formula for \( \Phi_h \) carries an implicit prefactor \( \frac{1}{k!} \). This convention will kill many many prefactors arising in calculations.

Proof of Proposition 4.8.

\[
\frac{1}{2} [\Phi_h, \Phi_h] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} h^{k+l-2} \left( \sum_{k'=k''} \sum_{l'=l''} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} h^{k+l-2} \left( \sum_{k'=k''} \sum_{l'=l''} \right) = 0.
\]

Hence the degree one continuous map
\[ d_h : \text{GC}_3^\text{or}[[h]] \rightarrow \text{GC}_3^\text{or}[[h]] \]
is a differential in \( \text{GC}_3^\text{or}[[h]] \). The induced differential, \( d \), in \( \text{GC}_3^\text{or} = \text{GC}_3^\text{or}[[h]]/h\text{GC}_3^\text{or}[[h]] \) is precisely the original differential (41).

4.9. Action on \( \text{LieB}_\infty \). The dg Lie algebra \( (\text{GC}_3^\text{or}[[h]], d_h) \) acts naturally on the properad \( \text{LieB}_\infty \) by continuous properadic derivations. More precisely, let \( \Gamma \in \text{GC}_3^\text{or} \) be a graph. Then to the element \( h^N \Gamma \in \text{GC}_3^\text{or}[[h]] \) we assign the derivation of \( \text{LieB}_\infty \) that sends the generator \( \mu_{m,n}^k \) to zero if \( k < N \) and to
\[ \mu_{m,n}^k \cdot (h^N \Gamma) = \text{mark}_{k-N}(\mu_{m,n} \cdot \Gamma) \]
where \( \mu_{m,n} \cdot \Gamma \) is a series of graphs obtained attaching external legs to \( \Gamma \) in all possible ways as in (42) and the operation \( \text{mark}_{k-N} \) assigns weights to the vertices in all possible ways such that the weights sum to \( k - N \).
4.9.1. Lemma. The above formula defines a right action of \((\mathbb{G}C^0_3[[\hbar]], d_{\hbar})\) on \(\mathbb{L}ie\mathbb{B}_\infty\).

Proof sketch. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.5.1 after noting that

\[
\mu_{m,n}^k \cdot (h^N \Gamma \cdot h^M \Gamma') = (\mu_{m,n}^k \cdot h^N \Gamma) \cdot h^M \Gamma'
\]

for all \(M, N \) and \(\Gamma, \Gamma' \in \mathbb{G}C^0_3\).

Again, by a change of sign the right action may be transformed into a left action and hence we obtain a map of Lie algebras

\[
F_{\hbar} : \mathbb{G}C^0_3[[\hbar]] \to \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}ie\mathbb{B}_\infty).
\]

4.9.2. Remark. It can be seen that the map \(F_{\hbar}\) is a quasi-isomorphism, up to classes \(T\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]] \subset \text{Der}(\mathbb{L}ie\mathbb{B}_\infty)\), where

\[
T = \sum_{m,n,p} (m + n + 2p - 2)h^p \underbrace{\ldots}_{\text{m times}} \underbrace{\ldots}_{\text{n times}}.
\]

The result will not be used in this paper, and the proof will appear elsewhere [CMW].

4.10. Action on involutive Lie bialgebra structures. By the previous subsection the Lie algebra of degree 0 cocycles in \(\mathbb{G}C^0_3[[\hbar]]\) acts on the properad \(\mathbb{L}ie\mathbb{B}_\infty\) by derivations. The action may be integrated to an action of the corresponding exponential group on \(\mathbb{L}ie\mathbb{B}_\infty\) by continuous automorphisms, and hence also on the set of \(\mathbb{L}ie\mathbb{B}_\infty\) algebra structures on some dg vector space by precomposition. Furthermore, the cohomology Lie algebra \(H^0(\mathbb{G}C^0_3[[\hbar]])\) maps into the Lie algebra of continuous derivations up to homotopy \(H^0(\text{Der}(\mathbb{L}ie\mathbb{B}_\infty))\), while the exponential group \(\exp(H^0(\mathbb{G}C^0_3[[\hbar]])\) maps into the set of homotopy classes of continuous automorphisms of \(\mathbb{L}ie\mathbb{B}_\infty\). By precomposition, we also have a map of Lie algebras

\[
H^0(\mathbb{G}C^0_3[[\hbar]]) \to H^0(\text{Def}(\mathbb{L}ie\mathbb{B}_\infty \to \text{End}\mathfrak{g}))
\]

for any \(\mathbb{L}ie\mathbb{B}_\infty\) algebra \(\mathfrak{g}\) and an action of \(\exp(H^0(\mathbb{G}C^0_3[[\hbar]]))\) on the set of such algebra structures up to homotopy. Let us encode these findings in the following corollary.

4.10.1. Corollary. The Lie algebra \(H^0(\mathbb{G}C^0_3[[\hbar]])\) and its exponential group \(\exp(H^0(\mathbb{G}C^0_3[[\hbar]]))\) canonically act on the set of homotopy classes of graded complete strong homotopy involutive Lie bialgebra structures on the dg vector space \(\mathfrak{g}\).

4.10.2. Remark. Note again that, analogously to Remark 4.6.1, we may define a non-complete version of the graph complex \(\mathbb{G}C^a_3[[\hbar]]\) which acts on the non-complete properad \(\mathbb{L}ie\mathbb{B}_\infty\) by derivations, and hence also on ordinary Lie bialgebra structures. However, these actions can in general not be integrated, whence we prefer to work with the complete version of the graph complex and \(\mathbb{L}ie\mathbb{B}_\infty\).

Finally let us give yet another description of the action of \(\mathbb{G}C^0_3[[\hbar]]\) on involutive Lie bialgebra structures, strengthening the above result a little. As usual, the deformation complex of the zero morphism of dg props, \(\text{InvLieB}(\mathfrak{g}) := \text{Def}(\mathbb{L}ie\mathbb{B}_\infty \to \text{End}\mathfrak{g})\) has a canonical dg Lie algebra structure, with the Lie bracket \([ , ]_h\) given explicitly by (30), such that the Maurer-Cartan elements are in 1-to-1 correspondence with \(\mathbb{L}ie\mathbb{B}_\infty\) structures on the dg vector space \(\mathfrak{g}\). The Maurer-Cartan element \(\Phi_h\) in \(\mathbb{fGC}_0^a[[\hbar]]\) corresponds to a continuous morphism of operads,

\[
\varphi_h : \text{Lie}\{2\}[[\hbar]] \to \mathcal{G}ra^+[[\hbar]],
\]

given on the generator of \(\text{Lie}\{2\}\) by the formula

\[
\varphi_h \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h^{k-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} \underbrace{\ldots}_{k-1} \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \right).
\]

The representation (36) of the operad \(\mathcal{G}ra^+\) in the deformation complex \(\text{Def}(\mathbb{L}ie\mathbb{B}_\infty \to \mathcal{P})[-2]\) extends \(h\)-linearly to a representation \(\rho_h\) of \(\mathcal{G}ra^+[[\hbar]]\) in \(\text{Def}(\mathbb{L}ie\mathbb{B}_\infty \to \mathcal{P})[-2]\) for any properad \(\mathcal{P}\). Furthermore it is almost immediate to see that the action of \(\text{Lie}\{2\}\) on the latter deformation complex factors through the map \(\text{Lie}\{2\} \to \mathcal{G}ra^+[[\hbar]]\).
It follows that one has a morphism of dg Lie algebras induced by $\varphi_h$

$$(GC_{3}^{or}[[h]], d_h) \rightarrow \text{Def} \left( \text{Lie}_{\infty}(2) [[h]] \overset{\varphi_h}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{G} \text{ra}^{\uparrow}[[h]] \right) \overset{\text{End}_D}{\rightarrow} \text{Def} \left( \text{Lie}_{\infty}(2) [[h]] \overset{1}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{E} \text{d} \right) =: CE^{\bullet}(D)$$

from the graph complex $(GC_{3}^{or}[[h]], d_h)$ into the Chevalley-Eilenberg dg Lie algebra of $D := \text{Def}(\text{LieB}_{\infty} \overset{0}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{P})[-2]$. In particular this implies the following:

4.11. Theorem. $H^0(GC_{3}^{or}[[h]], d_h) \simeq H^0(GC_{3}^{or}, d_0) \simeq \mathfrak{g} \text{lt}_1$ as Lie algebras. Moreover, $H^i(GC_{3}^{or}[[h]], d_h) = 0$ for all $i \leq -2$ and $H^{-1}(GC_{3}^{or}[[h]], d_h) \cong \mathbb{K}$, with the single class being represented by

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)h^{k-2}.$$

Proof. First note that the element above is exactly $\frac{d}{dh} \Phi_h$ and the fact that it is closed follows easily by differentiating the Maurer-Cartan equation

$$0 = \frac{d}{dh}[\Phi_h, \Phi_h]_{\text{gra}} = 2[\Phi_h, \frac{d}{dh} \Phi_h]_{\text{gra}} = 2dh \left( \frac{d}{dh} \Phi_h \right).$$

It is easy to see that the cocycle $\frac{d}{dh} \Phi_h$ cannot be exact, by just considering the leading term in $h$, which is given by the following graph.

![Graph](44)

Let us write

$$d_h = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h^{k-1}d_k.$$

Consider a decreasing filtration of $GC_{3}^{or}[[h]]$ by the powers in $h$. The first term of the associated spectral sequence is

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{E}_1^i, \quad \mathcal{E}_1^i = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} H^{i-2p}(GC_{3}^{or}, d_0) h^p$$

with the differential equal to $hd_1$. The main result of [W3] states that $H^0(GC_{3}^{or}, d_0) \simeq \mathfrak{g} \text{lt}_1$, $H^{\leq -2}(\mathcal{G} \text{C}_{3}^{or}, d_0) = 0$ and $H^{-1}(\mathcal{G} \text{C}_{3}^{or}, d_0) \cong \mathbb{K}$, with the single class being represented by (44). The desired results follow by degree reasons: First, there is clearly no cohomology in $\mathcal{E}_1$ in degrees $\leq -2$, so that there can be no such cohomology in $GC_{3}^{or}[[h]]$. The single class in $\mathcal{E}_1$ of degree $-1$ may, as we just saw above, be extended to a cocycle in $GC_{3}^{or}[[h]]$, and hence will be killed by all further differentials in the spectral sequence. Hence no elements of degree 0 in $\mathcal{E}_1$ can be rendered exact on later pages of the spectral sequence. Hence the only thing that remains to be shown is that the degree 0 elements in $\mathcal{E}_1$ can be extended to cocycles, i.e., that they are closed on all further pages of the spectral sequence. However, the differential on later pages will necessarily increase the number of $h$’s occurring. Hence the differential on later pages will map the degree 0 part of $\mathcal{E}_1$ (i.e., $\mathfrak{g} \text{lt}_1$) into (subquotients of) $H^{1-2p}(GC_{3}^{or}, d_0)$ for $p \geq 1$. But by the aforementioned vanishing result of [W3], there are no such classes, except possibly for $p = 1$, when $H^{-1}(GC_{3}^{or}, d_0) \cong \mathbb{K}$. However, the relevant cocycle is represented by $h$ times the two-vertex graph (44), which cannot be “hit” because the differential increases the number of vertices by one, and all elements of $\mathfrak{g} \text{lt}_1$ are represented by graphs with more than one (in fact, more than 6) vertices. (See also the following section.)

4.11.1. Remark. The above result in particular provides us with an action of the group $GRT_1$ on the set of homotopy classes of $\text{LieB}_{\infty}$-structures on an arbitrary differential graded vector space $\mathfrak{g}$. 30
4.11.2. Iterative construction of graph representatives of elements of \( \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{t}_1 \). The above Theorem 4.11 says that any degree zero graph \( \Gamma \in \mathsf{GC}_d^r \) satisfying the cocycle condition, \( d_0 \Gamma_0 = 0 \), can be extended to a formal power series,

\[
\Gamma_h = \Gamma_0 + h\Gamma_1 + h^2\Gamma_2 + \ldots,
\]

satisfying the cocycle condition \( d_h\Gamma_h = 0 \). Let us show how this inductive extension works in detail. The equation \( d_h^2 = 0 \) implies, for any \( n \geq 0 \), \( \sum_{i,j \geq 0} d_i d_j = 0 \), which in turn reads,

\[
\begin{align*}
   d_0^2 &= 0 \\
   d_0 d_1 + d_1 d_0 &= 0 \\
   d_0 d_2 + d_2 d_0 + d_1^2 &= 0 \quad \text{etc.}
\end{align*}
\]

Thus the equation \( d_0 \Gamma_0 = 0 \) implies

\[
0 = d_1 d_0 \Gamma_0 = -d_0 d_1 \Gamma_0
\]

The oriented graph \( d_1 \Gamma_0 \in \mathsf{GC}_3^r \) has degree \(-1\) and \( H^{-1}(\mathsf{GC}_3^r, d_0) \cong \mathbb{K} \). Since the one cohomology class cannot be hit (its leading term has necessarily only two vertices), there exists a degree \(-2\) graph \( \Gamma_1 \) such that \( d_1 \Gamma_0 = -d_0 \Gamma_1 \) so that

\[
d_h(\Gamma_0 + h\Gamma_1) = 0 \mod h^2
\]

Assume by induction that we constructed a degree zero polynomial,

\[
\Gamma_0 + h\Gamma_1 + \ldots + h^n \Gamma_n \in \mathsf{GC}_d^r[[h]]
\]

such that

\[
d_h(\Gamma_0 + h\Gamma_1 + \ldots + h^n \Gamma_n) = 0 \mod h^{n+1}.
\]

Let us show that there exists an oriented graph \( \Gamma_{n+1} \) of degree \(-2n-2\) such that

\[
d_h(\Gamma_0 + h\Gamma_1 + \ldots + h^n \Gamma_n + h^{n+1} \Gamma_{n+1}) = 0 \mod h^{n+2}.
\]

or, equivalently, such that

\[
d_0 \Gamma_{n+1} + d_{n+1} \Gamma_0 + \sum_{i,p,q \geq 1} d_i \Gamma_j = 0.
\]

Equation (45) implies, for any \( j \leq n \),

\[
d_0 \Gamma_j + d_j \Gamma_0 + \sum_{i,p,q \geq 1} d_p \Gamma_q = 0.
\]

We have

\[
0 = d_{n+1} d_0 \Gamma_0 = -d_0 d_{n+1} \Gamma_0 - \sum_{n+1 \leq i+j \leq 1} d_i d_j \Gamma_0
\]

\[
= -d_0 d_{n+1} \Gamma_0 + \sum_{n+1 \leq i+j \leq 1} d_i d_0 \Gamma_j + \sum_{n+1 \leq i+p+q \leq 1} d_i d_p \Gamma_q
\]

\[
= -d_0 d_{n+1} \Gamma_0 - \sum_{n+1 \leq i+j \leq 1} d_0 d_i \Gamma_j - \sum_{n+1 \leq i+p+q \leq 1} d_i d_p \Gamma_q + \sum_{n+1 \leq i+p+q \leq 1} d_i d_p \Gamma_q
\]

\[
= -d_0 \left( d_{n+1} \Gamma_0 + \sum_{n+1 \leq i+j \leq 1} d_i \Gamma_j \right)
\]

As \( H^{-1-2n}(\mathsf{GC}_d^r, d_0) = 0 \) for all \( n \geq 1 \), there exists a degree \(-2-2n\) graph \( \Gamma_{n+1} \) such that the required equation (46) is satisfied. This completes an inductive construction of \( \Gamma_h \) from \( \Gamma_0 \).
4.12. Deformations of Frobenius algebra structures. Note that the complexes $\Def(Frob^\infty_\infty \to Frob^\infty)$ and $\Def(\mathcal{L}ie\mathcal{B}_\infty \to \hat{\mathcal{L}}ie\mathcal{B})$ are isomorphic. (This is because deformation complexes of Koszul dual properads are isomorphic, up to completion issues due to dualizing infinite dimensional vector spaces.) We hence have a zigzag of (quasi-)isomorphisms of complexes

$$\text{Der}(Frob^\infty_\infty) \to \text{Def}(Frob^\infty_\infty \to Frob^\infty)[1] \cong \text{Def}(\mathcal{L}ie\mathcal{B}_\infty \to \hat{\mathcal{L}}ie\mathcal{B})[1] \to \text{Der}(\hat{\mathcal{L}}ie\mathcal{B}_\infty).$$

In particular we obtain a map $^8$

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 \to H^0(\text{Der}(\hat{\mathcal{L}}ie\mathcal{B}_\infty)) \cong H^0(\text{Der}(Frob^\infty_\infty)).$$

Hence we obtain a large class of homotopy non-trivial derivations of the properad $Frob^\infty_\infty$ and accordingly a large class of potentially homotopy non-trivial universal deformations of any $Frob^\infty_\infty$ algebra.

4.12.1. Remark. From the above map $\mathfrak{g}_1 \to H^0(\text{Der}(Frob^\infty_\infty))$ we obtain a map $\mathfrak{g}_1 \to H^1(\text{Def}(Frob^\infty_\infty \to \text{End}_A))$ for any $Frob^\infty_\infty$ algebra $A$, and hence a large class of universal deformations of $Frob^\infty_\infty$ structures on $A$.

Next consider the Frobenius properad $Frob$ and let $\hat{\mathcal{F}}rob$ be its genus completion. Analogously to section 4.2 let $\hat{\mathcal{F}}rob_\infty$ be the completion of $Frob_\infty$ with respect to the total genus and let $\text{Der}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}rob_\infty)$ be the continuous derivations. Note that the complex $\text{Def}(Frob_\infty \to \hat{\mathcal{F}}rob)$ is isomorphic to the complex $\text{Def}(\mathcal{L}ie\mathcal{B}_\infty \to \hat{\mathcal{L}}ie\mathcal{B})$.

We hence obtain a zigzag of quasi-isomorphisms

$$\text{Der}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}rob_\infty) \to \text{Def}(Frob_\infty \to \hat{\mathcal{F}}rob)[1] \cong \text{Def}(\mathcal{L}ie\mathcal{B}_\infty \to \hat{\mathcal{L}}ie\mathcal{B})[1] \to \text{Der}(\hat{\mathcal{L}}ie\mathcal{B}_\infty).$$

In particular we obtain a map

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 \to H^0(\text{Der}(\hat{\mathcal{L}}ie\mathcal{B}_\infty)) \cong H^0(\text{Der}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}rob_\infty)).$$

Consider the explicit construction of representatives of $\mathfrak{g}_1$-elements of section 4.11.2. The $h^n$-correction term $\Gamma_n$ to some graph cohomology class $\Gamma$ of genus $g$ has genus $g+n$. It follows that the map $\mathfrak{g}_1 \to H^0(\text{Der}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}rob_\infty))$ in fact factors through $H^0(\text{Der}(Frob_\infty))$ and in particular we have a map

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 \to H^0(\text{Der}(Frob_\infty))$$

and hence a map from $\mathfrak{g}_1$ into the deformation complex of any $Frob_\infty$ algebra.

4.12.2. Remark. From the map $\mathfrak{g}_1 \to H^0(\text{Der}(Frob_\infty))$ we obtain a map $\mathfrak{g}_1 \to H^1(\text{Def}(Frob_\infty \to \text{End}_A))$ for any $Frob_\infty$ algebra $A$, and hence a large class of universal deformations of $Frob_\infty$ structures on $A$.

5. Involutive Lie bialgebras as homotopy Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie bialgebra. Then it is a well known fact the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of $\mathfrak{g}$ (i.e., the cobar construction of the Lie coalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$) $CE(\mathfrak{g}) = \circ^* \mathfrak{g}[-1]$ carries a Gerstenhaber algebra structure. Concretely, the commutative algebra structure is the obvious one. To define the Lie bracket (of degree -1) it is sufficient to define it on the generators $\mathfrak{g}[-1]$, where it is given by the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Similarly, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is an $\mathcal{L}ie\mathcal{B}$ algebra, then $CE(\mathfrak{g}) = \circ^* \mathfrak{g}[-1]$ carries a natural Batalin-Vilkovisky (BV) algebra structure. The product and Lie bracket are as before. The BV operator $\Delta$ is defined on a word $x_1 \cdots x_n$ as

$$\Delta(x_1 \cdots x_n) = - \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j} [x_i, x_j] x_1 \cdots \hat{x}_i \cdots \hat{x}_j \cdots x_n.$$

The involutivity condition is needed for the BV operator to be compatible with the differential. Now suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a $\mathcal{L}ie\mathcal{B}_\infty$ algebra. We call it good if for any fixed $m$ only finitely many of the generating operations $\mu_{m,n} \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}^\otimes n, \mathfrak{g}^\otimes m)$ are non-zero. Then one may define the Chevalley complex $CE(\mathfrak{g}) = \circ^* \mathfrak{g}[-1]$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ as a $\mathcal{L}ie\mathcal{B}_\infty$ coalgebra. It is known (see, e.g. Remark 1 of [W3]) that $CE(\mathfrak{g}) = \circ^* \mathfrak{g}[-1]$ carries a natural homotopy Gerstenhaber structure. In this section we show that similarly, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is a good $\mathcal{L}ie\mathcal{B}_\infty$ algebra, then the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex $CE(\mathfrak{g})$ carries a natural homotopy BV algebra structure.

---

*In fact, the first arrow is an injection and almost an isomorphism by Remark 4.5.2.*
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5.1. The order of an operator. Let $V$ be a graded commutative algebra. For a linear operator $D : V \to V$ define a collection,

$$F^D_n : \bigotimes^n V \to V$$

of linear maps by induction: $F^D_0 = D$, $F^D_n(v_1, \ldots, v_n) = F^D_{n+1}(v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}, v_n, v_{n+1}) = F^D_n(v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}, v_n \cdot v_{n+1}) - F^D_n(v_1, \ldots, v_n) \cdot v_{n+1} - (-1)^{|v_n||v_{n+1}|} F^D_n(v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}, v_{n+1}) \cdot v_n$.

The operator $D$ is said to have order $\leq n$ if $F^D_{n+1} = 0$.

The operators $F^D_n$ are in fact graded symmetric; moreover, if $D$ is a differential in $V$ (that is, $|D| = 1$ and $D^2 = 0$), then the collection, $\{F^D_n : \bigotimes^n V \to V\}_{n \geq 1}$ defines a $\mathcal{L}ie_\infty$-structure on the space $V[-1]$ (see [Kr]). Indeed, consider a graded Lie algebra,

$$\text{CoDer}(\otimes^* V) \cong \prod_{n \geq 1} \text{Hom}_\mathbb{K}(\bigotimes^n V, V),$$

of coderivations of the tensor coalgebra $\otimes^* V$. As the differential $D : V \to V$ is a Maurer-Cartan element in this Lie algebra and the multiplication $\mu : \bigotimes^2 V \to V$ is its degree zero element, we can gauge transform $D$,

$$D \mapsto F^D := e^{-\mu} De^\mu = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{n!} [[D, \mu], \mu, \ldots, \mu],$$

into a less trivial codifferential whose components the associated components,

$$F^D = \left\{ F^D_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n!} [[D, \mu], \mu, \ldots, \mu] : \bigotimes^n V \to V \right\}_{n \geq 0}$$

coincide precisely with the defined above tensors $F^D_{n+1}$ which measure a failure of $D$ to respect the multiplication operation in $V$. There is a standard symmetrization functor which associates to any $A_\infty$ algebra an associated $\mathcal{L}ie_\infty$ algebra; as the tensors $F^D_{n+1}$ are already graded symmetric [Kr], the collection $\{F^D_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ gives us a $\mathcal{L}ie_\infty$ structure in $V[-1]$ as required. (Some of these arguments appeared also in [DCPT, Ma2].)

5.2. Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras. A Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra is, by definition, a graded commutative algebra $V$ equipped with a degree $-1$ operator $\Delta : V \to V$ of order $\leq 2$ such that $\Delta^2 = 0$. Denote by $BV$ the operad whose representations are Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras. This is, therefore, a graded operad generated by corollas,

$$\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
1
\end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2
\end{array} = \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
3
\end{array}$$

of homological degrees $-1$ and $0$ respectively, modulo the following relations,

$$\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2
\end{array} = 0, \quad \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2
\end{array} 3 = \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2
\end{array} 3, \quad \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
3
\end{array} = 2 \sum_{n=0}^2 \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
3
\end{array} - 2 \sum_{n=0}^2 \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
3
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
2 \\
3
\end{array}$$

where $\zeta$ is the cyclic permutation $(123)$. A nice non-minimal cofibrant resolution of the operad $BV$ has been constructed in [GTV]. We denote this resolution by $BV^K_\infty$ in this paper, $K$ standing for Koszul. The minimal resolution, $BV_\infty$, has been constructed in [DCV].
5.3. An operad $\mathbf{BV}^{\text{com}}$. A $\mathbf{BV}^{\text{com}}_\infty$-algebra is, by definition [Kr], a differential graded commutative algebra $(V, d)$ equipped with a countable collections of homogeneous linear maps, $\{\Delta_a : V \to V, |\Delta_a| = 1 - 2a\}_{a \geq 1}$, such that each $\Delta_a$ is of order $\leq a + 1$ and the equations,

\begin{equation}
\sum_{a=0}^{n} \Delta_a \circ \Delta_{n-a} = 0,
\end{equation}

hold for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\Delta_0 := -d$.

Let $\mathbf{BV}^{\text{com}}_\infty$ be the dg operad of $\mathbf{BV}^{\text{com}}_\infty$-algebras. This operad is a quotient of the free operad generated by one binary operation in degree zero, $\bigotimes_2^1 = \bigotimes_1^1$, and a countable family of unary operations, $\big{\bigotimes}_a$ (of homological degree $1 - 2a$), modulo the ideal $I$ generated by the associativity relations for the binary operation $\bigotimes_2^1$ and the compatibility relations between the latter and unary operations encoding the requirement that each unary operation $\bigotimes_1^1$ is of order $\leq a + 1$ with respect to the multiplication operation. The differential $\delta$ in the operad $\mathbf{BV}^{\text{com}}_\infty$ is given by

\begin{equation}
\delta \bigotimes_2^1 = 0, \quad \delta \bigotimes_1^1 := \sum_{a=b+c, \ k \geq 1} \bigotimes.
\end{equation}

There is an explicit morphism of dg operads (see Proposition 23 in [GTV])$^9$,

$$\mathbf{BV}^K_\infty \longrightarrow \mathbf{BV}^{\text{com}}_\infty,$$

which implies existence of a morphism of dg operads $\mathbf{BV}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{BV}^{\text{com}}_\infty$. The existence of such a morphism follows also from the following Theorem whose proof is given in Appendix B.

5.3.1. Theorem. The dg operad $\mathbf{BV}^{\text{com}}_\infty$ is formal with the cohomology operad $H^\bullet(\mathbf{BV}^{\text{com}}_\infty)$ isomorphic to the operad, $\mathbf{BV}$, of Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras, i.e. there is a canonical surjective quasi-isomorphism of operads, $\pi : \mathbf{BV}^{\text{com}}_\infty \longrightarrow \mathbf{BV}$

which sends to zero all generators $\bigotimes_1^1$ with $a \geq 2$.

5.4. From strongly homotopy involutive Lie bialgebras to $\mathbf{BV}_\infty$-algebras. We call a Lie$^\circ\mathcal{B}_\infty$ algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ good if for any fixed $m$ and $k$ only finitely many of the operations $\mu_{m,n}^k \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}, \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes m})$ are non-zero. In this case we define the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex $CE(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigotimes^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ as an $\mathbf{BV}_\infty$ coalgebra. More concretely, for a finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ we may understand the Lie$^\circ\mathcal{B}_\infty$ algebra structure as a formal power series $\Gamma_h = \Gamma_h(\psi_i, \eta^j, h)$ as explained in section 3.1. Using similar notation, we may understand the space $CE(\mathfrak{g})$ as the space of polynomials in the variables $\psi_i$. Then the differential $\Delta_0$ on $CE(\mathfrak{g})$ is given by the formula

$$\Delta_0 := \sum_i \frac{\partial \Gamma_h}{\partial \eta^i} \bigg|_{h=\eta^i=0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi_i}.$$  

5.4.1. Proposition. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a good Lie$^\circ\mathcal{B}_\infty$ algebra, with the Lie$^\circ\mathcal{B}_\infty$ algebra structure being defined a power series $\Gamma_h = \Gamma_h(\psi_i, \eta^j, h)$ as explained in section 3.1. Then there is a natural $\mathbf{BV}^{\text{com}}_\infty$ algebra structure $\rho$ on the complex $CE(\mathfrak{g})$ given by the formulas:

$$\rho\left(\bigotimes_1^1\right) := \text{the standard multiplication in } \bigotimes^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[h]$$

and, for any $a \geq 1$,

$$\rho\left(\bigotimes_1^a\right) := \sum_{p+k=a+1, k \geq 1, p \geq 0} \frac{1}{p!k!} \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_k} \frac{\partial^{a+1} \Gamma_h}{\partial \psi_{i_1} \partial \eta^{i_2} \cdots \partial \eta^{i_k}} \bigg|_{h=\eta^j=0} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \psi_{i_1} \cdots \partial \psi_{i_k}}.$$ 

$^9$We are grateful to Bruno Vallette for pointing out this result to us.
Proof. It is clear that \( \Delta_a := \rho \left( \begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array} \right) \) is an operator of order \( \leq a + 1 \) with respect to the standard multiplication in the graded commutative algebra \( \mathfrak{g}^*([1]) \). The verification that the operators \( \{ \Delta_a \}_{a \geq 0} \) satisfy identities (47) is best done pictorially. We represent the expression on the right hand side by the picture

\[
\rho \left( \begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array} \right) = \sum_{a \geq 0} \rho \left( \begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array} \right)
\]

Then we compute

\[
\rho \left( \delta \begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array} \right) = \sum_{a \geq 0} \rho \left( \begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array} \right) = \sum_{a \geq 0} \sum_{b,c \geq 1} \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{l \geq 0} \sum_{p,k \geq 0} \sum_{q,l \geq 0} \left( \begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array} \right) \delta \left( \begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array} \right)
\]

Here we hide the binomial prefactors in the notation by assuming that a picture is preceded by a factor \( \frac{1}{\rho \mathfrak{g}^*([1])} \), where \( G \) is the symmetry group of the picture. Note that terms with \( k' = 0 \) can be dropped from the sum on the right-hand side by symmetry. Indeed, the piece \( k' = 0 \) of the sum is symmetric under interchange of \( (p,k'') \) and \( (q,l) \), and can hence be written as a linear combination of anticommutators of anticommuting operators. Pictorially, the signs are best verified by thinking of the vertices in the pictures to be odd, so that in particular graphs which have symmetries acting by an odd permutation on the vertices vanish, and we have

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\hline
\end{array}
\end{array}
\hline
\end{array}
\end{array}
+ \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\hline
\end{array}
\end{array}
\hline
\end{array} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\hline
\end{array}
\end{array}
\hline
\end{array} = 0.
\]

We hence find

\[
\rho \left( \delta \begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array} \right) = \sum_{a \geq 0} \rho \left( \begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array} \right)
\]

On the other hand\(^{10}\)

\[
\delta \rho \left( \begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array} \right) = \sum_{a \geq 0} \delta \rho \left( \begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array} \right)
\]

There are several cancellations in this expression. First, the terms with \( k' = 0 \) from the second sum cancel the fourth sum by the same symmetry argument as above. The remaining terms of the second sum, and the

\(^{10}\)To see the vanishing of the first term in the second line, consider the (zero) differential operator 
\[ f \mapsto (\Gamma_h * h) \] 
for \( f \in K[[\psi^*, h]] \). The depicted terms then correspond to the coefficient of \( h^n \) of this vanishing differential operator.
the equivalent statement that the Koszul dual algebra $B$.

To define $h$, generated by $V$.

In this section we show that the quadratic algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$ that the Koszul dual algebra $B_n = \mathcal{A}_n^!$ is Koszul. Concretely, $B_n$ is the algebra generated by $V$.

We denote by $C_n \otimes_k B_n$ the Koszul complex of $B_n$ (see [LV] for details), i.e., the complex $(C_n \otimes B_n, d = d_n)$, where $C_n = B_0$ is the coalgebra generated by the elements $x_i$ in degree 1, with quadratic corelations $R = \text{span} \{ x_i x_j \mid i \neq j \} \cup \{ x_i x_{i+1} + x_{i+1} x_i \}$ and the differential is induced by the degree $-1$ map $\kappa : C_n \to B_n$ that is zero everywhere except on $V$, where it identifies $V \subset C_n$ with $V \subset B_n$. Informally, the differential acts by “jumping” the tensor product over the $x_i$ on its left, producing a sign coming from the degree in $C_n$.

Notice that $B_n$ and $C_n$ are weight graded and the weight $k$ component of $B_n$, $B_n^{(k)}$ is zero if $k \geq 3$.

The result will follow from the acyclicity of the Koszul complex, which will in turn be shown by constructing a contracting homotopy $h$.

Let $l \geq 1$ and let $w$ be a word of length $l - 1$ on the variables $x_i$ and $1 \leq a, b \leq n$ be indices such that $|a - b| = 1$. We define a degree 1 map $h : V \otimes^l B_n^{(2)} \to V \otimes^{l+1} B_n^{(1)}$, for $n \geq 1$ by

\[
h(w x_k \otimes x_a x_b) = \begin{cases} 
-1/2 (w x_k x_a \otimes x_b - w x_k x_b \otimes x_a) & \text{if } |k - a| \neq 1 \text{ and } |k - b| \neq 1 \\
-1/2 (w x_k x_a \otimes x_b) & \text{if } |k - b| = 1
\end{cases}
\]

Notice that all the cases are covered because $|a - b| = 1 \land |k - b| = 1 \Rightarrow |k - a| \neq 1$. Moreover, due to the anti-symmetry in $B_n^{(2)}$, if $|k - a| = 1$ we have $h(w x_k \otimes x_a x_b) = -(1/2) w x_k x_b \otimes x_a$.

If $l = 0$ we define $h : B_n^{(2)} \to V \otimes B_n^{(1)}$ using the first formula from above, i.e., we consider the non-defined differences to be different from 1 and ignore the non-existent variables.

**A.0.2. Lemma.** The map $h$ restricts to a function $C_n^{(l)} \otimes B_n^{(2)} \to C_n^{(l+1)} \otimes B_n^{(1)}$ that satisfies $dh = id_{C_n^{(l)} \otimes B_n^{(2)}}$.

**Proof.** Recall that $C_n^{(1)} = V$, and $C_n^{(l)} = \bigcap_{a+b=l-2} V \otimes^a R V \otimes^b$ for $l \geq 2$.

First notice that $h$ maps $V \otimes^a R V \otimes^b B_n^{(2)}$ to $V \otimes^a R V \otimes^{b+1} B_n^{(1)}$, since it leaves the elements in $R$ unaltered. It is also clear by the construction of $h$ that the image of an element of $V \otimes^l B_n^{(2)}$ lands in $V \otimes^{l-1} R \otimes B_n^{(1)}$, therefore $h$ restricts indeed to a map $C_n^{(l)} \otimes B_n^{(2)} \to C_n^{(l+1)} \otimes B_n^{(1)}$.

To check the identity $dh = id_{C_n^{(l)} \otimes B_n^{(2)}}$ suppose first that both $|k - a|$ and $|k - b|$ are different from 1:

\[
dh(w x_k \otimes x_a x_b) = \frac{1}{2} d((-1)^l w x_k x_a \otimes x_b - (-1)^l w x_k x_b \otimes x_a) = \frac{1}{2} w x_k \otimes x_a x_b - \frac{1}{2} w x_k \otimes x_b x_a = w x_k \otimes x_a x_b.
\]

If $|k - b| = 1$, $dh(w x_k \otimes x_a x_b) = d((-1)^l w x_k x_a \otimes x_b) = w x_k \otimes x_a x_b$ and an analogous calculation holds if $|k - a| = 1$. 

To define $h : C_n^{(l)} \otimes B_n^{(1)} \to C_n^{(l+1)} \otimes B_n^{(0)} = C_n^{(l+1)}$, as before we define it on $V \otimes^l B_n^{(1)}$ and we verify that it restricts properly.
Let \( l \geq 2 \) and let us denote by \( w \) some word on \( x_i \) of length \( l - 2 \). We define \( h: V^\otimes l \otimes B_n^{(1)} \to V^\otimes (l+1) \), by

\[
h(wx_kx_a \otimes x_b) = \begin{cases} 
(-1)^iwx_kx_a x_b & \text{if } |a - b| \neq 1 \\
(-1)^iwx_k(x_a x_b + x_b x_a) & \text{if } |a - b| = 1, \ |a - k| \neq 1 \text{ and } |b - k| \neq 1 \\
0 & \text{if } |a - b| = 1 \text{ and } |b - k| = 1 \\
(-1)^iwx_k(x_a x_b + x_b x_a) & \text{if } |a - b| = 1 \text{ and } |a - k| = 1
\end{cases}
\]

Interpret this definition for \( l < 2 \) in the following way: Whenever some difference is not defined because \( a \) or \( k \) are not defined, take the case in the definition where the absolute value of the difference is different from \( 1 \) and ignore the non-existent variables.

A.0.3. Lemma. \( h \) restricts to a function \( C_n^{(l)} \otimes B_n^{(1)} \to C_n^{(l+1)} \) that satisfies \( dh + hd = id_{C_n^{(l)} \otimes B_n^{(1)}} \).

Proof. Notice that by construction, the image of \( h \) sits inside \( V^\otimes l \otimes R \). The \( R \) part in \( V^\otimes a RV^\otimes b \otimes B_n^{(1)} \) is left unaltered by \( h \) if \( b \) is at least \( 1 \) hence \( V^\otimes a RV^\otimes b \otimes B_n^{(1)} \) is sent to \( V^\otimes a RV^\otimes b+1 \).

Let us suppose that \( l \) is at least \( 2 \) and let us check that \( V^\otimes l \otimes B_n^{(1)} \) is sent to \( V^\otimes l \otimes RV \):

Let \( w \) be a word in the variables \( x_i \) of length \( l - 2 \). \( V^\otimes l \otimes B_n^{(1)} \) is spanned by elements of the form \( wx_kx_a \otimes x_b \), with \( |k - a| \neq 1 \) and elements of the form \( w(x_kx_a + x_a x_k) \otimes x_b \), with \( |k - a| = 1 \).

Let us consider the first type of elements. If \( |a - b| \neq 1 \), then \( h(wx_kx_a \otimes x_b) = (-1)^iwx_kx_a x_b \in V^\otimes l \otimes RV \).

If, on the other hand, \( |a - b| = 1 \), then either \( |b - k| = 1 \) and the image via \( h \) is zero or \( |b - k| \neq 1 \) and both summands of \( h(wx_kx_a \otimes x_b) = (-1)^iwx_kx_a x_b + \frac{(-1)^i}{2}wx_kx_a x_b \) belong to \( V^\otimes l \otimes RV \).

Let us now consider the elements of the form \( w(x_kx_a + x_a x_k) \otimes x_b \) with \( |k - a| = 1 \). If both \( |b - k| \) and \( |b - a| \) are different from \( 1 \), then \( h(w(x_kx_a + x_a x_k) \otimes x_b) = (-1)^i(w(x_kx_a + x_a x_k)x_b + wx_kx_a x_b) \in V^\otimes l \otimes RV \).

Otherwise, let us assume without loss of generality that \( |b - a| = 1 \) (and therefore \( |b - k| \neq 1 \)). Then

\[
h(w(x_kx_a + x_a x_k) \otimes x_b) = (-1)^i(w(x_kx_a + x_a x_k)x_b + wx_kx_a x_b) = (-1)^i(w(x_kx_a + x_a x_k)x_b + wx_kx_a x_b) \in V^\otimes l \otimes RV.
\]

Let us now show the homotopy equation. As before, we consider a generic element \( wx_kx_a \otimes x_b \in V^\otimes l \otimes B_n^{(1)} \) and we divide the verification into various cases.

If \( |a - b| \neq 1 \),

\[
dh(wx_kx_a \otimes x_b) + hd(wx_kx_a \otimes x_b) = wx_kx_a \otimes x_b + 0.
\]

If \( |a - b| = 1 \) and \( |a - k| \neq 1 \) and \( |b - k| \neq 1 \),

\[
(dh + hd)(wx_kx_a \otimes x_b) = \frac{1}{2}(wx_kx_a \otimes x_b + wx_kx_a \otimes x_a) + \frac{1}{2}(wx_kx_a \otimes x_b - wx_kx_b \otimes x_a) = wx_kx_a \otimes x_b.
\]

If \( |a - b| = 1 \) and \( |b - k| = 1 \),

\[
(dh + hd)(wx_kx_a \otimes x_b) = 0 + wx_kx_a \otimes x_b.
\]

If \( |a - b| = 1 \) and \( |a - k| = 1 \),

\[
(dh + hd)(wx_kx_a \otimes x_b) = (wx_kx_a \otimes x_b + wx_kx_b \otimes x_a) - wx_kx_b \otimes x_a = wx_kx_a \otimes x_b.
\]

The cases with \( l < 2 \) can be easily checked.

With the construction of the map \( h \) finished, Proposition 2.8.1 follows from the next Lemma that, together with the previous Lemmas in this section, shows that \( h \) is a contracting homotopy.

A.0.4. Lemma. It holds \( hd = id_{C_n^{(l)} \otimes B_n^{(e)}} \).

Proof. If \( l < 2 \) the statement is clear. Let \( l \geq 2 \) and let \( w \) be a word in the variables \( x_i \) of length \( l - 2 \).

It suffices to check the equation on elements of the form \( wx_a x_b \) with \( |a - b| \neq 1 \) and on elements of the form \( w(x_a x_b + x_b x_a) \), with \( |a - b| = 1 \).

For the first type of elements it is clear that \( hd(wx_a x_b) = wx_a x_b \).
For the second type of elements, if \( l \) is at least 3 and \( w = w'x_k \) with both \( |a-k| \) and \( |b-k| \) different from 1,
\[
hd(w(x_a x_b + x_b x_a)) = \frac{1}{2} w(x_a x_b + x_b x_a) + \frac{1}{2} w(x_b x_a + x_a x_b) = w(x_a x_b + x_b x_a).
\]
The same calculation holds if \( l = 2 \).
For the remaining case where (without loss of generality) \( |a-k| = 1 \), we have
\[
hd(w x_a x_b + w x_b x_a) = w(x_a x_b + x_b x_a) + 0.
\]

**A.1. Remark:** After the submission of this manuscript Jan-Erik Roos has communicated to us a nicer and shorter proof of the Koszulness of the algebras \( \mathcal{A}_n \). If one uses the ordering of the generators \( x_2, x_1, x_4, x_3, \ldots, x_{2k}, x_{2k-1} \) (for \( n = 2k \) even) or \( x_2, x_1, x_4, x_3, \ldots, x_{2k}, x_{2k-1} x_{2k+1} \) (for \( n = 2k + 1 \) odd) instead of the standard ordering, then there is a finite quadratic Gröbner basis. Alternatively, one can see using the above ordering of the generators that the relations form a confluent rewriting system and hence \( \mathcal{A}_n \) is Koszul.

**Appendix B. Computation of the cohomology of the operad \( \mathcal{B}V_{\infty}^{\operatorname{com}} \)**

**B.1. An equivalent definition of the operad \( \mathcal{B}V \).** Let \( \mathcal{L}^\circ \) be an operad generated by two degree \(-1\) corollas, \( \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline={([yshift=-.5ex]current bounding box.center)}, scale=.5] \draw (0,0) -- (1,0); \draw (1,0) -- (2,0); \filldraw (0,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (1,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (2,0) circle (.07); \end{tikzpicture} \) and \( \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline={([yshift=-.5ex]current bounding box.center)}, scale=.5] \draw (0,0) -- (1,0) -- (2,0); \filldraw (0,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (1,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (2,0) circle (.07); \end{tikzpicture} = \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline={([yshift=-.5ex]current bounding box.center)}, scale=.5] \draw (0,0) -- (1,0); \draw (1,0) -- (2,0); \filldraw (0,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (1,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (2,0) circle (.07); \end{tikzpicture} \), subject to the following relations,
\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{tikzpicture}[baseline={([yshift=-.5ex]current bounding box.center)}, scale=.5] \draw (0,0) -- (1,0); \draw (1,0) -- (2,0); \filldraw (0,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (1,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (2,0) circle (.07); \end{tikzpicture} &= 0, \\
\begin{tikzpicture}[baseline={([yshift=-.5ex]current bounding box.center)}, scale=.5] \draw (0,0) -- (1,0) -- (2,0); \filldraw (0,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (1,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (2,0) circle (.07); \end{tikzpicture} + \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline={([yshift=-.5ex]current bounding box.center)}, scale=.5] \draw (0,0) -- (1,0); \draw (1,0) -- (2,0); \draw (2,0) -- (3,0); \filldraw (0,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (1,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (2,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (3,0) circle (.07); \end{tikzpicture} &= 0, \\
\begin{tikzpicture}[baseline={([yshift=-.5ex]current bounding box.center)}, scale=.5] \draw (0,0) -- (1,0) -- (2,0); \filldraw (0,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (1,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (2,0) circle (.07); \end{tikzpicture} + \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline={([yshift=-.5ex]current bounding box.center)}, scale=.5] \draw (0,0) -- (1,0); \draw (1,0) -- (2,0); \draw (2,0) -- (3,0); \filldraw (0,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (1,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (2,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (3,0) circle (.07); \end{tikzpicture} + \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline={([yshift=-.5ex]current bounding box.center)}, scale=.5] \draw (0,0) -- (1,0) -- (2,0); \draw (2,0) -- (3,0); \filldraw (0,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (1,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (2,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (3,0) circle (.07); \end{tikzpicture} &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]
Let \( \operatorname{Com} \) be the operad of commutative algebras with the generator controlling the graded commutative multiplication denoted by \( \centerdot \). Define an operad, \( \mathcal{B}V \), of Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras as the free operad generated by operads \( \mathcal{L}^\circ \) and \( \operatorname{Com} \) modulo the following relations,
\[
(50) \quad \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline={([yshift=-.5ex]current bounding box.center)}, scale=.5] \draw (0,0) -- (1,0) -- (2,0); \filldraw (0,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (1,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (2,0) circle (.07); \end{tikzpicture} = \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline={([yshift=-.5ex]current bounding box.center)}, scale=.5] \draw (0,0) -- (1,0); \draw (1,0) -- (2,0); \filldraw (0,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (1,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (2,0) circle (.07); \end{tikzpicture} - \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline={([yshift=-.5ex]current bounding box.center)}, scale=.5] \draw (0,0) -- (1,0); \draw (1,0) -- (2,0); \draw (2,0) -- (3,0); \filldraw (0,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (1,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (2,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (3,0) circle (.07); \end{tikzpicture} - \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline={([yshift=-.5ex]current bounding box.center)}, scale=.5] \draw (0,0) -- (1,0); \draw (1,0) -- (2,0); \draw (2,0) -- (3,0); \filldraw (0,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (1,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (2,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (3,0) circle (.07); \end{tikzpicture} = 0.
\]
In fact, the second relation in (50) follows from the previous ones. We keep it the list in order to define, following [GT], an operad \( q\mathcal{B}V \) as an operad freely generated by \( \mathcal{L}^\circ \) and \( \operatorname{Com} \) modulo a version of relations (50) in which the first relation is replaced by the following one,
\[
\begin{tikzpicture}[baseline={([yshift=-.5ex]current bounding box.center)}, scale=.5] \draw (0,0) -- (1,0) -- (2,0); \filldraw (0,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (1,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (2,0) circle (.07); \end{tikzpicture} - \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline={([yshift=-.5ex]current bounding box.center)}, scale=.5] \draw (0,0) -- (1,0); \draw (1,0) -- (2,0); \draw (2,0) -- (3,0); \filldraw (0,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (1,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (2,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (3,0) circle (.07); \end{tikzpicture} - \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline={([yshift=-.5ex]current bounding box.center)}, scale=.5] \draw (0,0) -- (1,0) -- (2,0); \draw (2,0) -- (3,0); \filldraw (0,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (1,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (2,0) circle (.07); \filldraw (3,0) circle (.07); \end{tikzpicture} = 0.
\]
Being a quotient of a free operad, the operad \( \mathcal{B}V \) inherits an increasing filtration by the number of vertices in the trees. It is clear that there is a morphism,
\[
g : q\mathcal{B}V \longrightarrow \operatorname{gr}(\mathcal{B}V),
\]
from \( q\mathcal{B}V \) into the associated graded operad.

**B.2. Proposition [GT].** The morphism \( g : q\mathcal{B}V \longrightarrow \operatorname{gr}(\mathcal{B}V) \) is an isomorphism.

**B.3. Remark.** The relations in the operad \( q\mathcal{B}V \) are homogeneous. It is easy to see that, as an \( S \)-module, \( q\mathcal{B}V \) is isomorphic to \( \operatorname{Com} \circ \mathcal{L}^\circ \), the vector space spanned by graphs from \( \operatorname{Com} \) whose legs are decorated with elements from \( \mathcal{L}^\circ \).
**B.4. An auxiliary dg operad.** For any natural number \( a \geq 1 \) define by induction (over the number, \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, a + 1 \), of input legs) a collection of \( a + 1 \) elements,

\[
\rho \left( \begin{array}{c}
\text{-} \begin{array}{c}
\text{-}
\end{array} \ldots
\end{array} \right) = F_k^A
\]

of the operad \( BV_\infty^{com} \). If \( \rho : BV_\infty^{com} \to \mathcal{E}nDV \) is a representation, then, in the notation of \( \S 5.1 \),

Note that \( F_k^A \) identically vanishes for \( k \geq a + 2 \) as the operator \( \Delta_k \) is, by its definition, of order \( \leq a + 1 \); this is the reason why we defined the above elements of \( BV_\infty^{com} \) only in the range \( 1 \leq k \leq a + 1 \): for all other \( k \) these elements vanish identically due to the relations between the generators of \( BV_\infty^{com} \).

Consider next a free operad, \( \mathcal{L}_\infty^\circ \), generated, for all integers \( p \geq 0, k \geq 1 \) with \( p + k \geq 2 \), by the symmetric corollas

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{-} \begin{array}{c}
\text{-}
\end{array} \ldots \end{array} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c}
\text{-} \begin{array}{c}
\text{-}
\end{array} \ldots
\end{array} \quad \forall \sigma \in S_n,
\end{array}
\]

of homological degree \( 3 - 2k - 2p \), and equipped with the following differential

\[
d\begin{array}{c}
\text{-} \begin{array}{c}
\text{-}
\end{array} \ldots \end{array} = \sum_{|\sigma| = 1 \cup 1/2} \begin{array}{c}
\text{-} \begin{array}{c}
\text{-}
\end{array} \ldots
\end{array} \quad \Delta_p := \rho\left( \begin{array}{c}
\text{-} \begin{array}{c}
\text{-}
\end{array} \ldots
\end{array} \right)
\end{array}
\]

Representations, \( \rho : \mathcal{L}_\infty^\circ \to \mathcal{E}nDV \), of this operad in a dg vector space \( (V, d) \) are the same thing as continuous representations of the operad \( Lie_\infty^\circ \{1\}[[\hbar]] \) in the topological vector space \( V[[\hbar]] \) equipped with the differential

\[
d - \sum_{p \geq 1} \hbar^p \Delta_p
\]

where the formal parameter \( \hbar \) is assumed to have homological degree 2.

**B.4.1. Proposition.** The cohomology of the dg operad \( \mathcal{L}_\infty^\circ \) is the operad \( \mathcal{L}^\circ \) defined in \( \S B.1 \), i.e. \( \mathcal{L}_\infty^\circ \) is a minimal resolution of \( \mathcal{L}^\circ \).

**Proof.** The dg operad \( \mathcal{L}_\infty^\circ \{1\} \) is a direct summand of the graded properad \( gr \mathcal{L}ie^\circ \mathcal{B}_\infty \) associated with the genus filtration of the properad \( \mathcal{L}ie^\circ \mathcal{B}_\infty \). Hence the required result follows from the proof of Proposition 2.7.1. \( \square \)

We are interested in the operad \( \mathcal{L}_\infty^\circ \) because of the following property.

**B.4.2. Lemma.** There is a monomorphism of dg operads,

\[
\chi : \mathcal{L}_\infty^\circ \to BV_\infty^{com}
\]

given on generators as follows,

\[
\chi\left( \begin{array}{c}
\text{-} \begin{array}{c}
\text{-}
\end{array} \ldots
\end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{c}
\text{-} \begin{array}{c}
\text{-}
\end{array} \ldots
\end{array}
\]

**Proof.** For notation reason, we prove the proposition in terms of representations: for any representation \( \rho : BV_\infty^{com} \to \mathcal{E}nDV \) we construct an associated representation \( \rho' : \mathcal{L}_\infty^\circ \to \mathcal{E}nDV \) such that \( \rho' = \rho \circ \chi \).

Let \( \{ \Delta_a : V \to V[1 - 2a], \mu : \odot^2 V \to V \}_{a \geq 1} \) be a \( BV_\infty^{com} \)-structure in a dg vector space \( (V, d) \). Then

\[
\Delta := -d + \sum_{a \geq 1} \hbar^a \Delta_a
\]
is a degree 1 differential in the graded vector space $V[[\hbar]]$, $\hbar$ being a formal parameter of homological degree 2. As explained in §5.1, this differential makes the graded commutative algebra $(V[[\hbar]], \mu)$ into a $\mathcal{L}ie_\infty\{1\}[[\hbar]]$ algebra over the ring $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$, with higher Lie brackets given by,

$$F_k^\Delta := \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hbar^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \hbar^p \left[ \ldots \left[ \Delta_{p+k-1}, \mu], \mu, \ldots, \mu \right] \right]$$

It is well-known that $\mathcal{L}ie_\infty$-algebra structures are homogeneous in the sense that if $\{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a $\mathcal{L}ie_\infty$-algebra structure in some vector space, then, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$, the collection $\{\lambda^{n-1} \mu_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is again a $\mathcal{L}ie_\infty$-algebra structure in the same space. Therefore, the rescaled collection of operations,

$$\hat{F}_k^\Delta := \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hbar^p}{(k-1)!} \left[ \ldots \left[ \Delta_{p+k-1}, \mu], \mu, \ldots, \mu \right] \right]$$

also defines a continuous representation of $\mathcal{L}ie_\infty\{1\}[[\hbar]]$ in the dg space $(V[[\hbar]], \Delta)$, and hence a representation of $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ in the space $(V, d)$ given on the generators as follows,

$$\rho\left( \left. \begin{array}{c} \hbar \\ \vdots \\ \hbar \end{array} \right| \ldots \right) = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \left[ \ldots \left[ \Delta_{p+k-1}, \mu], \mu, \ldots, \mu \right] \right]$$

As $\rho\left( \left. \begin{array}{c} \hbar \\ \vdots \\ \hbar \end{array} \right| \ldots \right)$ equals $\frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \hbar^p \hbar^{k-1} \left[ \ldots \left[ \Delta_{p+k-1}, \mu], \mu, \ldots, \mu \right] \right]$ as well, the proof is completed. \qed

Finally we can give the proof of Theorem 5.3.1.

**Proof of Theorem 5.3.1.** The map $\pi$ obviously induces a morphism of operads,

$$[\pi] : H^\bullet(B\mathcal{V}_\infty^{\text{com}}) \longrightarrow B\mathcal{V}.$$ 

Therefore to prove the theorem it is enough to show that $[\pi]$ induces an isomorphism of $S$-modules. Denote the following (equivalence class of a) graph in $B\mathcal{V}_\infty^{\text{com}}$ by

$$\left. \begin{array}{c} \hbar \\ \vdots \\ \hbar \end{array} \right| \ldots \right) := \left. \begin{array}{c} \hbar \\ \vdots \\ \hbar \end{array} \right| \ldots \right)$$

and call it a **dashed square vertex**. Consider an operad, $\mathcal{O}$, freely generated by the operad $Com$ and a countable family of unary operations, $\left\{ \left. \begin{array}{c} \hbar \\ \vdots \\ \hbar \end{array} \right| \ldots \right) \}_{a \geq 1}$ of homological degree $1 - 2a$ equipped with the differential (48), the properad $B\mathcal{V}_\infty^{\text{com}}$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{O}$ by the ideal encoding the requirement that each unary operation $\left. \begin{array}{c} \hbar \\ \vdots \\ \hbar \end{array} \right| \ldots \right)$ is of order $\leq a + 1$ with respect to the multiplication operation. Let $t^{(1)}$ be any tree built from the following “corollas”,

$$\left. \begin{array}{c} \hbar \\ \vdots \\ \hbar \end{array} \right| \ldots \right) := \left. \begin{array}{c} \hbar \\ \vdots \\ \hbar \end{array} \right| \ldots \right)$$

with $k \geq 1, a \geq 1$ (where we assume implicitly that for $k = 1$ the l.h.s. corolla equals $\left. \begin{array}{c} \hbar \\ \vdots \\ \hbar \end{array} \right| \ldots \right)$ and let $t^{(2)}$ be any graph obtained by attaching to one or more (or none) input leg of a dashed square vertex a tree of the type $t^{(1)}$, e.g.

$$t^{(2)} = \left. \begin{array}{c} \hbar \\ \vdots \\ \hbar \end{array} \right| \ldots \right)$$

The family $\{t^{(1)}, t^{(2)}\}$ forms a basis of $\mathcal{O}$ as an $S$-module.
Define for any $a, k \geq 1$ a linear combination,

\begin{equation}
(52) \quad \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \psi_{(1)}^{(k)} + \frac{1}{2!(k-2)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \psi_{(2)}^{(k-1)} + \ldots,
\end{equation}

and consider (i) a set $\{T^{(1)}\}$ of all possible trees generated by these “square” corollas, e.g.

\[ T^{(1)} = \]

and also (ii) a set $\{T^{(2)}\}$ of all possible trees obtained by attaching to (some) legs of a dashed square vertex trees from the set $\{T^{(1)}\}$, e.g.

\[ T^{(2)} = \]

Formulae (52) define a natural linear map of $S$-modules,

\[ \phi : \text{span}\langle T^{(1)}, T^{(2)} \rangle \rightarrow \text{span}\langle t^{(1)}, t^{(2)} \rangle = O. \]

The expressions (52) can be (inductively) inverted,

\begin{equation}
(53) \quad \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \psi_{(1)}^{(k)} + \frac{1}{2!(k-2)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \psi_{(2)}^{(k-1)} + \ldots,
\end{equation}

and hence give us, again by induction, a linear map

\[ \psi : \text{span}\langle t^{(1)}, t^{(2)} \rangle \rightarrow \text{span}\langle T^{(1)}, T^{(2)} \rangle \]

as follows. On 1-vertex trees from the family $\{t^{(1)}, t^{(2)}\}$ the map $\psi$ is given by

\[ \psi \left( \begin{array}{c}
\vphantom{\frac{1}{(k-1)!}} \vdots \\
\frac{1}{(k-1)!}
\end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{c}
\vphantom{\frac{1}{(k-1)!}} \vdots \\
\frac{1}{(k-1)!}
\end{array}, \quad \psi \left( \begin{array}{c}
\vphantom{\frac{1}{(k-1)!}} \vdots \\
\frac{1}{(k-1)!}
\end{array} \right) = \text{the r.h.s. of (53).} \]

Assume that the map $\psi$ is constructed on $n$-vertex trees from the family $\{t^{(1)}, t^{(2)}\}$. Let $t$ be a tree with $n + 1$-vertices. The complement to the root vertex of $t$ is a disjoint union of trees, $\{t'\}$, with at most $n$ vertices. To get $\psi(t)$ apply first $\psi$ to the subtrees $t'$ to get a linear combination of trees, $\sum t''$, where each $t''$ is obtained by attaching to (some) input legs of a one-vertex tree $v$ from $\{t^{(1)}, t^{(2)}\}$. Finally, apply $\phi$ to the root vertex $v$ of each summand $t''$. By construction, $\phi \circ \psi = \text{Id}$ and $\phi \circ \psi = \text{Id}$ so that the map $\phi$ is an isomorphism of $S$-modules,

\[ O \cong \text{span}\langle T^{(1)}, T^{(2)} \rangle. \]

The ideal $I$ in $O$ defining the operad $BV_{\infty}^{\text{com}}$ now takes a very simple form — this is an $S$-submodule of $O$ spanned by trees from the family $\{T^{(1)}, T^{(2)}\}$ which contain at least one “bad” square vertex $\frac{1}{(k-1)!}$ with $a < k - 1$. If $\{T^{(1)}_{+}, T^{(2)}_{+}\} \subset \{T^{(1)}, T^{(2)}\}$ is the subset of trees containing no bad vertices, then we can write an isomorphism of $S$-modules,

\[ BV_{\infty}^{\text{com}} \cong \text{span}\langle T^{(1)}_{+} \rangle \oplus \text{span}\langle T^{(2)}_{+} \rangle. \]

The sub-module $\text{span}\langle T^{(1)}_{+} \rangle$ is the image of the dg operad $L_{\infty}^{\infty}$ under the monomorphism $\chi$ (see Lemma B.4.2) so that the above sum is a direct sum of complexes and we get eventually an isomorphism of complexes,

\[ BV_{\infty}^{\text{com}} \cong \text{Com} \circ L_{\infty}^{\infty}. \]
with the above splitting corresponding to the augmentation splitting of the operad $\text{Com}$,

$$\text{Com} = \text{span} (1) \oplus \overline{\text{Com}}.$$ 

Therefore, by Proposition B.4.1 and Remark B.3,

$$H^\bullet(\mathcal{B}V_\infty^{\text{com}}) \cong \text{Com} \circ \mathcal{L} \cong q\mathcal{B}V.$$

By Proposition B.2, we get isomorphisms of $\mathbb{S}$-modules,

$$H^\bullet(\mathcal{B}V_\infty^{\text{com}}) \cong \text{Gr}(\mathcal{B}V) \cong \mathcal{B}V$$

which completes the proof of the Theorem.

\[\square\]
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