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Summary

The notion of Shannon entropy, recently generalized to capacities, is extended to bi-capacities and its main properties are studied.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The well-known Shannon entropy [12] is a fundamental concept in probability theory and related fields. In a general non probabilistic setting, it is merely a measure of the uniformity (evenness) of a discrete probability distribution. In a probabilistic context, it can be naturally interpreted as a measure of unpredictability.

By relaxing the additivity property of probability measures, requiring only that they be monotone, one obtains Choquet capacities [1], also known as fuzzy measures [13], for which an extension of the Shannon entropy was recently defined [6, 7, 9, 10].

The concept of capacity can be further generalized. In the context of multicriteria decision making, bi-capacities have been recently introduced by Grabisch and Labreuche [4, 5] to model in a flexible way the preferences of a decision maker when the underlying scales are bipolar.

Since a bi-capacity can be regarded as a generalization of a capacity, the following natural question arises: how could one appraise the 'uniformity' or 'uncertainty' associated with a bi-capacity in the spirit of the Shannon entropy?

The main purpose of this paper is to propose a definition of an extension of the Shannon entropy to bi-capacities. The interpretation of this concept will be performed in the framework of multicriteria decision making based on the Choquet integral. Hence, we consider a set $N := \{1, \ldots, n\}$ of criteria and a set $A$ of alternatives described according to these criteria, i.e., real-valued functions on $N$. Then, given an alternative $x \in A$, for any $i \in N$, $x_i := x(i)$ is regarded as the utility of $x$ w.r.t. to criterion $i$. The utilities are further considered to be commensurate and to lie either on a unipolar or on a bipolar scale. Compared to a unipolar scale, a bipolar scale is characterized by the additional presence of a neutral value (usually 0) such that values above this neutral reference point are considered to be good by the decision maker, and values below it are considered to be bad. As in [4, 5], for simplicity reasons, we shall assume that the scale used for all utilities is $[0, 1]$ if the scale is unipolar, and $[-1, 1]$ with 0 as neutral value, if the scale is bipolar.

This paper is organized as follows. The second and third sections are devoted to a presentation of the notions of capacity, bi-capacity and Choquet integral in the framework of multicriteria decision making. In the last section, after recalling the definitions of the probabilistic Shannon entropy and of its extension to capacities, we propose a generalization of it to bi-capacities. We also give an interpretation of it in the context of multicriteria decision making and we study its main properties.

2 CAPACITIES AND BI-CAPACITIES

In the context of aggregation, capacities [1] and bi-capacities [4, 5] can be regarded as generalizations of weighting vectors involved in the calculations of weighted arithmetic means.

Let $\mathcal{P}(N)$ denote the power set of $N$ and let $\mathcal{Q}(N) := \{ (A, B) \in \mathcal{P}(N) \times \mathcal{P}(N) | A \cap B = \emptyset \}$. 

Definition 2.1 A function $\mu : \mathcal{P}(N) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a capacity if it satisfies:

(i) $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$, $\mu(N) = 1$, ...
for any $S,T \subseteq N$, $S \subseteq T \Rightarrow \mu(S) \leq \mu(T)$.

A capacity $\mu$ on $N$ is said to be additive if $\mu(S \cup T) = \mu(S) + \mu(T)$ for all disjoint subsets $S,T \subseteq N$. A particular case of additive capacity is the uniform capacity on $N$. It is defined by

$$\mu^*(T) = |T|/n, \quad \forall T \subseteq N.$$  

The dual (or conjugate) of a capacity $\mu$ on $N$ is a capacity $\tilde{\mu}$ on $N$ defined by $\tilde{\mu}(A) = \mu(N) - \mu(N \setminus A)$, for all $A \subseteq N$.

**Definition 2.2** A function $v : Q(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bi-capacity if it satisfies:

(i) $v(\emptyset, \emptyset) = 0$, $v(N, \emptyset) = 1$, $v(\emptyset, N) = -1$,

(ii) $A \subseteq B$ implies $v(A, \cdot) \leq v(B, \cdot)$ and $v(\cdot, A) \geq v(\cdot, B)$.

Furthermore, a bi-capacity $v$ is said to be:

- of the Cumulative Prospect Theory (CPT) type [4, 5, 14] if there exist two capacities $\mu_1, \mu_2$ such that $v(A, B) = \mu_1(A) - \mu_2(B)$, $\forall (A, B) \in Q(N)$.

When $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ the bi-capacity is further said to be symmetric, and asymmetric when $\mu_2 = \mu_1$.

- additive if it is of the CPT type with $\mu_1, \mu_2$ additive, i.e. for any $(A, B) \in Q(N)$

$$v(A, B) = \sum_{i \in A} \mu_1(i) - \sum_{i \in B} \mu_2(i).$$

Note that an additive bi-capacity with $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ is both symmetric and asymmetric since $\mu_1 = \mu_1$.

As we continue, to indicate that a CPT type bi-capacity $v$ is constructed from two capacities $\mu_1, \mu_2$, we shall denote it by $v_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$.

Let us also consider a particular additive bi-capacity on $N$: the uniform bi-capacity. It is defined by

$$v^*(A, B) = \frac{|A| - |B|}{n}, \quad \forall (A, B) \in Q(N).$$

3 **THE CHOQUET INTEGRAL**

When utilities are considered to lie on a unipolar scale, the importance of the subsets of (interacting) criteria can be modeled by a capacity. A suitable aggregation operator that generalizes the weighted arithmetic mean is then the Choquet integral [8].

**Definition 3.1** The Choquet integral of a function $x : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ represented by the profile $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ w.r.t a capacity $\mu$ on $N$ is defined by

$$C_\mu(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{\sigma(i)}\left[\mu(A_{\sigma(i)}) - \mu(A_{\sigma(i+1)})\right],$$

where $\sigma$ is a permutation on $N$ such that $x_{\sigma(1)} \leq \cdots \leq x_{\sigma(n)}$, $A_{\sigma(i)} := \{\sigma(i), \ldots, \sigma(n)\}$, for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and $A_{\sigma(n+1)} := \emptyset$.

When the underlying utility scale is bipolar, Grabisch and Labreuche proposed to substitute a bi-capacity to the capacity and proposed a natural generalization of the Choquet integral [5].

**Definition 3.2** The Choquet integral of a function $x : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ represented by the profile $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ w.r.t a bi-capacity $v$ on $N$ is defined by

$$C_v(x) := C_{v^N}(|x|),$$

where $v^N_{N^+}$ is a game on $N$ (i.e. a set function on $N$ vanishing at the empty set) defined by

$$v^N_{N^+}(C) = v(C \cap N^+, C \cap N^-), \quad \forall C \subseteq N,$n$$

and $N^+ := \{i \in N | x_i \geq 0\}$, $N^- := N \setminus N^+$. As shown in [5], an equivalent expression of $C_v(x)$ is:

$$C_v(x) = \sum_{i \in N} |x_{\sigma(i)}| \left[v(A_{\sigma(i)} \cap N^+, A_{\sigma(i)} \cap N^-) - v(A_{\sigma(i+1)} \cap N^+, A_{\sigma(i+1)} \cap N^-)\right], \quad (1)$$

where $A_{\sigma(i)} := \{\sigma(i), \ldots, \sigma(n)\}$, $A_{\sigma(n+1)} := \emptyset$, and $\sigma$ is a permutation on $N$ so that $|x_{\sigma(1)}| \leq \cdots \leq |x_{\sigma(n)}|$.  

4 **ENTROPY OF A BI-CAPACITY**

4.1 **THE CONCEPT OF PROBABILISTIC ENTROPY**

The fundamental concept of entropy of a probability distribution was initially proposed by Shannon [11, 12]. The Shannon entropy of a probability distribution $p$ defined on a nonempty finite set $N := \{1, \ldots, n\}$ is defined by

$$H_S(p) := \sum_{i \in N} h[p(i)]$$

where

$$h(x) := \begin{cases} -x \ln x, & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

The quantity $H_S(p)$ is always non negative and zero if and only if $p$ is a Dirac mass (decisivity property). As
a function of \( p \), \( H_S \) is strictly concave. Furthermore, it reaches its maximum value \( \ln(n) \) if and only if \( p \) is uniform (\textit{maximality} property).

In a general non-probabilistic setting, \( H_S(p) \) is nothing else than a measure of the uniformity of \( p \). In a probabilistic context, it can be interpreted as a measure of the information contained in \( p \).

### 4.2 EXTENSION TO CAPACITIES

Let \( \mu \) be a capacity on \( N \). The following entropy was proposed by Marichal \([7, 9]\) (see also \([10]\)) as an extension of the Shannon entropy to capacities:

\[
H_M(\mu) := \sum_{i \in N} \sum_{S \subseteq N \setminus i} \gamma_s(n) h[\mu(S \cup i) - \mu(S)],
\]

where \( \gamma_s(n) := \frac{(n-s-1)!s!}{n!} \quad \forall s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\} \).

Regarded as a uniformity measure, \( H_M \) has been recently axiomatized by means of three axioms \([6]\) : the symmetry property, a boundary condition for which \( H_M \) reduces to the Shannon entropy, and a generalized version of the well-known recursivity property.

A fundamental property of \( H_M \) is that it can be rewritten in terms of the maximal chains of the Hasse diagram of \((N, \subseteq)\) \([6]\), which is equivalent to:

\[
H_M(\mu) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Pi_N} H_S(p^\sigma),
\]

where \( \Pi_N \) denotes the set of permutations on \( N \) and, for any \( \sigma \in \Pi_N \),

\[
p^\sigma(i) := \mu(\{\sigma(1), \ldots, \sigma(n)\}) - \mu(\{\sigma(i+1), \ldots, \sigma(n)\}), \quad \forall i \in N.
\]

The quantity \( H_M(\mu) \) can therefore simply be seen as an average over \( \Pi_N \) of the uniformity values of the probability distributions \( p^\sigma \) calculated by means of the Shannon entropy. As shown in \([6]\), in the context of aggregation by a Choquet integral w.r.t a capacity \( \mu \) on \( N \), \( H_M(\mu) \) can be interpreted as a measure of the average value over all \( x \in [0, 1]^n \) of the degree to which the arguments \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) contribute to the calculation of the aggregated value \( C_\mu(x) \).

To stress on the fact that \( H_M \) is an average of Shannon entropies, we shall equivalently denote it by \( \bar{H}_S \) as we go on.

It has also been shown that \( H_M = \bar{H}_S \) satisfies many properties that one would intuitively require from an entropy measure \([6, 9]\). The most important ones are:

1. **Boundary property for additive measures.** For any additive capacity \( \mu \) on \( N \), we have

\[
\bar{H}_S(\mu) = H_S(p),
\]

where \( p \) is the probability distribution on \( N \) defined by \( p(i) = \mu(i) \) for all \( i \in N \).

2. **Boundary property for cardinality-based measures.** For any cardinality-based capacity \( \mu \) on \( N \) (i.e. such that, for any \( T \subseteq N \), \( \mu(T) \) depends only on \( |T| \)), we have

\[
\bar{H}_S(\mu) = H_S(p^\mu),
\]

where \( p^\mu \) is the probability distribution on \( N \) defined by \( p^\mu(i) = \mu(\{1, \ldots, i\}) - \mu(\{1, \ldots, i-1\}) \) for all \( i \in N \).

3. **Decisivity.** For any capacity \( \mu \) on \( N \),

\[
\bar{H}_S(\mu) \geq 0.
\]

Moreover, \( \bar{H}_S(\mu) = 0 \) if and only if \( \mu \) is a binary-valued capacity, that is, such that \( \mu(T) \in \{0, 1\} \) for all \( T \subseteq N \).

4. **Maximality.** For any capacity \( \mu \) on \( N \), we have

\[
\bar{H}_S(\mu) \leq \ln n.
\]

with equality if and only if \( \mu \) is the uniform capacity \( \mu^* \) on \( N \).

5. **Increasing monotonicity toward \( \mu^* \).** Let \( \mu \) be a capacity on \( N \) such that \( \mu \neq \mu^* \) and, for any \( \lambda \in [0, 1] \), define the capacity \( \mu_\lambda \) on \( N \) as \( \mu_\lambda := \mu + \lambda(\mu^* - \mu) \). Then for any \( 0 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq 1 \) we have

\[
\bar{H}_S(\mu_{\lambda_1}) < \bar{H}_S(\mu_{\lambda_2}).
\]

6. **Strict concavity.** For any two capacities \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \) on \( N \) and any \( \lambda \in [0, 1], \) we have

\[
\bar{H}_S(\lambda \mu_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mu_2) > \lambda \bar{H}_S(\mu_1) + (1 - \lambda) \bar{H}_S(\mu_2).
\]

### 4.3 GENERALIZATION TO BI-CAPACITIES

For any bi-capacity \( v \) on \( N \) and any \( N^+ \subseteq N \), as in \([5]\), we define the game \( \nu^v_{N^+} \) on \( N \) by

\[
\nu^v_{N^+}(C) := v(C \cap N^+, C \cap N^-), \quad \forall C \subseteq N,
\]

where \( N^- := N \setminus N^+ \).

Furthermore, for any \( N^+ \subseteq N \), let \( p^v_{\sigma, N^+} \) be the probability distribution on \( N \) defined, for any \( i \in N \), by

\[
p^v_{\sigma, N^+}(i) := \frac{|\nu^v_{N^+}(A_{\sigma(i)}) - \nu^v_{N^+}(A_{\sigma(i+1)})|}{\sum_{j \in N} |\nu^v_{N^+}(A_{\sigma(j)}) - \nu^v_{N^+}(A_{\sigma(j+1)})|} \tag{3}
\]
where $A_{\sigma(i)} := \{\sigma(i), \ldots, \sigma(n)\}$, for all $i \in N$, and $A_{\sigma(n+1)} := \emptyset$

We then propose the following simple definition of the extension of the Shannon entropy to a bi-capacity $v$ on $N$:

$$\bar{H}_S(v) := \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{N^+ \subseteq N} \sum_{i \in \Pi_N} H_S\left(p^v_{\sigma,N^+}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

As in the case of capacities, the extended Shannon entropy $\bar{H}_S(v)$ is nothing else than an average of the uniformity values of the probability distributions $p^v_{\sigma,N^+}$ calculated by means of $H_S$.

In the context of aggregation by a Choquet integral w.r.t. a bi-capacity $v$ on $N$, let us show that, as previously, $\bar{H}_S(v)$ can be interpreted as a measure of the average value over all $x \in [-1,1]^n$ of the degree to which the arguments $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ contribute to the calculation of the aggregated value $C_v(x)$.

In order to do so, consider an alternative $x \in [-1,1]^n$ and denote by $N^+ \subseteq N$ the subset of criteria for which $x \geq 0$. Then, from Eq. (1), we see that the Choquet integral of $x$ w.r.t. $v$ is simply a weighted sum of $|x_{\sigma(1)}|, \ldots, |x_{\sigma(n)}|$, where each $|x_{\sigma(i)}|$ is weighted by $v^v_{N^+}(A_{\sigma(i)}) - v^v_{N^+}(A_{\sigma(i+1)})$.

Clearly, these weights are not always positive, nor do they sum up to one. From the monotonicity conditions of a bi-capacity, it follows that the weight corresponding to $|x_{\sigma(i)}|$ is positive if and only if $\sigma(i) \in N^+$.

Depending on the evenness of the distribution of the absolute values of the weights, the utilities $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ will contribute more or less evenly in the calculation of $C_v(x)$.

A straightforward way to measure the evenness of the contribution of $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ to $C_v(x)$ consists in measuring the uniformity of the probability distribution $p^v_{\sigma,N^+}$ defined by Eq. (3). Note that $p^v_{\sigma,N^+}$ is simply obtained by normalizing the distribution of the absolute values of the weights involved in the calculation of $C_v(x)$.

Clearly, the uniformity of $p^v_{\sigma,N^+}$ can be measured by the Shannon entropy. Should $H_S(p^v_{\sigma,N^+})$ be close to $\ln n$, the distribution $p^v_{\sigma,N^+}$ will be approximately uniform and all the partial evaluations $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ will be involved almost equally in the calculation of $C_v(x)$.

On the contrary, should $H_S(p^v_{\sigma,N^+})$ be close to zero, one $p^v_{\sigma,N^+}(i)$ will be very close to one and $C_v(x)$ will be almost proportional to the corresponding partial evaluation.

Let us now go back to the definition of the extended Shannon entropy. From Eq. (4), we clearly see that $\bar{H}_S(v)$ is nothing else than a measure of the average of the behavior we have just discussed, i.e. taking into account all the possibilities for $\sigma$ and $N^+$ with uniform probability. More formally, for any $N^+ \subseteq N$, and any $\sigma \in \Pi_N$, define the set

$$O_{\sigma,N^+} := \{x \in [-1,1]^n \mid \forall i \in N^+, x_i \in [0,1],$$

$$\forall i \in N^-, x_i \in [-1,0], |x_{\sigma(i)}| \leq \cdots \leq |x_{\sigma(n)}|\}.$$

We clearly have $\bigcup_{N^+ \subseteq N} \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Pi_N} O_{\sigma,N^+} = [-1,1]^n$.

Let $x \in [-1,1]^n$ be fixed. Then there exist $N^+ \subseteq N$ and $\sigma \in \Pi_N$ such that $x \in O_{\sigma,N^+}$ and hence $C_v(x)$ is proportional to $\sum_{i \in N} x_{\sigma(i)} p^v_{\sigma,N^+}(i)$.

Starting from Eq. (4) and using the fact that $\int_{x \in O_{\sigma,N^+}} dx = 1/n!$, the entropy $\bar{H}_S(v)$ can be rewritten as

$$H_M(\mu) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{N^+ \subseteq N} \sum_{\sigma \in \Pi_N} \int_{x \in O_{\sigma,N^+}} H_S(p^v_{\sigma,N^+}) dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^n} \int_{[-1,1]^n} H_S(p^v_{\sigma,N^+}) dx,$$

where $N^+_x \subseteq N$ and $x \in O_{\sigma,N^+_x}$.

We thus observe that $\bar{H}_S(v)$ measures the average value over all $x \in [-1,1]^n$ of the degree to which the arguments $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ contribute to the calculation of $C_v(x)$. In probabilistic terms, it corresponds to the expectation over all $\sigma \in [-1,1]^n$, with uniform distribution, of the degree of contribution of arguments $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ in the calculation of $C_v(x)$.

### 4.4 PROPERTIES OF $\bar{H}_S$

We first present two lemmas giving the form of the probability distributions $p^v_{\sigma,N^+}$ for CPT type bi-capacities.

**Lemma 4.1** For any CPT type bi-capacity $v_{\mu_1,\mu_2}$ on $N$, any $N^+ \subseteq N$, any $\sigma \in \Pi_N$, and any $i \in N$ we have

$$p^v_{\sigma,N^+}(i) = \frac{1}{\mu_1(N^+) + \mu_2(N^-)} \times \left[\mu_1(A_{\sigma(i) \cap N^+}) - \mu_1(A_{\sigma(i+1) \cap N^+}) + \mu_2(A_{\sigma(i) \cap N^-}) - \mu_2(A_{\sigma(i+1) \cap N^-})\right].$$

**Lemma 4.2** For any asymmetric bi-capacity $v_{\mu,\bar{\mu}}$ on $N$, any $N^+ \subseteq N$, and any $\sigma \in \Pi_N$, we have

$$p^v_{\sigma,N^+}(i) = \mu(\{\sigma(i), \ldots, \sigma(n)\} \cap N^+)$$

$$- \mu(\{\sigma(i+1), \ldots, \sigma(n)\} \cap N^+) + \mu(\{\sigma(1), \ldots, \sigma(i)\} \cup N^+)$$

$$- \mu(\{\sigma(1), \ldots, \sigma(i-1)\} \cup N^+), \quad \forall i \in N.$$
4.4.1 Boundary conditions

We now give the form of $H_S$ for asymmetric bicapacities.

**Property 4.1** For any asymmetric bi-capacity $v_{\mu,\beta}$ on $N$, we have

$$H_S(v_{\mu,\beta}) = H_S(\mu).$$

Note that the above property is completely in accordance with the fact the Choquet integral w.r.t a general bi-capacity is a generalization of the asymmetric Choquet integral [2, 3].

The following proposition gives the form of $H_S$ for additive bi-capacities.

**Property 4.2** For any additive bi-capacity $v_{\mu_1,\mu_2}$ on $N$, we have

$$H_S(v_{\mu_1,\mu_2}) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i<j} \sum_{i<j} h \left( \frac{\mu_1(i \cap N^+) + \mu_2(i \cap N^-)}{\left( \sum_{j \in N^+} \mu_1(j) + \sum_{j \in N^-} \mu_2(j) \right)} \right).$$

We end this subsection by a natural result giving the form of $H_S$ for additive asymmetric/symmetric bi-capacities.

**Property 4.3** For any additive asymmetric/symmetric bi-capacity $v_{\mu,\mu}$ on $N$, we have

$$H_S(v_{\mu,\mu}) = H_S(p),$$

where $p$ is the probability distribution on $N$ defined by $p(i) := \mu(i)$ for all $i \in N$.

4.4.2 Symmetry

**Property 4.4** For any bi-capacity $v$ on $N$, and any permutation $\pi$ on $N$, we have

$$H_S(v \circ \pi^{-1}) = H_S(v).$$

4.4.3 Expansibility

An element $k \in N$ is null for a bi-capacity $v$ on $N$ if $v(A \cup k, B) = v(A, B)$ for all $B \subseteq N \setminus k$, all $A \subseteq N \setminus (B \cup k)$, and $v(A, B \cup k) = v(A, B)$ for all $A \subseteq N \setminus k$, all $B \subseteq N \setminus (A \cup k)$.

**Property 4.5** Let $v$ be a bi-capacity on $N$. If $k \in N$ is a null element for $v$, then

$$H_S(v) = H_S(v_{-k}),$$

where $v_{-k}$ denotes the restriction of $v$ to $N \setminus k$.

4.4.4 Decisivity

**Property 4.6** For any bi-capacity $v$ on $N$,

$$H_S(v) \geq 0.$$ Moreover, $H_S(v) = 0$ if and only, for any $x \in [-1, 1]^n$, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $i \in N$ such that $C_v(x) = \lambda x_i$.

4.4.5 Maximality

**Property 4.7** For any bi-capacity $v$ on $N$, we have

$$H_S(v) \leq \ln n.$$ with equality if and only if $v$ is the uniform capacity $v^*$ on $N$.

4.4.6 Increasing monotonicity toward $v^*$

**Property 4.8** Let $v$ be a bi-capacity on $N$ such that $v \neq v^*$ and, for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, define the bi-capacity $v_\lambda$ on $N$ as $v_\lambda := v + \lambda(v^* - v)$. Then for any $0 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq 1$ we have

$$H_S(v_{\lambda_1}) < H_S(v_{\lambda_2}).$$
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